

# Discontinuous Galerkin in time approximation of monotone nonlinear evolution problems

May 1, 2010

Etienne Emmrich

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** A class of discontinuous Galerkin methods is studied for the time discretisation of the initial-value problem for a nonlinear first-order evolution equation that is governed by a monotone, coercive, and hemicontinuous operator. The numerical solution is shown to converge towards the weak solution to the original problem. Furthermore, well-posedness of the time-discrete problem as well as a priori error estimates for sufficiently smooth exact solutions are studied.

**Keywords** Nonlinear evolution equation · monotone operator · time discretisation · discontinuous Galerkin method · convergence · a priori error estimate

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 65M12 · 65M15 · 47J35 · 35K55 · 47H05

## 1 Introduction

In the last two decades, the discontinuous Galerkin method attained more and more attention and has become a widely used numerical method (see the monographs [30, 44] for an overview). Besides its application to the spatial approximation, the discontinuous Galerkin method has also been studied for the discretisation in time (see the initial work [22] and, for an overview, the monographs [44, 53], see, e.g., also [48, 49] for the  $hp$ -version and [6] for the discretisation of an integro-differential equation).

Our interest lies in the study of nonlinear evolution problems and their time discretisation. For an overview on the time discretisation of (mostly linear) evolution problems, we refer to the standard monograph [53]. Methods other than the discontinuous Galerkin approximation in time have been considered, e.g., in [1, 2, 7, 8, 38, 50, 51] for semilinear and in [26, 31, 34, 36, 55] for quasilinear problems. Stability and error estimates for nonlinear evolution equations are proven in [37] relying on a linearisation. Fully nonlinear problems have been dealt with, again by linearisation,

in [25, 42, 43]. Evolution equations governed by a maximal monotone operator have been studied in [27–29, 47]. A posteriori error estimates can be found in [4, 39, 40].

Regarding the discontinuous Galerkin method, we refer, e.g., to [32] and [33, 41] for the study of the spatial semidiscretisation of a semilinear and quasilinear parabolic problem, respectively. A space-time finite element method with basis functions that are discontinuous in time has been analysed in [23]. In [45], the discontinuous Galerkin method is employed for the spatial and the  $\vartheta$ -scheme for the temporal approximation of a quasilinear parabolic problem, see also [20] for the discontinuous Galerkin method in time with polynomial order zero (reducing then to the backward Euler method) and [21] for a discontinuous Galerkin approximation in time and a standard finite element approximation in space. The spatial semidiscretisation of semilinear parabolic convection-diffusion equations by means of the discontinuous Galerkin method has been studied, e.g., in [9, 11–14, 52], its combination with the backward Euler method in [10]. The quasilinear problems considered so far can be described by an evolution equation that is governed by a strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous operator. The quasilinear problems studied in [3] can be described by a time-independent bounded strongly positive operator perturbed by a locally Lipschitz continuous operator. Besides well-posedness of the  $h$ -version of the discontinuous Galerkin method in time (again employing the concept of strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous operators which corresponds to the special case  $p = 2$  in our setting), error estimates are derived for sufficiently regular exact solutions. An essential aspect in [3] is the control over the  $L^2(0, T; H)$ -norm of the time discrete solution.

The main focus in all the aforementioned work is on error estimates (thus requiring smoothness of the exact solution) rather than on convergence only. In this paper, however, we study the convergence for a class of discontinuous Galerkin methods covering the  $h$ - as well as  $hp$ -version for the time discretisation of the more general class of evolution problems

$$u' + Au = f \quad \text{in } (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (1.1)$$

governed by a time-dependent monotone operator  $A$ . More precisely, the operator  $A$  is supposed to be the Nemytskii operator corresponding to a family of hemicontinuous operators  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) acting on a Gelfand triple  $V \subseteq H \subseteq V^*$  such that  $A(t) + \kappa I : V \rightarrow V^*$  (with  $I$  being the identity) is coercive and monotone for some  $\kappa \geq 0$ , uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ . Moreover,  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$  is supposed to fulfill a certain growth condition. This framework allows to consider partial differential equations with more involved nonlinearities compared to the results known so far.

The case of a non-monotone perturbation of the principle part requires more intriguing compactness arguments and will be left for future work.

With the variable time grid

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{I} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T \quad (N \in \mathbb{N}) & \text{with } I_n := (t_{n-1}, t_n), \\ \tau_n := t_n - t_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N), \quad \tau_{\max} := \max_{n=1, 2, \dots, N} \tau_n, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

we associate the vector  $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N]^T \in \mathbb{N}^N$  of polynomial degrees and the linear space

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}} := \{v : (0, T) \rightarrow V : v|_{I_n} \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^q(I; V)$  denotes the space of polynomials of highest degree  $q$  on the interval  $I$  taking values in  $V$ . We set  $q_{\min} := \min_{n=1,2,\dots,N} q_n$ ,  $q_{\max} := \max_{n=1,2,\dots,N} q_n$  and, for  $v_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$

$$v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^+) := \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow t_n \\ t > t_n}} v_{\mathbb{I}}(t), \quad v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^-) := \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow t_n \\ t < t_n}} v_{\mathbb{I}}(t), \quad [[v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n)]] := v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^+) - v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^-),$$

where  $v_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-)$  is prescribed, as well as  $v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_N^-) := \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow T \\ t < T}} v_{\mathbb{I}}(t)$ . Occasionally, we write, e.g.,  $\tau_{\max}(\mathbb{I})$  in order to emphasise the dependence of a quantity on  $\mathbb{I}$ .

The numerical method under consideration then reads as follows: *For given  $f$  and  $u_{\mathbb{I}}^0$ , find  $u_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  such that for all  $v_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} (u_{\mathbb{I}}'(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t)) dt + \sum_{n=1}^N ([[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]], v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)) \\ & + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt \quad \text{with } u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) := u_{\mathbb{I}}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

In practice, a suitable quadrature will be employed on the subintervals  $I_n$  in order to approximate the terms

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt &= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt, \\ \int_0^T \langle f(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt &= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \langle f(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account this quadrature requires only technical modifications of the proof of convergence and shall thus be omitted.

To some extent, the discontinuous Galerkin method in time can be seen as a generalisation of the Rothe method (i.e., the backward Euler method combined with piecewise constant interpolation of the time discrete solution).

We prove the convergence of the sequence of numerical solutions to (1.3), corresponding to an appropriate sequence of time grids and associated degree vectors, towards the weak solution to (1.1). The advantage of this convergence result lies in the fact that it does not require any additional regularity of the weak solution. Remember that results on higher regularity of solutions to nonlinear evolution problems are very rare and always restricted to special situations. The convergence result is complementary to error estimates that are of importance for situations where a smooth solution is at hand. Note that, without additional assumptions such as the smoothness of the exact solution, one cannot expect convergence “better” than the weak convergence provided here. We also remark that the consideration of variable time grids as studied here is a prerequisite for any analysis of adaptive methods. It turns out that, in opposite to other methods (see, e.g., [17, 18]), there are no severe restrictions on the sequence of variable time grids.

Moreover, we present results on the existence, uniqueness, and stability of the numerical solution as well as a priori error estimates in the case of a sufficiently regular exact solution. These error estimates rely on estimates of the approximation

error in appropriate norms of Bochner–Lebesgue spaces for abstract functions taking values in a Banach space. To our best knowledge, also these error estimates are new.

The proof of convergence essentially relies upon a priori estimates, which follow from the coercivity assumption, as well as upon compactness arguments and the theory of monotone operators. We shall remark that our approach is different from the one in [25, 42, 43] as we do not consider a linearisation and thus do not need to assume differentiability of the underlying nonlinear operator. However, our assumptions imply global existence of solutions to the original problem.

