

Champagne subdomains with unavoidable bubbles

WOLFHARD HANSEN and IVAN NETUKA *

Abstract

A champagne subdomain of a connected open set $U \neq \emptyset$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, is obtained omitting pairwise disjoint closed balls $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$, $x \in X$, the bubbles, where X is an infinite, locally finite set in U . The union A of these balls may be unavoidable, that is, Brownian motion, starting in $U \setminus A$ and killed when leaving U , may hit A almost surely or, equivalently, A may have harmonic measure one for $U \setminus A$.

Recent publications by Gardiner/Ghergu ($d \geq 3$) and by Pres ($d = 2$) give rather sharp answers to the question how small such a set A may be, when U is the unit ball.

In this paper, using a totally different approach, optimal results are obtained, results which hold as well for arbitrary connected open sets U .

Keywords: Harmonic measure; Brownian motion; capacity; champagne subregion; champagne subdomain; unavoidable bubbles

MSC: 31A15; 31B15; 60J65

1 Introduction and main results

Throughout this paper let U denote a non-empty connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$. Let us say that a relatively closed subset A of U is *unavoidable*, if Brownian motion, starting in $U \setminus A$ and killed when leaving U , hits A almost surely or, equivalently, if $\mu_y^{U \setminus A}(A) = 1$, for every $y \in U \setminus A$, where $\mu_y^{U \setminus A}$ denotes the harmonic measure at y with respect to $U \setminus A$ (we note that $\mu_y^{U \setminus A}$ may fail to be a probability measure, if $U \setminus A$ is not bounded).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r > 0$, let $B(x, r)$ denote the open ball of center x and radius r . Suppose that X is a countable set in U having no accumulation point in U , and let $r_x > 0$, $x \in X$, such that the closed balls $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$, the *bubbles*, are pairwise disjoint, $\sup_{x \in X} r_x / \text{dist}(x, \partial U) < 1$ and, if U is unbounded, $r_x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then the union A of all $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$ is relatively closed in U , and the connected open set $U \setminus A$ (which is non-empty!) is called a *champagne subdomain of U* .

This generalizes the notions used in [3, 8, 12, 13, 14] for $U = B(0, 1)$; see also [6] for the case, where U is \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 3$. Avoidable unions of randomly distributed balls have been discussed in [11] and, recently, in [5].

It will be convenient to introduce the set X_A for a champagne subdomain $U \setminus A$: X_A is the set of centers of all the bubbles forming A (and r_x , $x \in X_A$, is the radius of

*Both authors gratefully acknowledge support by CRC-701, Bielefeld.

the bubble centered at x). It is fairly easy to see that, given a champagne subdomain $U \setminus A$ and a finite subset X' of X_A , the set A is unavoidable if and only if the union of all bubbles $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$, $x \in X_A \setminus X'$, is unavoidable.

The main result of Akeroyd [3] is, for a given $\delta > 0$, the existence of a champagne subdomain of the unit disc such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{x \in X_A} r_x < \delta \text{ and yet } A \text{ is unavoidable.}$$

Ortega-Cerdà and Seip [13] improved the result of Akeroyd in characterizing a certain class of champagne subdomains $B(0, 1) \setminus A$, where A is unavoidable and $\sum_{x \in X_A} r_x < \infty$, and hence the statement of (1.1) can be obtained omitting finitely many of the discs $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$, $x \in X_A$.

Let us note that already in [10] the existence of a champagne subdomain of an arbitrary bounded connected open set U in \mathbb{R}^2 having property (1.1) was crucial for the construction of an example answering Littlewood's one circle problem to the negative. In fact, Proposition 3 in [10] is a bit stronger: Even a Markov chain formed by jumps on annuli hits A before it goes to ∂U . The statement about harmonic measure (hitting by Brownian motion) is obtained by the first part of the proof of Proposition 3 in [10] (cf. also [9], where this is explicitly stated at the top of page 72). This part uses only "one-bubble-estimates" for the global Green function and the minimum principle.

Recently, Gardiner/Ghergu [8, Corollary 3] proved the following.

THEOREM A. *If $d \geq 3$, then, for all $\alpha > d - 2$ and $\delta > 0$, there is a champagne subdomain $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ such that A is unavoidable and*

$$\sum_{x \in X_A} r_x^\alpha < \delta.$$

Moreover, Pres [14, Corollary 1.3] showed the following for the plane.

THEOREM B. *If $d = 2$, then, for all $\alpha > 1$ and $\delta > 0$, there is a champagne subdomain $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ such that A is unavoidable and*

$$\sum_{x \in X_A} \left(\log \frac{1}{r_x}\right)^{-\alpha} < \delta.$$

Due to capacity reasons both results are sharp in the sense that α cannot be replaced by $d - 2$ in Theorem A and α cannot be replaced by 1 in Theorem B. In fact, taking $\alpha = d - 2$, $\alpha = 1$, respectively, the corresponding series diverge, if A is an unavoidable set of bubbles (see [8, p. 323] and [14, Remark 1.4]). The proofs of Theorems A and B are quite involved and, in addition, use the delicate results [7, Theorem 1] (cf. [2, Corollary 7.4.4]) on minimal thinness of subsets A of $B(0, 1)$ at points $z \in \partial B(0, 1)$ and [1, Proposition 4.1.1] on quasi-additivity of capacity.

Carefully choosing bubbles centered at concentric spheres, estimating related potentials, and using the minimum principle, we obtain the following optimal result, not only for the unit ball, but even for arbitrary connected open sets.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $U \neq \emptyset$ be a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, and let $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t) = 0$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$, there is a champagne subdomain $U \setminus A$ such that A is unavoidable and*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in X_A} \left(\log \frac{1}{r_x}\right)^{-1} h(r_x) &< \delta, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \sum_{x \in X_A} r_x^{d-2} h(r_x) &< \delta, & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we may treat the cases $d = 2$ and $d \geq 3$ simultaneously. To that end we define functions

$$N(t) := \begin{cases} \log \frac{1}{t}, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ t^{2-d}, & \text{if } d \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(t) := 1/N(t)$$

so that $(x, y) \mapsto N(|x - y|)$ is the global Green function and, for $d \geq 3$, $\varphi(t) = t^{d-2}$ is the capacity of balls with radius t (for $d = 2$, $\varphi(t)$ should be considered for $t \in (0, 1)$ only). Using the (capacity) function φ our Theorem 1.1 adopts the following form.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $U \neq \emptyset$ be a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, and let $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t) = 0$. Then, for every $\delta > 0$, there is a champagne subdomain $U \setminus A$ such that A is unavoidable and*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{x \in X_A} \varphi(r_x) h(r_x) < \delta.$$

Accordingly, the results by Gardiner/Ghergu and Pres (Theorems A and B) can be unified as follows.

THEOREM C. *If $d \geq 2$, then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there is a champagne subdomain $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ such that A is unavoidable and*

$$\sum_{x \in X_A} \varphi(r_x)^{1+\varepsilon} < \delta.$$

Clearly, Theorem C follows from Theorem 1.2 taking $h = \varphi^\varepsilon$. Of course, we may get much stronger statements taking, for example,

$$h(t) = (\log \log \dots \log(1/\varphi(t)))^{-1}, \quad t > 0 \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

In fact, we shall obtain the following result for the open unit ball.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $d \geq 2$, $\delta > 0$, and $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t) = 0$. Further, let (R_k) be a sequence in $(1/2, 1)$ which is strictly increasing to 1.*

Then there exist finite sets X_k in $\partial B(0, R_k)$ and $0 < r_k < (1 - R_k)/6$ such that, taking

$$A := \bigcup_{x \in X_k, k \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{B}(x, r_k),$$

the set $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ is a champagne subdomain, A is unavoidable and (1.2) holds.

Let us finish this section explaining in some detail how these results are obtained. Given an exhaustion of an arbitrary domain U by a sequence (V_n) of bounded open subsets, we first present a criterion for unavoidable sets A in U in terms of probabilities for Brownian motion, starting in \bar{V}_n , to hit A before leaving V_{n+1} (Section 2).

To apply this criterion we prove the existence of $c > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that the following holds (Sections 3 and 5): Given $0 < R \leq 1$ and $0 < \rho < R/3$, there exists $0 < \rho_0 \leq \rho/3$ such that, for every $0 < r < \rho_0$, we may choose a finite subset X_r of $\partial B(0, R)$ satisfying

- (i) the product $\#X_r \cdot \varphi(r)$ is bounded by $c\rho^{-1}$,
- (ii) the balls $\bar{B}(x, r)$, $x \in X_r$, are pairwise disjoint,
- (iii) starting in $\bar{B}(0, R + \rho)$ Brownian motion hits the union of the balls $\bar{B}(x, r)$, $x \in X_r$, before leaving $B(0, R + 2\rho)$ with a probability which is at least κ .