Convergence results analogous to those obtained here can be found, e.g., in [46, Ch. 8.2] for the backward Euler method. For other time discretisation methods, we have recently been able to prove similar results (see [15, 16] for the two-step backward differentiation formula (BDF) on an equidistant grid, [17] for the two-step BDF on a variable time grid, [18] for the  $\vartheta$ -scheme on a variable time grid, and [19] for a class of stiffly accurate Runge–Kutta methods) although the assumptions on the underlying operator as well as the convergence results themselves differ from method to method. Moreover, in opposite to the techniques used there, the analysis of the discontinuous Galerkin method is indeed more involved and requires additional techniques due to their non-conforming character and the external approximation of the standard solution space for (1.1) by  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$ .

The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the necessary notation and recapitulate the functional analytical framework. The solvability of the numerical scheme (1.3) and a priori estimates for its solution are studied in Section 3. The main convergence result is then formulated and proven in Section 4. In Section 5, we finally present results on the stability of (1.3) and a priori error estimates for smooth exact solutions together with estimates of the approximation error.

## 2 Notation and time continuous problem

Let  $V \subseteq H \subseteq V^*$  be a Gelfand triple with  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  being a reflexive, separable, real Banach space that is dense and continuously embedded in the Hilbert space  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, |\cdot|)$ . The dual  $V^*$  of  $V$  is equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_* := \sup_{v \in V \setminus \{0\}} \langle f, v \rangle / \|v\|$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality pairing.

For a Banach space  $X$  and the time interval  $[0, T]$ , let  $L^r(0, T; X)$  ( $r \in [1, \infty]$ ) denote the Banach space of Bochner integrable (for  $r = \infty$  Bochner measurable and essentially bounded) abstract functions equipped with the standard norm denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^r(0, T; X)}$ . Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and set  $p^* := p/(p-1)$ . The function space

$$\mathcal{X} := L^p(0, T; V) \cap L^2(0, T; H), \quad \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; V)} + \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; H)},$$

is a reflexive, separable Banach space. Its dual  $\mathcal{X}^* = L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*) + L^2(0, T; H)$  is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}^*} := \inf_{\substack{f_1 \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*), f_2 \in L^2(0, T; H) \\ f = f_1 + f_2}} \max \left( \|f_1\|_{L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)}, \|f_2\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} \right).$$

If  $f$  allows the representation  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$ ,  $f_2 \in L^2(0, T; H)$  then the duality pairing between  $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$  and  $v \in \mathcal{X}$  is given by

$$\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^* \times \mathcal{X}} = \int_0^T (\langle f_1(t), v(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} + (f_2(t), v(t))) dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v(t) \rangle_{V^* \times V} dt,$$

see, e.g., [24] for more details. Note that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq L^2(0, T; H) \subseteq \mathcal{X}^*$  is again a Gelfand triple. In the case  $p \geq 2$ , we can just take  $\mathcal{X} = L^p(0, T; V)$ .

The solution to (1.1) will be sought in the Banach space

$$\mathcal{W} := \{v \in \mathcal{X} : v' \in \mathcal{X}^*\}, \quad \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}} := \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}} + \|v'\|_{\mathcal{X}^*},$$

which is continuously embedded in the space  $\mathcal{C}([0, T]; H)$  of uniformly continuous functions with values in  $H$ . Here,  $v'$  denotes the distributional time derivative of  $v$ .

The structural properties we always assume for  $A$  read as follows:

**Assumption A.**  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  is a family of hemicontinuous operators  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$ , such that for all  $v \in V$  the mapping  $t \mapsto A(t)v : [0, T] \rightarrow V^*$  is Bochner integrable on  $(0, T)$ . There is a constant  $\kappa \geq 0$  such that  $A(t) + \kappa I : V \rightarrow V^*$  is monotone. For a suitable  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , there are constants  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $v \in V$

$$\langle (A(t) + \kappa I)v, v \rangle \geq \mu \|v\|^p - \lambda.$$

There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $v \in V$

$$\|A(t)v\|_* \leq \alpha (1 + \|v\|^{p-1}).$$

With  $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , we associate the Nemytskii operator  $A$  that is defined by  $(Av)(t) := A(t)v(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) for a function  $v : [0, T] \rightarrow V$ .

Under Assumption A, the Nemytskii operator  $A$  maps  $L^p(0, T; V)$  into  $(L^p(0, T; V))^* = L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$  and is hemicontinuous and bounded. Moreover,  $A + \kappa I : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$  is monotone and satisfies for all  $v \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\langle (A + \kappa I)v, v \rangle \geq \mu \|v\|_{L^p(0, T; V)}^p - \lambda T.$$

Problem (1.1) then possesses for any  $u_0 \in H$  and  $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$  a unique solution  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  such that the evolution equation holds in  $\mathcal{X}^*$  (see, e.g., [46, Thm. 8.28], [5, Thm. 4.2 on p. 167], [54, Thm. 30.A], [24, Satz 1.1 on p. 201, Bem. 1.5 on p. 210]).

Examples for operators possessing the above properties can be found, e.g., in [24, pp. 68 ff., 215 ff.], [35], [46, pp. 232 ff.], and [54, pp. 567 ff., 590 ff., 779 ff.]). A standard example is the  $p$ -Laplacian in a bounded domain supplemented by homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Another example is the fluid flow through a porous medium when working with the Sobolev space  $H^{-1}$  as the pivot space  $H$  in the underlying Gelfand triple (see [35, pp. 191 ff.], [24, pp. 72 f.]).

In what follows, we restrict our considerations to the case  $\kappa = 0$ . This is always possible by a suitable transformation (see [18, Remark 1], [24, Satz 1.3 on p. 211]).

### 3 Solvability and a priori estimates for the time discrete problem

We commence with a statement on the solvability of the time discrete problem.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let Assumption A be fulfilled. For given  $f \in L^1(0, T; V^*)$  and  $u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 \in H$ , problem (1.3) possesses a solution  $u_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$ . If  $q_{\max} \leq 1$  or if  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) is strictly monotone then the solution is unique.*

*Proof* We first observe that (1.3) is equivalent to the  $n = 1, \dots, N$  problems For given  $f|_{I_n} \in L^1(I_n; V^*)$  and  $u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^-) \in H$ , find  $u_{\mathbb{I}|I_n} \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$  such that for all  $v \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_n} (u_{\mathbb{I}|I_n}'(t), v(t)) dt + (u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+), v(t_{n-1}^+)) + \int_{I_n} \langle A(t) u_{\mathbb{I}|I_n}(t), v(t) \rangle dt \\ &= \int_{I_n} \langle f|_{I_n}(t), v(t) \rangle dt + (u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^-), v(t_{n-1}^+)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

This can be seen from testing (1.3) by functions  $v_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  that vanish in all but one subinterval.

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=0,1,\dots,q_n}$  be a basis of the finite dimensional space  $\mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; \mathbb{R})$ . Then each element  $v = v(t) \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$  can be represented as

$$v(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{q_n} v_j \varphi_j(t), \quad t \in I_n, \quad (3.2a)$$

where  $v_j \in V$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, q_n$ ), and we have  $\mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V) \cong V^{q_n+1}$  via the mapping

$$\mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V) \ni v \mapsto \mathbf{v} = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{q_n}]^T \in V^{q_n+1}. \quad (3.2b)$$

Moreover,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{V^{q_n+1}} := \left( \int_{I_n} \|v(t)\|^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

then defines a norm on  $V^{q_n+1}$ .