In Section 4 we give a straightforward application of our construction X_r to the unit ball considering an exhaustion $(B(0, R_k))_{k \geq k_0}$ given by $R_{k+1} - R_k = (k \log^2 k)^{-1}$ and a “one-bubble-estimate” for the global Green function. The resulting Proposition 4.1 is already fairly close to Theorem C.

The proof of (iii) in Section 5 will be based on a comparison of the sum of the potentials for the points $x \in X_r$ with the equilibrium potential for $\bar{B}(0, R)$ (both with respect to $B(0, R + 2\rho)$).

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now easily accomplished (Section 6). Indeed, given $R_k \uparrow 1$, it suffices to take $\rho_k := (R_{k+1} - R_k)/2$ and to choose $0 < r_k < \rho_{0,k} \leq \rho_k$ with $c\rho_k^{-1}h(r_k) < 2^{-k}\delta$ and $r_k \leq (R_k - R_{k-1})/2$.

Finally, in Section 7, we prove Theorem 1.2 covering the boundaries ∂V_n of an arbitrary exhaustion (V_n) by small balls to which we apply the results of Sections 3 and 5.

2 A general criterion for unavoidable sets

Given an open set W in \mathbb{R}^d and a bounded Borel measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^d , let $H_W f$ denote the function which extends the (generalized) Dirichlet solution $x \mapsto \int f d\mu_x^W$, $x \in W$, to a function on \mathbb{R}^d taking the values $f(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus W$. We shall use that the harmonic kernel H_W has the following property: If W' is an open set in W , then $H_{W'}H_W = H_W$.

Let $U \neq \emptyset$ be a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$, and let $A \subset U$ be relatively closed. Then A is unavoidable if and only if

$$H_{U \setminus A} 1_A = 1 \quad \text{on } U.$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \kappa_j \leq 1$ and V_j be bounded open sets in U , $j \geq j_0$, such that $\bar{V}_j \subset V_{j+1}$, $V_j \uparrow U$, and the following holds: For every $j \geq j_0$ and every $z \in \partial V_j \setminus A$, there exists a closed set E in $A \cap V_{j+1}$ such that*

$$(2.1) \quad H_{V_{j+1} \setminus E} 1_E(z) \geq \kappa_j.$$

Then, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_0 \leq n < m$,

$$(2.2) \quad H_{U \setminus A} 1_A \geq 1 - \prod_{n \leq j < m} (1 - \kappa_j) \quad \text{on } \bar{V}_n.$$

In particular, A is unavoidable if the series $\sum_{j \geq j_0} \kappa_j$ is divergent.

As we noticed later on, the probabilistic aspect of such a result has already been used in [13] and subsequently in [6, 12]: Of course, Brownian motion starting in V_n hits ∂V_n before reaching ∂V_{n+1} . Inequality (2.1) implies that a Brownian particle starting at some $z \in \partial V_j \setminus A$, $n \leq j < m$, does not hit A before reaching ∂V_{j+1} with probability at most $1 - \kappa_j$. By induction and by the strong Markov property, it does not hit A with probability at most $\prod_{n \leq j < m} (1 - \kappa_j)$ before reaching ∂V_m , and therefore it hits A with probability at least $1 - \prod_{n \leq j < m} (1 - \kappa_j)$ before leaving U .

Proof of Proposition 2.1. For $j \geq j_0$, let $W_{j+1} := V_{j+1} \setminus A$. If E is a closed set in $A \cap V_{j+1}$, then $H_{W_{j+1}} 1_{\partial V_{j+1}} \leq 1 - H_{V_{j+1} \setminus E} 1_E$, by the minimum principle. Hence, by (2.1),

$$H_{W_{j+1}} 1_{\partial V_{j+1}} \leq 1 - \kappa_j \quad \text{on } \partial V_j.$$

Now let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_0 \leq n < m$. By induction,

$$H_{W_m} 1_{\partial V_m} = H_{W_{n+1}} H_{W_{n+2}} \cdots H_{W_m} 1_{\partial V_m} \leq \prod_{n \leq j < m} (1 - \kappa_j) \quad \text{on } \partial V_n.$$

By the minimum principle, we conclude that

$$H_{U \setminus A} 1_A \geq H_{W_m} 1_A \geq 1 - H_{W_m} 1_{\partial V_m} \geq 1 - \prod_{n \leq j < m} (1 - \kappa_j) \quad \text{on } \bar{V}_n.$$