Let now  $s : V^{q_n+1} \times V^{q_n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := \int_{I_n} (u'(t), v(t)) dt + (u(t_{n-1}^+), v(t_{n-1}^+)) + \int_{I_n} \langle A(t) u(t), v(t) \rangle dt,$$

where again  $u$  and  $v$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , respectively, via (3.2). One may show that, for fixed  $\mathbf{u} \in V^{q_n+1}$ , the mapping  $\mathbf{v} \mapsto s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), V^{q_n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear and continuous. For doing so, we employ an inverse inequality combined with the continuous embedding of  $V$  into  $H$ ,

$$|v(t_{n-1}^+)| \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(I_n; H)} \leq \tau_n^{-1/p} \|v\|_{L^p(I_n; H)} \leq c \tau_n^{-1/p} \|v\|_{L^p(I_n; V)} = c \tau_n^{-1/p} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{V^{q_n+1}}, \quad (3.3)$$

which can be derived from the transformation of  $I_n$  onto  $[0, 1]$ , the equivalence of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(0,1;H)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(0,1;H)}$  on  $\mathcal{P}^{q_n}(0, 1; H)$ , and the inverse transformation. This gives rise to the definition of the associated operator  $S : V^{q_n+1} \rightarrow (V^{q_n+1})^*$  via

$\langle S\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ . Since also  $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{v} \mapsto \int_{I_n} \langle f_{I_n}(t), v(t) \rangle dt + (u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^-), v(t_{n-1}^+))$ ,  $V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an element of  $(V^{q_{n+1}})^*$  (use again (3.3)), we can rewrite (3) as the operator equation

$$S\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in} \quad (V^{q_{n+1}})^*.$$

We now wish to apply the Browder–Minty theorem (see, e.g., [54, Thm. 26.A]) in order to prove existence. We thus have to show that  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$  is coercive, monotone and hemicontinuous. Uniqueness will then follow from the strict monotonicity of  $S$ .

Regarding the coercivity, we observe (employing integration by parts and the coercivity of  $A(t)$ ) that for any  $\mathbf{v} \in V^{q_{n+1}}$  with the by (3.2) corresponding function  $v \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle &= \int_{I_n} (v'(t), v(t)) dt + |v(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 + \int_{I_n} \langle A(t)v(t), v(t) \rangle dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}|v(t_n^-)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 + \mu \int_{I_n} \|v(t)\|^p dt - \lambda \tau_n \\ &\geq \mu \|\mathbf{v}\|_{V^{q_{n+1}}}^p - \lambda \tau_n, \end{aligned}$$

which shows indeed the coercivity of  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$ .

With respect to the monotonicity, we similarly find (employing again integration by parts and the monotonicity of  $A(t)$ ) for any  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V^{q_{n+1}}$  with the by (3.2) corresponding functions  $v, w \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$

$$\langle S\mathbf{v} - S\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}|v(t_n^-) - w(t_n^-)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|v(t_{n-1}^+) - w(t_{n-1}^+)|^2.$$

This shows the monotonicity of  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$ . If  $q_n \leq 1$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) then  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{w}$  implies  $v(t_n^-) \neq w(t_n^-)$  or  $v(t_n^+) \neq w(t_n^+)$ , and  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$  becomes strictly monotone. Moreover,  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$  is strictly monotone if  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) does so. In both cases, uniqueness of a solution to the time discrete problem follows.

The hemicontinuity of  $S : V^{q_{n+1}} \rightarrow (V^{q_{n+1}})^*$  can easily be derived from the bilinearity and boundedness of the first two terms in  $\mathbf{s}$  together with (3.3), and the hemicontinuity of  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) together with the growth condition (applying Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence).  $\square$

We shall remark that the foregoing result covers the existence and uniqueness result given in [3] for the  $h$ -version in the situation of a strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous operator  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ), which corresponds to  $p = 2$  and a stronger monotonicity assumption.

An essential prerequisite for proving convergence is an appropriate a priori estimate for the numerical solution. This is provided by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let Assumption A be fulfilled. Let  $u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 \in H$  and  $f \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$ . Any solution  $u_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  to (1.3) then satisfies the a priori estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{n=1,2,\dots,N} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^-)|^2 + \max_{n=1,2,\dots,N} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N |[[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]]|^2 + \|u_{\mathbb{I}}\|_{L^p(0,T;V)}^p \\ \leq c \left( |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \|f\|_{L^{p^*}(0,T;V^*)}^{p^*} + \lambda T \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  only depends on  $\mu$  and  $p$ .

*Proof* Taking  $v_{\mathbb{I}} = u_{\mathbb{I}} \chi_{[0,t_k]}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ;  $\chi_I$  denotes the characteristic function on the interval  $I$ ) in (1.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{I_n} (u_{\mathbb{I}}'(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)) dt + \sum_{n=1}^k ([[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]], u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)) + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t) u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt \\ = \int_0^{t_k} \langle f(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

From integration by parts, we find for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$\int_{I_n} (u_{\mathbb{I}}'(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)) dt = \frac{1}{2} (|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^-)|^2 - |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)|^2). \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, the binomic formula shows that

$$([[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]], u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)) = \frac{1}{2} (|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 - |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^-)|^2 + |[[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]]|^2). \quad (3.5)$$

This, together with the coercivity of  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) and Young's inequality, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^k |[[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]]|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)\|^p dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_0^-)|^2 + c \int_0^{t_k} \|f(t)\|_*^{p^*} dt + \lambda t_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  only depends on  $\mu$  and  $p$ . Finally, we observe that for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$

$$|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 \leq 2 (|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^-)|^2 + |[[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]]|^2).$$

This immediately proves the assertion.  $\square$

It should be noted that we have no  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ -bound on the solution at hand unless the polynomial degree is less or equal 1. Furthermore, we have no bound on the discrete counterpart of the time derivative (that would be analogous to  $u' \in \mathcal{X}^*$  for the continuous problem).

#### 4 Convergence towards a weak solution

From now on, we consider a sequence  $\{\mathbb{I}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  of time grids (1.2) with corresponding degree vectors  $\mathbf{q}(\mathbb{I}_\ell)$  such that

$$\tau_{\max}(\mathbb{I}_\ell) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty, \quad q_{\min}(\mathbb{I}_\ell) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}_\ell} \subseteq \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell+1}}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

The last condition is fulfilled if the time grid at level  $\ell + 1$  contains all abscissae of the time grid at level  $\ell$  (i.e., the time grid at level  $\ell + 1$  is not a coarsening of the foregoing one) and if the polynomial degree on a subinterval is not decreasing.

The main result of the paper now reads as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let Assumption A be fulfilled. Let  $u_0 \in H$  and  $f \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$  be given. Let  $\{\mathbb{I}_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of time grids (1.2) with a corresponding sequence of degree vectors such that (4.1) is fulfilled. Moreover, let  $\{u_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}^0\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$  be such that*

$$u_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}^0 \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } H \text{ as } \ell \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

*The sequence  $\{u_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  of solutions to the discontinuous Galerkin method (1.3) then converges weakly in  $L^p(0, T; V)$  towards the exact solution  $u \in \mathscr{W}$  to (1.1).*

*Proof* Theorem 3.2, together with the growth condition for  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) and standard compactness arguments, immediately shows the existence of a subsequence (denoted by  $\ell'$ ) and elements  $\xi \in H$ ,  $u \in L^p(0, T; V)$ ,  $a \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$  such that

$$u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(T^-) \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ in } H, \quad u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^p(0, T; V), \quad Au_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}} \rightharpoonup a \text{ in } L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*) \text{ as } \ell' \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

We will show that  $u$  is indeed in  $\mathscr{W}$  and solves the original problem (i.e., fulfills the differential equation and the initial condition in (1.1)). Moreover,  $a = Au$  and  $\xi = u(T)$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary but fixed. Because of (4.1), we then find from (1.3) for all  $v_{\mathbb{I}_m} \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}_m} \cap \mathscr{W} \subseteq \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H)$  with  $v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(0) = v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(T) = 0$  and all  $\ell' \geq m$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle f(t), v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t) \rangle dt &= \sum_{n=1}^{N(\mathbb{I}_{\ell'})} \int_{I_n(\mathbb{I}_{\ell'})} (u'_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t)) dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N(\mathbb{I}_{\ell'})} (\llbracket u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(t_{n-1}(\mathbb{I}_{\ell'})) \rrbracket, v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t_{n-1}(\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}))) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t) \rangle dt \\ &= (\text{with integration by parts and } v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(0) = v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(T) = 0) \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle v'_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t), u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}_{\ell'}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t) \rangle dt \\ &\rightarrow - \int_0^T \langle v'_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t), u(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle a(t), v_{\mathbb{I}_m}(t) \rangle dt \quad \text{as } \ell' \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for each  $v \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; V)$  there is a sufficiently fine partition  $\mathbb{I}_{m(\varepsilon)}$  ( $m(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ ) such that the corresponding piecewise linear interpolant  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}_{m(\varepsilon)}}^1 v$  of  $v$ , which is an element of  $\mathcal{W}'_{\mathbb{I}_{m(\varepsilon)}} \cap \mathcal{W}$  if  $q_{\min}(\mathbb{I}_{m(\varepsilon)}) \geq 1$ , fulfills

$$\|v - \pi_{\mathbb{I}_{m(\varepsilon)}}^1 v\|_{\mathcal{W}} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, we conclude from (4.4) that for all  $v \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; V)$  with compact support

$$-\int_0^T \langle v'(t), u(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t) - a(t), v(t) \rangle dt. \quad (4.5)$$

This, however, shows that the distributional time derivative of  $u \in L^p(0, T; V)$  equals  $f - a \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$  and thus we have  $u \in \mathcal{W}$ . Moreover, from integration by parts (which is now allowed because of  $u \in \mathcal{W}$ ) and by density, we find from (4.5) that

$$u' + a = f \text{ in } L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*). \quad (4.6)$$

We now prove that  $u(0) = u_0$  and  $u(T) = \xi$ . Let  $v \in V$  and  $\varphi$  be a linear polynomial on  $[0, T]$ . Then  $v\varphi \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{W}'_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ . For readability, we omit denoting the subsequence by  $\ell'$  for a moment. From integration by parts, inserting (4.6) and (1.3), and employing again integration by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (u(T), v\varphi(T)) - (u(0), v\varphi(0)) &= \int_0^T (\langle u'(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle + \langle v\varphi'(t), u(t) \rangle) dt \\ &= \int_0^T (\langle f(t) - a(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle + \langle v\varphi'(t), u(t) \rangle) dt \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} \langle u'_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle dt + \sum_{n=1}^N (\llbracket u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) \rrbracket, v\varphi(t_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - a(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle v\varphi'(t), u(t) \rangle dt \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N ((u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_n^-), v\varphi(t_n)) - (u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+), v\varphi(t_{n-1}))) + \sum_{n=1}^N (\llbracket u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) \rrbracket, v\varphi(t_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - a(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle v\varphi'(t), u(t) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt \\ &= (u_{\mathbb{I}}(T^-), v\varphi(T)) - (u_{\mathbb{I}}^0, v\varphi(0)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - a(t), v\varphi(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle v\varphi'(t), u(t) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit then leads, because of (4.2) and (4.3), to

$$(u(T), v\varphi(T)) - (u(0), v\varphi(0)) = (\xi, v\varphi(T)) - (u_0, v\varphi(0)).$$

Choosing now  $\varphi(T) = 0$  and  $\varphi(0) = 0$  proves  $u(0) = u_0$  and  $u(T) = \xi$ , respectively.

For proving  $a = Au$ , we employ the monotonicity of  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ). We again omit denoting the subsequence by  $\ell'$ . Taking  $v_{\mathbb{I}} = u_{\mathbb{I}}$  in (1.3) and integrating by parts employing (3.4) and (3.5) yields for arbitrary  $w \in L^p(0, T; V)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}|u_{\mathbb{I}}(T^-)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N |[[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]]|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} (u'_{\mathbb{I}}(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)) dt + \sum_{n=1}^N ([[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})]], u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)) \\
&= \int_0^T \langle f(t) - A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \langle f(t) - A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - A(t)w(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - w(t) \rangle dt \\
&= \int_0^T \langle f(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), w(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle A(t)w(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - w(t) \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit on both sides of the foregoing inequality and taking into account (4.2), (4.3) and  $\xi = u(T)$ , the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm in  $H$  as well as (4.6) then shows for all  $w \in L^p(0, T; V)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \langle u'(t), u(t) \rangle dt = \frac{1}{2}|u(T)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|u_0|^2 \\
&\leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), u(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle a(t), w(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle A(t)w(t), u(t) - w(t) \rangle dt \\
&= \int_0^T \langle u'(t), u(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle a(t), u(t) - w(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle A(t)w(t), u(t) - w(t) \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We, hence, come up with

$$\int_0^T \langle A(t)w(t) - a(t), w(t) - u(t) \rangle dt \geq 0.$$

With  $w = u \pm sv$  ( $v \in L^p(0, T; V)$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$ ), the hemicontinuity of  $A$  implies the assertion  $a = Au$ .

After all, we have shown the convergence asserted at least for a subsequence. Since the solution  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  to (1.1) is unique, the whole sequence  $\{u_{\mathbb{I}_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges weakly in  $L^p(0, T; V)$  towards  $u$ . This is proven by contradiction  $\square$

We shall emphasise here that we have no  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ -bound on the sequence of numerical solutions and, in particular, no control over the time derivative of the numerical solutions (which, in general, does not exist in the weak sense). We, therefore, cannot make any statement about strong convergence due to the lack of compactness.

## 5 Stability and smooth-data error estimates

In addition to Assumption A, let us suppose that the operators  $A(t) : V \rightarrow V^*$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ) are uniformly monotone in the sense that there is a constant  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $v, w \in V$

$$\langle A(t)v - A(t)w, v - w \rangle \geq \mu_0 \|v - w\|^p. \quad (5.1)$$

Note that this restricts the range for the Lebesgue exponent  $p$  to the interval  $[2, \infty)$  as there is no nontrivial monotone operator fulfilling (5.1) with  $1 < p < 2$ . Furthermore, uniform monotonicity implies the coercivity condition as well as strict monotonicity (and thus uniqueness of a solution to the time discrete problem). We could also allow uniform monotonicity up to a shift  $+\kappa I$ . However, the problem can then again be transformed into a problem with  $\kappa = 0$ .

For deriving error estimates, we will also require the following Hölder-like condition on bounded subsets: there are  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  such that for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $v, w \in V$

$$\|A(t)v - A(t)w\|_* \leq \beta(1 + \|v\| + \|w\|)^{p-1-\gamma} \|v - w\|^\gamma. \quad (5.2)$$

Both the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) are, e.g., fulfilled for the  $p$ -Laplacian (with  $\gamma = 1$ ).

We are now in the position to prove the continuous dependence of the numerical solution on the problem's data.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let Assumption A be fulfilled with  $p \geq 2$  and assume (5.1). Let  $u_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  and  $v_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  be the solution to (1.3) corresponding to  $u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 \in H$ ,  $f \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$  and  $v_{\mathbb{I}}^0 \in H$ ,  $g \in L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)$ , respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{n=1,2,\dots,N} |(u_{\mathbb{I}} - v_{\mathbb{I}})(t_n^-)|^2 + \max_{n=1,2,\dots,N} |(u_{\mathbb{I}} - v_{\mathbb{I}})(t_{n-1}^+)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \|[(u_{\mathbb{I}} - v_{\mathbb{I}})(t_{n-1})]\|^2 \\ & + \|u_{\mathbb{I}} - v_{\mathbb{I}}\|_{L^p(0,T;V)}^p \leq c \left( |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - v_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \|f - g\|_{L^{p^*}(0,T;V^*)}^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  only depends on  $\mu_0$  and  $p$ .