□

3 Choice of bubbles

Let $0 < R \leq 1$, $U = B(0, R)$, and $\rho \in (0, R/3)$. For every $r > 0$ which is sufficiently small, we shall choose an associated finite subset X_r of ∂U and consider the union E_r of all bubbles $\bar{B}(x, r)$, $x \in X_r$. For $r > 0$, we first define

$$(3.1) \quad \beta := (\varphi(r)\rho)^{1/(d-1)}.$$

In other words, we take β satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi(r) = \beta^{d-1} \rho^{-1}, \quad \text{that is,} \quad r = \begin{cases} \exp(-\rho/\beta), & \text{if } d = 2, \\ \beta^{(d-1)/(d-2)} \rho^{-1/(d-2)}, & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $\beta < \rho$, if $r < \rho$. Further, there exists $0 < \rho_0 \leq \rho/3$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad r < \beta/3, \quad \text{whenever } r \in (0, \rho_0).$$

Indeed, if $d \geq 3$ and $r < 3^{1-d} \rho$, then $r/\beta = (r^{d-1}/(r^{d-2} \rho))^{1/(d-1)} < 1/3$. Assume now that $d = 2$ and $r < (1/18)\rho^2$. Then $\rho/\beta = \log(1/r) < \log\{[\rho/(3r)]^2/2\} < \rho/3r$.

Given $0 < r < \rho_0$, we choose a finite subset X_r of ∂U such that the balls $B(x, \beta)$, $x \in X_r$, cover ∂U and the balls $B(x, \beta/3)$, $x \in X_r$, are pairwise disjoint (such a set X_r exists; see [15, Lemma 7.3]). By (3.3), the balls $\overline{B}(x, r)$, $x \in X_r$, forming E_r are pairwise disjoint. A consideration of the areas involved, when intersecting the balls with ∂U , shows that there exists a constant $c = c(d) > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad c^{-1}(R/\beta)^{d-1} \leq \#X_r \leq c(R/\beta)^{d-1},$$

and hence, by (3.2),

$$(3.5) \quad \#X_r \cdot \varphi(r) \leq c\rho^{-1}.$$

Let us stress already now that, by (3.5), for any choice of $\rho \in (0, R/3)$, the product $\#X_r \cdot \varphi(r) h(r)$ is arbitrarily small provided r is small enough.

4 Result based on a “one-bubble-approach”

It may be surprising that, having Proposition 2.1 and our construction of unions E_r of bubbles centered at spheres $\partial B(0, R)$, already a “one-bubble-approach”, which only uses the global Green function with one pole, may yield a result which is almost as strong as Theorem C.

For Proposition 4.1, a sequence $(R_k)_{k \geq k_0}$ will be chosen in the following way. We fix $k_0 \geq 3^d$ such that $\sum_{j \geq k_0} (j \log^2 j)^{-1} < 1/2$ and $ke^{-k} < (9 \log^2 k)^{-1}$, for $k \geq k_0$. For every $k \geq k_0$, let

$$R_k := 1 - \sum_{j \geq k} (j \log^2 j)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad U_k := B(0, R_k).$$

To apply our construction in Section 3 let us, for the moment, fix $k \geq k_0$ and let $R := R_k$, $\rho := (3 \log^2 k)^{-1}$ so that $U = U_k$ and $\rho < 1/6 < R/3$. Further, let

$$r := \begin{cases} e^{-k}, & \text{if } d = 2, \\ k^{-(d-1)/(d-2)}\rho, & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then $\beta := \rho/k$ satisfies $3\beta = (k \log^2 k)^{-1} = R_{k+1} - R_k$, $\varphi(r) = \beta^{d-1}\rho^{-1}$, and $r/\beta = kr/\rho < 1/3$. So we may choose a corresponding finite set X_r and take $X_k := X_r$, $r_k := r$. Let us already notice that $r_k/(1 - R_k) < \rho/(3k) \cdot k \log^2 k = 1/9$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 1/(d-1)$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $K \geq k_0$ such that, taking*

$$A := \bigcup_{x \in X_k, k \geq K} \overline{B}(x, r_k),$$

the set $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ is a champagne subdomain, A is unavoidable, and

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{x \in X_A} \varphi(r_x)^{1+\varepsilon} < \delta.$$

Proof. Let $k \geq k_0$. By (3.2), $\varphi(r_k) \leq k^{1-d}$. Hence, by (3.5),

$$\#X_k \varphi(r_k)^{1+\varepsilon} \leq c(3 \log^2 k) \varphi(r_k)^\varepsilon \leq c(3 \log^2 k) k^{\varepsilon(1-d)}.$$

So (4.1) holds, if K is sufficiently large.