*Proof* We subtract the two equations (1.3) corresponding to  $u_{\mathbb{I}}$  and  $v_{\mathbb{I}}$ , test with  $(u_{\mathbb{I}} - v_{\mathbb{I}})\chi_{[0,t_k]}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) and employ the uniform monotonicity of  $A(t)$  ( $t \in [0, T]$ ). The rest of the proof follows the same arguments as that of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

Unfortunately, we cannot derive a priori error estimates (in the case of sufficiently regular exact solutions) directly from the foregoing stability estimate, since we cannot test (1.3) by  $u - u_{\mathbb{I}}$  as the discretisation error is not an element of  $\mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$ . We, therefore, introduce the interpolation  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  of  $u \in \mathscr{W}$  (see also [48, p. 842], [53, p. 207]) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_n^-) = u(t_n) \quad \text{in } H \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ & \int_{I_n} (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t) \varphi(t) dt = \int_{I_n} u(t) \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{in } V \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathscr{P}^{q_n-1}(I_n; \mathbb{R}), n = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Remember here that  $\mathscr{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H)$  and note that the number of degrees of freedom for  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  coincides with the number of conditions above, namely  $\sum_{n=1}^N (q_n + 1)$ . Relying on a Hilbert space theory, existence and uniqueness of  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u \in \mathscr{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  is shown in

[48,53] (for slightly different definitions). For completeness, we show in the sequel existence and uniqueness also for our setting.

The determination of  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  can be split into that of  $(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)|_{I_n} \in \mathcal{P}^{q_n}(I_n; V)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) fulfilling the conditions of (5.3) for the current  $n$ . We may now transform  $I_n$  on  $(-1, 1)$  and may introduce the local interpolation operator  $\hat{\pi}^q$  defined by

$$(\hat{\pi}^q \hat{u})(1) = \hat{u}(1), \quad \int_{-1}^1 (\hat{\pi}^q \hat{u})(t) \varphi(t) dt = \int_{-1}^1 \hat{u}(t) \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{P}^{q-1}(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denotes the transform of the restriction  $u|_{I_n}$  on the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and  $q = q_n$ .

Note that  $\hat{\pi}^q \hat{u} = \widehat{\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u}$ .

Denoting by

$$L_i(t) := \frac{(-1)^i}{2^i i!} \frac{d^i}{dt^i} (1-t^2)^i \in \mathcal{P}^i(-1, 1; \mathbb{R}), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, q,$$

the Legendre polynomials, which form a basis in  $\mathcal{P}^q(-1, 1; \mathbb{R})$ , we can make the ansatz

$$(\hat{\pi}^q \hat{u})(t) = \sum_{i=0}^q \hat{u}_i L_i(t) \quad (5.4)$$

and have to determine the coefficients  $\hat{u}_i \in V$ . Employing the relations

$$L_i(1) = 1, \quad \int_{-1}^1 L_i(t) L_j(t) dt = \frac{2}{2i+1} \delta_{ij},$$

there is obviously a unique solution to the corresponding linear system of equations, which is given by

$$\hat{u}_i = \frac{2i+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \hat{u}(t) L_i(t) dt \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1), \quad \hat{u}_q = \hat{u}(1) - \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \hat{u}_i, \quad (5.5)$$

which proves the existence and uniqueness of the local interpolant and thus also of the interpolant  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u$ .

Unfortunately, the results in [49] on the interpolation error cannot be applied here as these results rely upon a setting in  $L^2(0, T; V)$  with  $V$  being a separable Hilbert space. We, therefore, provide in the following an estimate of the approximation and interpolation error. The dependence on the polynomial degree and order of regularity in our estimate is, however, not as optimal as in [49, Prop. 3.9]. Nevertheless, we obtain the same order of convergence.

We commence by proving an approximation result.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space and let  $v : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a given function with  $v, v', \dots, v^{(q+1)} \in L^r(-1, 1; X)$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in [1, \infty]$ . Then there is a polynomial  $Qv \in \mathcal{P}^q(-1, 1; X)$  such that for all  $s \in [1, \infty]$*

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - Qv\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} &\leq C(j, r, s) \|v^{(j+1)}\|_{L^r(-1, 1; X)}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, q, \\ \|v' - (Qv)'\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} &\leq C(j-1, r, s) \|v^{(j+1)}\|_{L^r(-1, 1; X)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, q, \end{aligned}$$

where (with the convention  $1/0 := \infty$ ,  $1/\infty := 0$ ,  $\infty^0 := 1$ )

$$C(j, r, s) = \frac{2^{j+1+1/s-1/r}}{j!(j+1+j/(r-1))^{1-1/r}(j+1+1/s-1/r)^{1/s} s^{1/s}}. \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof* The proof employs, as in the classical setting for deriving estimates of the approximation error in Sobolev spaces, an averaged Taylor expansion.

The assumption on  $v$  implies that  $v, v', \dots, v^{(q)} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$  are absolutely continuous. We, therefore, have for all  $t, y \in [-1, 1]$  the Taylor expansion

$$v(t) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(t-y)^k}{k!} v^{(k)}(y) + \int_y^t \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} v^{(j+1)}(z) dz.$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(-1, 1)$  be nonnegative with  $\int_{-1}^1 \varphi(y) dy = 1$  and define

$$(Qv)(t) := \int_{-1}^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(t-y)^k}{k!} v^{(k)}(y) \right) \varphi(y) dy.$$

It is clear that  $Qv \in \mathcal{P}^j(-1, 1; X) \subset \mathcal{P}^q(-1, 1; X)$ . We then find

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) - (Qv)(t) &= \int_{-1}^1 \left( \int_y^t \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} v^{(j+1)}(z) dz \right) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-1}^t \left( \int_{-1}^z \varphi(y) dy \right) \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} v^{(j+1)}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r^* = r/(r-1)$  be the exponent conjugated to  $r > 1$  with  $r^* := 1$  for  $r = \infty$ . With the properties of  $\varphi$  and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t) - (Qv)(t)\|_X &\leq \int_{-1}^t \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} \|v^{(j+1)}(z)\|_X dz \\ &\leq \left( \int_{-1}^t \left[ \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} \right]^{r^*} dz \right)^{1/r^*} \|v^{(j+1)}\|_{L^r(-1, 1; X)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_{-1}^t \left[ \frac{(t-z)^j}{j!} \right]^{r^*} dz = \frac{(t+1)^{jr^*+1}}{(j!)^{r^*} (jr^*+1)}.$$

If  $r = 1$ , we have analogously

$$\|v(t) - (Qv)(t)\|_X \leq \frac{(t+1)^j}{j!} \|v^{(j+1)}\|_{L^1(-1, 1; X)}.$$

We thus come up with

$$\|v - Qv\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \leq C(j, r, s) \|v^{(j+1)}\|_{L^r(-1, 1; X)},$$

where for  $r > 1$  and  $s < \infty$

$$C(j, r, s) = \left( \int_{-1}^1 \left[ \frac{(t+1)^{jr^*+1}}{(j!)^{r^*} (jr^*+1)} \right]^{s/r^*} dt \right)^{1/s} = \frac{2^{j+1/r^*+1/s}}{j!(jr^*+1)^{1/r^*} (js+s/r^*+1)^{1/s}},$$

and

$$C(j, 1, s) = \frac{2^{j+1/s}}{j!(js+1)^{1/s}} \quad (s < \infty), \quad C(j, 1, \infty) = \frac{2^j}{j!}, \quad C(j, r, \infty) = \frac{2^{j+1/r^*}}{j!(jr^*+1)^{1/r^*}} \quad (r > 1).$$

This, together with some elementary calculations, proves the first assertion.