We next claim that the union A of all $\overline{B}(x, r_k)$, $x \in X_k$, $k \geq K$, is unavoidable. Indeed, let us fix $k \geq K$ and let β, r be as above. Let $z \in \partial U_k \setminus A$. There exists $x \in X_k$ such that $|z - x| < \beta$. We define $E := \overline{B}(x, r)$ and

$$g(y) := \varphi(r)(N(|y - x|) - N(3\beta)), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $3\beta = R_{k+1} - R_k$, we know that $B(x, 3\beta) \subset U_{k+1}$, and hence $g \leq 0$ on ∂U_{k+1} . Further, $g \leq \varphi(r)N(r) = 1$ on the boundary of E . By the minimum principle,

$$H_{U_{k+1} \setminus E} 1_E \geq g \quad \text{on } U_{k+1} \setminus E.$$

Clearly, $N(|z - x|) - N(3\beta) \geq (2/3)\beta^{2-d}$, since $\log 3 \geq 1$ and $1 - 3^{2-d} \geq 2/3$ for $d \geq 3$. Therefore, by (3.2),

$$H_{U_{k+1} \setminus E} 1_E(z) \geq g(z) \geq (2/3)\varphi(r)\beta^{2-d} = (2/3)\beta/\rho = (2/3)k^{-1}.$$

By Proposition 2.1, A is unavoidable. Clearly, $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ is a champagne subdomain. \square

REMARK 4.2. If $d \geq 3$, then $\varphi(r_x)^{1+\varepsilon} = r_x^{(d-2)(1+\varepsilon)}$, where the critical exponent $(d-2)(1+1/(d-1)) = d-1-1/(d-1)$ is strictly smaller than $d-1$.

5 Crucial estimate

Let us now return to the general situation introduced in Section 3. In addition, let

$$U' := B(0, R + \rho), \quad V := B(0, R + 2\rho),$$

and let G be the Green function for V .

PROPOSITION 5.1. *There exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(d) > 0$ such that*

$$(5.1) \quad H_{V \setminus E_r} 1_{E_r} \geq \kappa \quad \text{on } \overline{U'}, \quad \text{for every } r \in (0, \rho_0),$$

that is, Brownian motion starting in \overline{U}' hits E_r with probability at least κ before leaving V , whatever $0 < r < \rho_0$ is.

Before proving Proposition 5.1 we establish two lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2. *There exists a constant $c_1 := c_1(d) > 0$ such that*

$$G(y, z) \leq c_1 G(y, z'), \quad \text{if } y \in V \text{ and } z, z' \in \partial U \text{ with } |y - z'| \leq 4|y - z|.$$

Proof. For $y, z \in V$, let $\Psi(y, z) := (R + 2\rho - |y|)(R + 2\rho - |z|)/|y - z|^2$ and

$$F(y, z) := \begin{cases} \log(1 + \Psi(y, z)), & d = 2, \\ \min\{1, \Psi(y, z)\}|y - z|^{2-d}, & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

If $y \in V$ and $z, z' \in \partial U$ with $|y - z'| \leq 4|y - z|$, then $\Psi(y, z) \leq 4^2 \Psi(y, z')$, and hence $F(y, z) \leq 4^d F(y, z')$. It follows immediately from [4, Theorem 4.1.5] that there exists a constant $c_0 = c_0(d)$ such that $c_0^{-1} F \leq G \leq c_0 F$. So it suffices to take $c_1 := 4^d c_0^2$. \square

For every measure χ on V , let $G\chi(y) := \int G(y, z) d\chi(z)$, $y \in V$. Let σ be the surface measure on ∂U . We note that

$$(5.2) \quad G\sigma = \|\sigma\| \cdot \min\{N(|\cdot|) - N(R + 2\rho), N(R) - N(R + 2\rho)\}.$$

Now we fix $r \in (0, \rho_0)$ and define

$$\mu := \beta^{d-1} \sum_{x \in X_r} \varepsilon_x.$$

Since $c^{-1}R^{d-1} \leq \|\mu\| \leq cR^{d-1}$, by (3.4), and X_r is distributed on ∂U in a fairly regular way, there is a close relation between $G\mu$ and $G\sigma$. We shall use the following.