For the second estimate, we observe that

$$v'(t) - (Qv)'(t) = \int_{-1}^t \left( \int_{-1}^z \varphi(y) dy \right) \frac{(t-z)^{(j-1)}}{(j-1)!} v^{(j+1)}(z) dz.$$

The rest of the proof follows the same arguments as before but with  $j$  being replaced by  $j-1$ .  $\square$

Note that we have, in particular,

$$C(j, 2, 2) = \frac{2^{j+1}}{j! \sqrt{2(2j+1)(j+1)}} \leq \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} = C(j, \infty, \infty). \quad (5.7)$$

The following result provides the boundedness of the local interpolation operator.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space and let  $v : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$  be a given function with  $v, v' \in L^s(-1, 1; X)$  ( $s \in [1, \infty]$ ). Then (with the convention  $(2q-1) := 0$  for  $q = 0$  and denoting by  $s^*$  the exponent conjugated to  $s$ )*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\pi}^q v\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} &\leq \left( (2q-1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q+1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \right) \|v\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \\ &\quad + 2(2q+1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \|v'\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* We restrict our considerations to the case  $q \geq 1$ ; the proof for  $q = 0$  immediately follows from (5.8) below. As in (5.4) and (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\pi}^q v)(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} v_i (L_i(t) - L_q(t)) + v(1) L_q(t), \\ v_i &= \frac{2i+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 v(t) L_i(t) dt \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1). \end{aligned}$$

We, therefore, find

$$\|\hat{\pi}^q v\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \|v_i\|_X \|L_i - L_q\|_{L^s(-1, 1)} + \|v(1)\|_X \|L_q\|_{L^s(-1, 1)},$$

where for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$

$$\|v_i\|_X \leq \frac{2i+1}{2} \|v\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \|L_i\|_{L^{s^*}(-1, 1)}.$$

Because of the continuous embedding of the space  $W^{1,s}(0, T; X) := \{v \in L^s(-1, 1; X) : v' \in L^s(-1, 1; X)\}$  into  $\mathcal{C}([-1, 1]; X)$ , there holds

$$\|v(1)\|_X \leq 2^{-1/s} \|v\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} + 2^{1/s^*} \|v'\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)}. \quad (5.8)$$

The assertion follows with

$$\|L_i\|_{L^s(-1, 1)} \leq 2^{1/s} (2i+1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, q),$$

which can be shown by employing the properties of the Legendre polynomials (in particular,  $\|L_i\|_{L^\infty(-1, 1)} = 1$ ,  $\|L_i\|_{L^2(-1, 1)}^2 = 2/(2i+1)$ ), and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (2i+1)^{1/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} \leq (2q-1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)}.$$

□

An immediate consequence is the following stability of the interpolation operator.

**Lemma 5.3** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space and let  $v : [0, T] \rightarrow X$  be a given function with  $v, v' \in L^r(I_n; X)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ), where  $q_{\min} \geq 1$  and  $r \in [1, \infty]$ . Then for all  $s \in [1, \infty]$  and  $j_n = 1, 2, \dots, q_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ )*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(0, T; X)} &\leq \left( (2q_{\max} - 1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q_{\min} + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \right) \|v\|_{L^s(0, T; X)} \\ &\quad + 2(2q_{\min} + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \tau_{\max} \|v'\|_{L^s(0, T; X)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* Since

$$\|\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(0, T; X)}^s = \sum_{n=1}^N \|\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(I_n; X)}^s,$$

the assertion follows immediately from a transformation of  $I_n$  onto  $(-1, 1)$ , estimating the local interpolation on  $(-1, 1)$ , and an inverse transformation. Remember here that  $\hat{\pi}^q \hat{v} = \widehat{\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v}$  (with  $\hat{\cdot}$  denoting the transformation). We only have to employ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{n=1, \dots, N} \left( (2q - 1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \right) \\ &\leq (2q_{\max} - 1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q_{\min} + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\max_{n=1, \dots, N} 2(2q + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \leq 2(2q_{\min} + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)}.$$

□

We are now in the position to prove an estimate for the interpolation error.

**Lemma 5.4** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a real Banach space and let  $v: [0, T] \rightarrow X$  be a given function with  $v, v', \dots, v^{(q_n+1)} \in L^r(I_n; X)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ), where  $q_{\min} \geq 1$  and  $r \in [1, \infty]$ . Then for all  $s \in [1, \infty]$  and  $j_n = 1, 2, \dots, q_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ )*

$$\|v - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(0, T; X)} \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \tau_n^{(j_n+1)s} D_n(j_n, r, s) \|v^{(j_n+1)}\|_{L^r(I_n; X)}^s \right)^{1/s},$$

where (see (5.6))

$$D_n(j_n, r, s) := \left( 1 + (2q_n - 1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q_n + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \right) C(j_n, r, s) \\ + 2(2q_n + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} C(j_n - 1, r, s).$$

*Proof* Since

$$\|v - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(0, T; X)}^s = \sum_{n=1}^N \|v - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v\|_{L^s(I_n; X)}^s,$$

the assertion will follow again from a transformation of  $I_n$  onto  $(-1, 1)$ , estimating the local interpolation error on  $(-1, 1)$ , and an inverse transformation. Remember that  $\hat{\pi}^q \hat{v} = \widehat{\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q v}$  (with  $\hat{\cdot}$  denoting the transformation).

For the local interpolation error (with  $q = q_n$  when transforming  $I_n$  on  $(-1, 1)$ ), we have

$$\hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q \hat{v} = \hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q Q \hat{v} + \hat{\pi}^q Q \hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q \hat{v} = \hat{v} - Q \hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q (\hat{v} - Q \hat{v}),$$

and thus with Lemma 5.2

$$\|\hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q \hat{v}\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \\ \leq \left( 1 + (2q - 1)^{3/2-1/\max(s, s^*)} + (2q + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \right) \|\hat{v} - Q \hat{v}\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \\ + 2(2q + 1)^{-1/\max(2, s)} \|\hat{v}' - (Q \hat{v})'\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)}.$$

Lemma 5.1 now yields

$$\|\hat{v} - \hat{\pi}^q \hat{v}\|_{L^s(-1, 1; X)} \leq D_n(j, r, s) \|\hat{v}^{(j+1)}\|_{L^r(-1, 1; X)},$$

from which the assertion follows.  $\square$

With (5.7), we find in particular

$$D_n(j, 2, 2) = \frac{2^{j+1}}{j! \sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{2q_n + (2q_n + 1)^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{(2j+1)(j+1)}} + \frac{(2q_n + 1)^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{(2j-1)j}} \right) \\ D(j, \infty, \infty) = \frac{2^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} \left( j + 2 + (2q_n - 1)^{3/2} \right).$$

The error estimate now reads as follows.

**Theorem 5.2** *Let Assumption A be fulfilled with  $p \geq 2$  and assume (5.1) as well as (5.2). Moreover, let  $u \in \mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H)$  be the solution to (1.1) with  $u_0 \in H$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; V^*)$ . If  $u' \in L^p(0, T; V)$  and  $u^{(r)} \in L^{p^*}(I_n; V^*)$  ( $r = 2, \dots, j_n + 1$ ,  $1 \leq j_n \leq q_n$ ,  $j_{\min} := \min_{n=1, \dots, N} j_n$ ) then the solution  $u_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}}$  to (1.3) fulfills for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$  the error estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} & |u(t_k) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-)|^2 + |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+)|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u(t) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)\|^p dt \\ & \leq c |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + c D_1(j_1, p^*, p) \tau_1^{2+j_1} \|u'\|_{\mathcal{C}(\overline{I_1}; V^*)} \|u^{(j_1+1)}\|_{L^{p^*}(I_1; V^*)} \\ & \quad + c \left( 1 + \left( 1 + (2q_{\max} - 1)^{3/2-1/p} \right) \|u\|_{L^p(0, t_k; V)} + \|u'\|_{L^p(0, t_k; V)} \right)^{p(p-1-\gamma)/(p-1)} \times \\ & \quad \times \left( \sum_{n=1}^k \tau_n^{(j_n+1)p} D_n(j_n, p^*, p) \|u^{(j_n+1)}\|_{L^{p^*}(0, t_k; V^*)} \right)^{\gamma/(p-1)} \\ & \leq c |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \bar{c} \tau_{\max}^{(j_{\min}+1)\gamma/(p-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $u$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  but  $\bar{c}$  may depend on  $\mathbf{q}$  as well as on  $\|u\|_{L^p(0, T; V)}$ ,  $\|u'\|_{L^p(0, T; V)}$ ,  $\|u'\|_{\mathcal{C}(\overline{I_1}; V^*)}$ ,  $\|u^{(j_{\min}+1)}\|_{L^{p^*}(0, T; V^*)}$ .

*Proof* We test (1.1) as well as (1.3) by an arbitrary function  $v_{\mathbb{I}} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{I}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  and subtract the two equations. This gives (with the convention  $u(0^-) := u_0$ ,  $(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(0^-) := (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(0^+)$ ) the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} (u'(t) - u'_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t)) dt + \sum_{n=1}^N ([u(t_{n-1}) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1})], v_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+)) \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle A(t)u(t) - A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t), v_{\mathbb{I}}(t) \rangle dt = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

which resembles the classical Galerkin orthogonality. (Remember  $[u(t_{n-1})] \equiv 0$  since  $u$  is continuous.)

With (5.1), integration by parts (employing (3.4), (3.5)), taking  $v_{\mathbb{I}} = (u_{\mathbb{I}} - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u) \chi_{[0, t_k]}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) in (5.9) and integration by parts again, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t_k^-)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(0^-)|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^k |[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t_{n-1})]|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t)\|^p dt \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{I_n} (u'_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)'(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t)) dt \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^k ([u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t_{n-1})], u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t_{n-1}^+)) \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t)u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}} u)(t) \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{I_n} (u'(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)'(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t)) dt \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^k ([u(t_{n-1}) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_{n-1})], u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}^+) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_{n-1}^+)) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t) \rangle dt \\
&= - \sum_{n=1}^k \int_{I_n} (u(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t), u'_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)'(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1}^k (u(t_{n-1}^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_{n-1}^-), [u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_{n-1})]) \\
&\quad + (u(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_k^-), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_k^-)) \\
&\quad - (u(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-), u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-)) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t) \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right-hand side of the foregoing estimate vanishes in view of the definition of  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q$  since the restriction of  $u'_{\mathbb{I}} - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)'$  on  $I_n$  is in  $\mathcal{P}^{q_n-1}(I_n; V)$ . The second (except for the initial error with  $n = 1$ ) and the third term vanish also because of the definition of  $\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q$ . Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_k^-)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-)|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^k |[u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_{n-1}) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_{n-1})]|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t)\|^p dt \\
&\leq - (u(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-), [u_{\mathbb{I}}(0) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0)]) \\
&\quad - (u(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-), u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-)) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t) \rangle dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling  $u(0^-) := u_0$ ,  $u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^-) := u_{\mathbb{I}}^0$ ,  $(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^-) := (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+)$  and employing the Cauchy–Schwarz and Young inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t_k^-)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+) - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t)\|^p dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+)|^2 - (u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+), u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+) - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 + u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+)) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_k} \langle A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t), u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t) \rangle dt \\
&\leq |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+)|^2 + \frac{3}{2} |u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(0^+)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+) - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 \\
&\quad + c \int_0^{t_k} \|A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t)\|_*^{p^*} dt + \frac{\mu}{2} \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^q u)(t)\|^p dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  only depends on  $\mu$  and  $p$ . It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t_k^-)|^2 + |u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+) - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u_{\mathbb{I}}(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t)\|^p dt \\
& \leq c \left( |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 + |u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 + \int_0^{t_k} \|A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t)\|_*^{p^*} dt \right) \\
& \leq c \left( |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - u_0|^2 + |u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 + \int_0^{t_k} \|A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t)\|_*^{p^*} dt \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

With (5.2), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 5.2, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{t_k} \|A(t)u(t) - A(t)(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t)\|^{p^*} dt \\
& \leq c \left( 1 + \|u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)} + \|\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)} \right)^{p^*(p-1-\gamma)} \|u - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)}^{p^*\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Recalling that  $u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H)$  and  $u(t_k) = (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t_k^-)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ), we find with (5.10) and (5.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u(t_k) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-)|^2 + |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+)|^2 + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u(t) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)\|^p dt \\
& \leq c \left( |(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t_k^-) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t_k^-)|^2 + |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + |u_{\mathbb{I}}^0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}(0^+)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|u(t) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t)\|^p dt + \mu \int_0^{t_k} \|(\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t) - u_{\mathbb{I}}(t)\|^p dt \right) \\
& \leq c \left( |u_0 - u_{\mathbb{I}}^0|^2 + |u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 + \|u - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)}^p \right) \\
& \quad + c \left( 1 + \|u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)} + \|\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)} \right)^{p^*(p-1-\gamma)} \|u - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_k;V)}^{p^*\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

The final error estimate now follows from the foregoing estimate together with Lemma 5.3 and 5.4 upon noting that  $p \geq p^*\gamma$  and that with (5.3), integration by parts, and  $u' \in W^{1,p^*}(0, T; V^*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}([0, T]; V^*)$

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 &= |u_0 - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(0^+)|^2 - |u(t_1) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(t_1^-)|^2 \\
&= - \int_0^{t_1} \langle u'(s) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)'(s), u(s) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(s) \rangle ds \\
&= - \int_0^{t_1} \langle u'(s), u(s) - (\pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u)(s) \rangle ds \\
&\leq \|u'\|_{L^{p^*}(0,t_1;V^*)} \|u - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_1;V)} \\
&\leq \tau_1 \|u'\|_{\mathcal{C}([0,t_1];V^*)} \|u - \pi_{\mathbb{I}}^{\mathbf{q}}u\|_{L^p(0,t_1;V)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, in order to get a local error estimate, we apply Lemma 5.3 and 5.4 only on the subintervals  $I_1$  and  $(0, t_k)$ , which is clear from the corresponding proofs.  $\square$

The above theorem provides a global error estimate of maximum order  $(q_{\min} + 1)\gamma/2(p - 1)$  for the left values of the numerical solution in each of the subintervals and of order  $(q_{\min} + 1)\gamma/p(p - 1)$  in the  $L^p(0, T; V)$ -norm if the initial error is of appropriate order. The order of the error here coincides with the result known from [3] for the special situation  $p = 2$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , and  $q_n \equiv q$  dealt with there. We are not going to quantify the dependence on  $\mathbf{q}$  in more detail as we would do for a  $hp$ -variant method since, in general, we cannot expect a very high accuracy of the exact solution to the nonlinear problem.