LEMMA 5.3. *There exists a constant $C = C(d) > 0$ such that $G\sigma \leq CG\mu$ on ∂U and, for every $x \in X_r$,*

$$G\mu \leq \beta^{d-1}G(\cdot, x) + CG\sigma \quad \text{on } \overline{B}(x, r).$$

Proof. Let us introduce a partition of ∂U corresponding to $X_r = \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$. For $1 \leq j \leq M$, let $S'_j := \partial U \cap B(x_j, \beta/3)$, $S''_j := \partial U \cap B(x_j, \beta)$, and let S' be the union of the pairwise disjoint sets S'_1, \dots, S'_M . We recursively define S_1, S_2, \dots, S_M by $S_1 := S'_1 \cup (S''_1 \setminus S')$ and

$$S_j := (S'_j \cup (S''_j \setminus S')) \setminus (S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{j-1}).$$

Since S''_1, \dots, S''_M cover ∂U , the sets S_1, \dots, S_M form a partition of ∂U such that

$$S'_j \subset S_j \subset S''_j \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq j \leq M.$$

So there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(d) > 0$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad c_2^{-1}\beta^{d-1} \leq \sigma(S_j) \leq c_2\beta^{d-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M.$$

To prove the first inequality, we fix $y \in \partial U$. Let $1 \leq j \leq M$. For every $z \in S_j$, $|y - z| \geq \rho > \beta > |z - x_j|$, and hence $|y - x_j| \leq |y - z| + |z - x_j| < 2|y - z|$. So, by Lemma 5.2, $G(y, \cdot) \leq c_1G(y, x_j)$ on S_j , and hence

$$G(1_{S_j}\sigma)(y) = \int_{S_j} G(y, z) d\sigma(z) \leq c_1\sigma(S_j)G(y, x_j) \leq c_1c_2\beta^{d-1}G(y, x_j).$$

Taking the sum we see that $G\sigma(y) \leq c_1c_2G\mu(y)$.

To prove the second inequality let $x := x_{j_0}$, $1 \leq j_0 \leq M$, and assume that $1 \leq j \leq M$, $j \neq j_0$. Moreover, let $y \in \overline{B}(x, r)$ and $z' \in S_j$. Clearly, $y \in B(x, \beta/3)$, by (3.3). Since $B(x, \beta/3) \cap B(x_j, \beta/3) = \emptyset$, we see that $|y - x_j| > \beta/3$, whereas $|x_j - z'| < \beta$. So $|y - z'| \leq |y - x_j| + |x_j - z'| < 4|y - x_j|$, and therefore $G(y, x_j) \leq c_1G(y, \cdot)$ on S_j , by Lemma 5.2. By integration, $\sigma(S_j)G(y, x_j) \leq c_1G(1_{S_j}\sigma)(y)$. Thus, using (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} G\mu &\leq \beta^{d-1}G(\cdot, x) + c_2 \sum_{j \neq j_0} \sigma(S_j)G(\cdot, x_j) \\ &\leq \beta^{d-1}G(\cdot, x) + c_1c_2 \sum_{j \neq j_0} G(1_{S_j}\sigma) \\ &\leq \beta^{d-1}G(\cdot, x) + c_1c_2G\sigma \quad \text{on } \overline{B}(x, r). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $C := c_1c_2$ the proof is finished. \square

By (5.2), there exists a constant $c_3 = c_3(d) > 0$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad c_3^{-1}\rho \leq G\sigma(y) \leq c_3\rho, \quad \text{whenever } y \in V \text{ such that } R - \rho \leq |y| \leq R + \rho.$$

After these preparations we are ready to prove the crucial estimate in Proposition 5.1. We first claim that

$$(5.5) \quad G\mu \leq (2 + c_3C)\rho \quad \text{on } \partial E_r.$$

Indeed, let $x \in X_r$ and $y \in \partial B(x, r)$. Since $V \subset B(x, 3)$ and $|y - x| = r < 1/3$, we obtain that $G(y, x) \leq N(|y - x|) - N(3) = N(r) - N(3) \leq 2N(r)$ (if $d = 2$, then $N(r) - N(3) = \log(1/r) + \log 3 \leq 2\log(1/r)$). So, by (3.2),

$$\beta^{d-1}G(x, y) \leq 2\beta^{d-1}N(r) = 2\beta^{d-1}\varphi(r)^{-1} = 2\rho.$$

Further, by (5.4), $G\sigma(y) \leq c_3\rho$. Therefore (5.5) holds, by Lemma 5.3.

Since $G\mu$ is harmonic on $V \setminus E_r$ and $G\mu$ vanishes at ∂V , we conclude that

$$H_{V \setminus E_r} 1_{E_r} \geq (2 + c_3C)^{-1}\rho^{-1}G\mu \quad \text{on } V \setminus E_r.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3 and (5.4),

$$G\mu \geq C^{-1}G\sigma \geq (c_3C)^{-1}\rho \quad \text{on } \partial U',$$

whence on $\overline{U'}$, by the minimum principle. Taking $\kappa := (c_3C(2 + c_3C))^{-1}$ we thus obtain that $H_{V \setminus E_r} 1_{E_r} \geq \kappa$ on $\overline{U'}$.

6 Main result for the open unit ball

To prove Theorem 1.3, let $\delta > 0$ and $h: (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t) = 0$. Further, let (R_k) be a sequence in $(1/2, 1)$ which is strictly increasing to 1, and let $R_0 := 1/2$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U_k := B(0, R_k) \quad \text{and} \quad V_k := B(0, (R_k + R_{k+1})/2).$$

To apply our construction in Section 3 let us, for the moment, fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $R := R_k$, $\rho := (R_{k+1} - R_k)/2$, and $\rho_0 \leq \rho/3$ such that (3.3) holds. We observe that $U = U_k$, $U' = V_k$, and $V = U_{k+1}$. There exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad c\rho^{-1}h(r) < 2^{-k}\delta, \quad \text{for every } 0 < r \leq \eta.$$

We fix $0 < r < \min\{\eta, \rho_0, (R_k - R_{k-1})/2\}$ and take

$$X_k := X_r \quad \text{and} \quad r_k := r.$$

Then $r_k < (R_{k+1} - R_k)/6 < (1 - |x|)/6$, for every $x \in X_k$. By (3.5) and (6.1),

$$(6.2) \quad \#X_k \cdot \varphi(r_k)h(r_k) \leq c\rho^{-1}h(r_k) < 2^{-k}\delta.$$

By Proposition 5.1, the union E_k of all $\overline{B}(x, r_k)$, $x \in X_k$, satisfies $H_{U_{k+1} \setminus E_k} 1_{E_k} \geq \kappa$ on \overline{V}_k , and hence, by the minimum principle,

$$(6.3) \quad H_{V_{k+1} \setminus E_k} 1_{E_k} \geq \kappa \quad \text{on } \overline{V}_k.$$

Clearly, the balls $\overline{B}(x, r_k)$, $x \in X_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint. Let A be the union of all E_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $B(0, 1) \setminus A$ is a champagne subdomain. By (6.3) and Proposition 2.1, A is unavoidable. Finally, $\sum_{x \in X_A} \varphi(r_x)h(r_x) < \delta$, by (6.2).

7 Proof for arbitrary connected open sets

Let U be an arbitrary non-empty connected open set in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$. Let us fix bounded open sets $V_n \neq \emptyset$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\overline{V}_n \subset V_{n+1}$ and $V_n \uparrow U$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$d_n := \min \{ \text{dist}(\partial V_n, \partial V_{n-1} \cup \partial V_{n+1}), 1/n \}$$

(take $V_0 := \emptyset$) and choose a finite subset Y_n of ∂V_n such that the balls $B(y, d_n/2)$, $y \in Y_n$, cover ∂V_n and the balls $B(y, d_n/6)$, $y \in Y_n$, are pairwise disjoint.

For the moment, let us fix $y \in Y_n$. By Section 3, Proposition 5.1, and translation invariance, there exist a finite set X_y in $\partial B(y, d_n/7)$ and $0 < s_y < d_n/42$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad \#X_y \cdot \varphi(s_y)h(s_y) < (\#Y_n \cdot 2^n)^{-1}\delta.$$

and the union E_y of all $\overline{B}(x, s_y)$, $x \in X_y$, satisfies

$$(7.2) \quad H_{B(y, d_n/6) \setminus E_y} 1_{E_y} \geq \kappa \quad \text{on } \overline{B}(y, d_n/7).$$

For $x \in X_y$, let $r_x := s_y$. Then, for every $x \in X_y$, $\text{dist}(x, U^c) \geq d_n/2$ and hence $r_x < \text{dist}(x, U^c)/21$.