## References

1. G. Akrivis and M. Crouzeix, Linearly implicit methods for nonlinear parabolic equations, *Math. Comp.* **73** (2004) 246, pp. 613–635.
2. G. Akrivis, M. Crouzeix, and C. Makridakis, Implicit-explicit multistep methods for quasilinear parabolic equations, *Numer. Math.* **82** (1999) 4, pp. 521–541.
3. G. Akrivis and C. Makridakis, Galerkin time-stepping methods for nonlinear parabolic equations, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **38** (2004) 2, pp. 261–289.
4. G. Akrivis, C. Makridakis, and R.H. Nochetto, A posteriori error estimates for the Crank-Nicolson method for parabolic equations, *Math. Comp.* **75** (2006) 254, pp. 511–531.
5. V. Barbu, *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, Noordhoff Int. Publ., Leyden, 1976.
6. H. Brunner and D. Schötzau,  $hp$ -discontinuous Galerkin time-stepping for Volterra integrodifferential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **44** 1, pp. 224–245.
7. M. P. Calvo and C. Palencia, A class of explicit multistep exponential integrators for semilinear problems, *Numer. Math.* **102** (2006) 3, pp. 367–381.
8. M. Crouzeix and V. Thomée, On the discretization in time for semilinear parabolic equations with nonsmooth initial data, *Math. Comp.* **49** (1987) 180, pp. 359–377.
9. V. Dolejší and M. Feistauer, Error estimates of the discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear non-stationary convection-diffusion problems, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optimization* **26** (2005) 3, pp. 349–383.
10. V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, and J. Hozman, Analysis of semi-implicit DGFEM for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems on nonconforming meshes, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **196** (2008) 29-30, pp. 2813–2827.
11. V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, V. Kučera, and V. Sobotíková, An optimal  $L^\infty(L^2)$ -error estimate for the discontinuous Galerkin approximation of a nonlinear non-stationary convection-diffusion problem, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **28** (2008) 3, pp. 496–521.
12. V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, and C. Schwab, A finite volume discontinuous Galerkin scheme for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems, *Calcolo* **39** (2002) 1, pp. 1–40.
13. V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, and C. Schwab, On discontinuous Galerkin methods for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems and compressible flow, *Math. Bohem.* **127** (2002) 2, pp. 163–179.
14. V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, and V. Sobotíková, Analysis of the discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **194** (2005) 25-26, pp. 2709–2733.
15. E. Emmrich, Two-step BDF time discretisation of nonlinear evolution problems governed by monotone operators with strongly continuous perturbations, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* **9** (2009) 1, pp. 37–62.
16. E. Emmrich, Convergence of a time discretization for a class of non-Newtonian fluid flow, *Commun. Math. Sci.* **6** (2008) 4, pp. 827–843.
17. E. Emmrich, Convergence of the variable two-step BDF time discretisation of nonlinear evolution problems governed by a monotone potential operator, *BIT Numer. Math.* **49** (2009), pp. 297–323.
18. E. Emmrich, Variable time-step  $\vartheta$ -scheme for nonlinear evolution equations governed by a monotone operator, *Calcolo* **46** (2009) 3, pp. 187–210.
19. E. Emmrich and M. Thalhammer, Stiffly accurate Runge–Kutta methods for nonlinear evolution problems governed by a monotone operator, *Math. Comp.* **79** (2010), pp. 785–806.

20. K. Eriksson and C. Johnson, Error estimates and automatic time step control for nonlinear parabolic problems I, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **24** (1987) 1, pp. 12–23.
21. K. Eriksson and C. Johnson, Adaptive finite element methods for parabolic problems IV: nonlinear problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **32** (1995) 6, pp. 1729–1749.
22. K. Eriksson, C. Johnson, and V. Thomée, Time discretization of parabolic problems by the discontinuous Galerkin method, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **19** (1985), pp. 611–643.
23. D. Estep and S. Larsson, The discontinuous Galerkin method for semilinear parabolic equations, *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **27** (1993), pp. 35–54.
24. H. Gajewski, K. Gröger, and K. Zacharias, *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
25. C. González, A. Ostermann, C. Palencia, and M. Thalhammer, Backward Euler discretization of fully nonlinear parabolic problems, *Math. Comp.* **71** (2002) 237, pp. 125–145.
26. C. González and C. Palencia, Stability of Runge–Kutta methods for quasilinear parabolic problems, *Math. Comp.* **69** (2000) 230, pp. 609–628.
27. E. Hansen, Runge–Kutta time discretizations of nonlinear dissipative evolution equations, *Math. Comp.* **75** (2006) 254, pp. 631–640.
28. E. Hansen, Convergence of multistep time discretizations of nonlinear dissipative evolution equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **44** (2006) 1, pp. 55–65.
29. E. Hansen, Galerkin/Runge–Kutta discretizations of nonlinear parabolic equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **205** (2007) 2, pp. 882–890.
30. J.S. Hesthaven and T. Warburton, *Nodal discontinuous Galerkin methods: Algorithms, analysis, and applications*, Springer, New York, 2008.
31. H. Kreth, Time-discretisations for nonlinear evolution equations, in: *Numerical treatment of differential equations in applications* (Proc. Meeting, Math. Res. Center, Oberwolfach, 1977), pp. 57–63, Lect. Notes Mathem., vol. **679**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
32. A. Lasis and E. Süli, *hp*-version discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for semilinear parabolic problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45** (2007) 4, pp. 1544–1569.
33. A. Lasis and E. Süli, One-parameter discontinuous Galerkin finite element discretisation of quasilinear parabolic problems, Oxford University, Numerical Analysis Group Research Report NA-04/25, Oxford, 2004.
34. M.-N. Le Roux, Méthodes multipas pour des équations paraboliques non linéaires, *Numer. Math.* **35** (1980), pp. 143–162.
35. J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
36. C. Lubich and A. Ostermann, Runge–Kutta approximation of quasi-linear parabolic equations, *Math. Comp.* **64** (1995) 210, pp. 601–627.
37. C. Lubich and A. Ostermann, Linearly implicit time discretization of non-linear parabolic equations, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **15** (1995) 4, pp. 555–583.
38. C. Lubich and A. Ostermann, Runge–Kutta time discretization of reaction-diffusion and Navier-Stokes equations: Nonsmooth-data error estimates and applications to long-time behaviour, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **22** (1996) 1-3, pp. 279–292.
39. C. Makridakis and R.H. Nochetto, A posteriori error analysis for higher order dissipative methods for evolution problems, *Numer. Math.* **104** (2006) 4, pp. 489–514.
40. R.H. Nochetto and G. Savaré, Nonlinear evolution governed by accretive operators in Banach spaces: error control and applications, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **16** (2006) 3, pp. 439–477.
41. M.R. Ohm, H.Y. Lee, and J.Y. Shin, Error estimates for discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear parabolic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **315** (2006) 1, pp. 132–143.
42. A. Ostermann and M. Thalhammer, Convergence of Runge–Kutta methods for nonlinear parabolic equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **42** (2002) 1-3, pp. 367–380.
43. A. Ostermann, M. Thalhammer, and G. Kirlinger, Stability of linear multistep methods and applications to nonlinear parabolic problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **48** (2004) 3-4, pp. 389–407.
44. B. Rivière, *Discontinuous Galerkin methods for solving elliptic and parabolic equations: Theory and implementation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2008.
45. B. Rivière and M.F. Wheeler, A discontinuous Galerkin method applied to nonlinear parabolic equations, In: B. Cockburn et al. (eds.), *Discontinuous Galerkin methods: Theory, computation and applications*. *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng.* **11** (2000), pp. 231–244.
46. T. Roubíček, *Nonlinear partial differential equations with applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

47. J. Rulla, Error analysis for implicit approximations to solutions to Cauchy problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **33** (1996) 1, pp. 68–87.
48. D. Schötzau and C. Schwab, Time discretization of parabolic problems by the *hp*-version of the discontinuous Galerkin finite element method, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **38** (2000) 3, pp. 837–875.
49. D. Schötzau and C. Schwab, *hp*-discontinuous Galerkin time-stepping for parabolic problems, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math.* **333** (2001) 12, pp. 1121–1126.
50. M. Slodička, Smoothing effect and discretization in time to semilinear parabolic equations with non-smooth data, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **32** (1991) 4, pp. 703–713.
51. M. Slodička, Semigroup formulation of Rothe’s method: application to parabolic problems, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **33** (1992) 2, pp. 245–260.
52. V. Sobotíková and M. Feistauer, Effect of numerical integration in the DGFEM for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations* **23** (2008) 6, pp. 1368–1395.
53. V. Thomée, *Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
54. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications, II/A: Linear monotone operators, II/B: Nonlinear monotone operators*, Springer, New York, 1990.
55. M. Zlámal, Finite element methods for nonlinear parabolic equations, *R.A.I.R.O. Anal. Numér.* **11** (1977) 1, pp. 93–107.