Let X be the union of all X_y , $y \in Y_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let A be the union of all $\overline{B}(x, r_x)$, $x \in X$. Of course, X is locally finite in U and, if U is unbounded, $r_x \rightarrow 0$ if $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $U \setminus A$ is a champagne subdomain. Moreover, by (7.1),

$$\sum_{x \in X} \varphi(s_x)h(s_x) < \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{y \in Y_n} (\#Y_n \cdot 2^n)^{-1}\delta = \delta.$$

So it remains to prove that A is unavoidable. To that end we define

$$\eta := \inf \{ H_{B(0,1) \setminus \overline{B}(0,1/7)} 1_{\overline{B}(0,1/7)}(z) : |z| < 1/2 \}$$

so that Brownian motion starting in $B(0, 1/2)$ hits $\overline{B}(0, 1/7)$ with probability at least η before leaving $B(0, 1)$. (Of course η is easily determined: It is $\log 2 / \log 7$, if $d = 2$, and $(2^{d-2} - 1) / (7^{d-2} - 1)$, if $d \geq 3$.) Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in Y_n$, and let $E := E_y$. We claim that

$$(7.3) \quad H_{V_{n+1} \setminus E} 1_E \geq \kappa \eta \quad \text{on } B(y, d_n/2),$$

that is, Brownian motion starting in $B(y, d_n/2)$ hits E with probability at least $\kappa \eta$ before leaving V_{n+1} . Since the balls $B(y, d_n/2)$, $y \in Y_n$, cover ∂V_n , then Proposition 2.1 (this time with $\kappa_n := \kappa \eta$) will show that A is unavoidable.

To prove the claim let

$$B := B(y, d_n), \quad D := B(y, d_n/6), \quad F := \overline{B}(y, d_n/7).$$

In probabilistic terms we may argue as follows. Starting in $B(y, d_n/2)$, Brownian motion hits F with probability at least η before leaving $B \subset V_{n+1}$. And, continuing from a point in F , it hits E with probability at least κ before leaving D , by (7.2). So Brownian motion starting in $B(y, d_n/2)$ hits E with probability at least $\kappa \eta$ before leaving V_{n+1} .

For an analytic proof, we first observe that, by translation and scaling invariance of harmonic measures, $H_{B \setminus F} 1_F \geq \eta$ on $B(y, d_n/2)$. By the minimum principle,

$$H_{V_{n+1} \setminus E} 1_E \geq H_{B \setminus E} 1_E \geq H_{D \setminus E} 1_E,$$

where $H_{D \setminus E} 1_E \geq \kappa$ on F , by (7.2), and hence

$$H_{B \setminus E} 1_E = H_{B \setminus (E \cup F)} H_{B \setminus E} 1_E \geq \kappa H_{B \setminus (E \cup F)} 1_{E \cup F} \geq \kappa H_{B \setminus F} 1_F.$$

Thus (7.3) holds and our proof is finished.

References

- [1] H. Aikawa and A. A. Borichev. Quasiadditivity and measure property of capacity and the tangential boundary behavior of harmonic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348(3):1013–1030, 1996.
- [2] H. Aikawa and M. Essén. *Potential theory—selected topics*, volume 1633 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [3] J. R. Akeroyd. Champagne subregions of the disk whose bubbles carry harmonic measure. *Math. Ann.*, 323(2):267–279, 2002.
- [4] D.H. Armitage and S.J. Gardiner. *Classical potential theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2001.
- [5] T. Carroll, J. O’Donovan, and J. Ortega-Cerdà. On Lundh’s percolation diffusion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(4):1988–1997, 2012.
- [6] T. Carroll and J. Ortega-Cerdà. Configurations of balls in Euclidean space that Brownian motion cannot avoid. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 32(1):223–234, 2007.
- [7] M. Essén. On minimal thinness, reduced functions and Green potentials. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 36(1):321–329, 1992.
- [8] S. J. Gardiner and M. Ghergu. Champagne subregions of the unit ball with unavoidable bubbles. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 35(1):321–329, 2010.
- [9] W. Hansen. Restricted mean value property and harmonic functions. In *Potential theory—ICPT 94 (Kouty, 1994)*, pages 67–90. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [10] W. Hansen and N. Nadirashvili. Littlewood’s one circle problem. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 50(2):349–360, 1994.
- [11] T. Lundh. Percolation diffusion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 95(2):235–244, 2001.
- [12] J. O’Donovan. Brownian motion in a ball in the presence of spherical obstacles. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(5):1711–1720, 2010.
- [13] J. Ortega-Cerdà and K. Seip. Harmonic measure and uniform densities. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 53(3):905–923, 2004.

- [14] J. Pres. Champagne subregions of the unit disc. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140: 3983–3992, 2012.
- [15] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third edition, 1987.

Wolfhard Hansen, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany, e-mail: hansen@math.uni-bielefeld.de

Ivan Netuka, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Mathematical Institute, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic, email: netuka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz