

Meixner class of non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values

I. A characterization

Marek Bożejko

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

e-mail: bozejko@math.uni.wroc.pl

Eugene Lytvynov

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, U.K.

e-mail: e.lytvynov@swansea.ac.uk

Abstract

Let T be an underlying space with a non-atomic measure σ on it (e.g. $T = \mathbb{R}^d$ and σ is the Lebesgue measure). We introduce and study a class of non-commutative generalized stochastic processes, indexed by points of T , with freely independent values. Such a process (field), $\omega = \omega(t)$, $t \in T$, is given a rigorous meaning through smearing out with test functions on T , with $\int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \omega(t)$ being a (bounded) linear operator in a full Fock space. We define a set \mathbf{CP} of all continuous polynomials of ω , and then define a con-commutative L^2 -space $L^2(\tau)$ by taking the closure of \mathbf{CP} in the norm $\|P\|_{L^2(\tau)} := \|P\Omega\|$, where Ω is the vacuum in the Fock space. Through procedure of orthogonalization of polynomials, we construct a unitary isomorphism between $L^2(\tau)$ and a (Fock-space-type) Hilbert space $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$, with explicitly given measures γ_n . We identify the Meixner class as those processes for which the procedure of orthogonalization leaves the set \mathbf{CP} invariant. (Note that, in the general case, the projection of a continuous monomial of order n onto the n -th chaos need not remain a continuous polynomial.) Each element of the Meixner class is characterized by two continuous functions λ and $\eta \geq 0$ on T , such that, in the \mathbb{F} space, ω has representation $\omega(t) = \partial_t^\dagger + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t + \partial_t + \eta(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t^2$, where ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t are the usual creation and annihilation operators at point t .

1 Introduction

In his classical work [30], Meixner searched for all probability measures μ on \mathbb{R} with infinite support whose system of monic orthogonal polynomials $(p^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has an (exponential) generating function of the exponential type:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(n)}(t)}{n!} z^n = \exp(t\psi(z) + \phi(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (t\psi(z) + \phi(z))^k. \quad (1.1)$$

Meixner discovered that this (essentially) holds if and only if there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \geq 0$ such that the polynomials $(p^{(n)})_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the recursive relation

$$tp^{(n)}(t) = p^{(n+1)}(t) + \lambda np^{(n)}(t) + (n + \eta n(n-1))p^{(n-1)}(t). \quad (1.2)$$

(We refer to [35] for a modern presentation of this result.) From (1.2) one concludes that the measure μ can be either Gaussian, or Poisson, or gamma, or Pascal (negative binomial), or Meixner. We may now introduce in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ creation (raising) and annihilation (lowering) operators through $\partial^\dagger p^{(n)} := p^{(n+1)}$ and $\partial p^{(n)} := np^{(n-1)}$, respectively. Then, by (1.2), the action of the operator of multiplication by t in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ has a representation

$$t \cdot = \partial^\dagger + \lambda \partial^\dagger \partial + \partial + \eta \partial^\dagger \partial \partial. \quad (1.3)$$

Since Meixner's laws are infinitely divisible, they appear as distributions of increments of corresponding Lévy processes. These are exactly Brownian motion, Poisson, gamma, Pascal, and Meixner processes. Note the first two of these processes correspond to the case $\eta = 0$, while the latter three correspond to $\eta > 0$. We will refer to all of them as the Meixner class of Lévy processes. From numerous applications of these processes let us mention that, for $\eta > 0$, they naturally appear in the study of a realization of the renormalized square of white noise, see [1, 28, 36] and the references therein.

In [26] (see also [14, 23, 24, 33]), Meixner-type generalized stochastic processes with independent values were constructed and studied. More precisely, consider a standard triple of the form $S \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, dt) \subset S'$, where S is a nuclear space of smooth functions, and S' is the dual of S with respect to the central space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dt)$, i.e., S' is a space of generalized functions (distributions). Let λ, η be parameters as in (1.2), or even more generally, let $\lambda(\cdot)$ and $\eta(\cdot)$ be smooth functions on \mathbb{R} , which give, at each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, parameters $\lambda(t), \eta(t)$. Then, there exists a probability measure μ on the space S' which is a generalized stochastic process with independent values (in the sense of [21]), and the operator of multiplication by a monomial $\langle f, \omega \rangle$, $f \in S$, $\omega \in S'$, has a representation

$$\langle f, \omega \rangle \cdot = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt f(t) (\partial_t^\dagger + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t + \partial_t + \eta(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t \partial_t),$$

that is,

$$\omega(t) \cdot = \partial_t^\dagger + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t + \partial_t + \eta(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t \partial_t \quad (1.4)$$

(compare with (1.3)). In (1.4), the operators ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t are defined by analogy with the one-dimensional case, although on infinite-dimensional orthogonal polynomials of $\omega \in S'$, so that ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t are the usual creation and annihilation operators at point t . As a result, one has a unitary isomorphism between the L^2 -space $L^2(S', \mu)$ and some Hilbert space $F = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{(n)}$, where $F^{(0)} = \mathbb{R}$, while for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^{(n)} = L_{\text{sym}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \theta_n)$ — the space of all symmetric functions on \mathbb{R}^n which are square integrable with respect to

some measure θ_n (depending on λ and η). In the special case where $\eta \equiv 0$, the space F reduces to the usual symmetric Fock space over $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dt)$, whereas in the general case the space F is wider than the Fock space, which is why, in [26], F was called an extended Fock space.

As follows from [13, 27], the Meixner class may be characterized between all generalized stochastic processes with independent values as exactly those processes whose orthogonal polynomials remain *continuous* polynomials. Recall that, in infinite dimensions, orthogonalization of polynomials means: first, decomposing the L^2 -space into the infinite orthogonal sum of its subspaces generated by polynomials, and second, taking the projection of each monomial of order n onto the n -th space. This is why, although the initial monomials are continuous functions of $\omega \in S'$, their orthogonal projections do not need to retain this property. The result of [13, 27] also means that it is only for the Meixner-type processes that the multiplication operator $\omega(t) \cdot$ can be represented through the operators $\partial_t^\dagger, \partial_t$.

In free probability, Meixner's systems of polynomials (on \mathbb{R}) were introduced by Anshelevich [2] and Saitoh, Yoshida [34]. (In fact, such polynomials had already occurred in many places in the literature even before [2, 34], see [17, p. 62] and [5, p. 864] for bibliographical references.) The free Meixner polynomials $(q^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ have a (usual) generating function of the resolvent type:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(n)}(t) z^n = (1 - (t\psi(z) + \phi(z)))^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (t\psi(z) + \phi(z))^k \quad (1.5)$$

(compare with (1.1)). Recall the following notation from q -analysis: for each $q \in [-1, 1]$, we define $[0]_q := 0$ and $[n]_q := 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for $q = 0$, we have $[0]_0 = 0$ and $[n]_0 = 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by [2], equality (1.5) (essentially) holds if and only if there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \geq 0$ such that the polynomials $(q^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy the recursive relation

$$tq^{(n)}(t) = q^{(n+1)}(t) + \lambda[n]_0 q^{(n)}(t) + ([n]_0 + \eta[n]_0[n-1]_0)q^{(n-1)}(t), \quad (1.6)$$

or, equivalently, equality (1.3) holds in which ∂^\dagger and ∂ are defined through $\partial^\dagger q^{(n)} := q^{(n+1)}$ and $\partial q^{(n)} := [n]_0 q^{(n-1)}$.

Each measure of orthogonality of a free Meixner system of polynomials (which has an infinite support) is freely infinitely divisible, and therefore there exists a corresponding free Lévy processes. A characterization of these processes in terms of a regression problem was given in [17]. These processes also appeared in the study of a realization of the renormalized square of free white noise [36]. A deep study of free Meixner polynomials of d ($d \in \mathbb{N}$) non-commutative variables has been carried out by Anshelevich in [3, 5, 6, 7].

The aim of the present paper is to introduce and study the Meixner class of non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values, or equivalently Meixner-type free polynomials of infinitely-many (non-commutative) variables.

We “translate” the aforementioned results of the theory of classical generalized stochastic processes with independent values into the language of free probability. In particular, we derive representation (1.4) for these processes in which ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t are the creation and annihilation operators, as in the full Fock space, at point t . The main result of the paper—Theorem 4.1—is the characterization of the Meixner class as exactly those non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values whose orthogonal polynomials are continuous in ω .

It should be stressed that, generally speaking, the orthogonal polynomials we consider resemble one-dimensional free Meixner polynomials only in the infinitesimal sense, i.e., at each point of the underlying space.

The paper is organized as follows. We start, in Section 2, with a discussion of processes of Gauss–Poisson type. We fix an underlying space T and a non-atomic measure σ on it. (Although the most important case is when T is either \mathbb{R} or $[0, \infty)$ and σ is the Lebesgue measure, we prefer to deal with a general space to stress that its structure does not play any significant role.) We fix a function $\lambda \in C(T)$, and consider a process (noise) of the form $\omega(t) = \partial_t^\dagger + \partial_t + \lambda(t)\partial_t^\dagger\partial_t$ in the full Fock space over $L^2(T, \sigma)$. A sense to this process is given through smearing out with a test function f on T . We introduce a free expectation τ and the corresponding (non-commutative) L^2 -space $L^2(\tau)$. In terms of the expansion through orthogonal polynomials of ω , the space $L^2(\tau)$ is unitarily isomorphic to the original Fock space. We prove that the procedure of orthogonalization in $L^2(\tau)$ is equivalent to the procedure of free Wick (normal) ordering of the operators ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t . This, in particular, generalizes a corresponding result of [16, p. 137], which was proved in the Gaussian case, i.e., when $\lambda \equiv 0$ (compare also with [4, p. 186]). We note, however, that in [16], the authors did not use the Wick ordering in the infinitesimal sense, which is only possible when $\lambda \equiv 0$. We then derive theorems giving a Wick rule for the product $\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n)$, as well as a Wick rule for a product of Wick products. The latter theorems present a free counterpart of results of [29], see also [4, Proposition 6] for a q -case.

In Section 3, we study (quite) general non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values. They are described by assigning to each $t \in T$, a compactly supported probability measure $\mu(t, ds)$ on \mathbb{R} , so that $\mu(t, \{0\})$ is the diffusion coefficient of the process, while outside zero $\nu(t, ds) := \frac{1}{s^2}\mu(t, ds)$ is the Lévy measure of “jumps” at point t (compare with [8, 9, 10]). We prove that the set of continuous polynomials of ω is dense in the corresponding space $L^2(\tau)$, introduce orthogonal polynomials, decompose any element of $L^2(\tau)$ into an infinite sum of orthogonal polynomials, and thus derive a unitary isomorphism between $L^2(\tau)$ and an extended full Fock space $\mathbb{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}^{(n)}$, where $\mathbb{F}^{(n)} = L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$ with some measure γ_n on T^n . We also present an explicit form of the action of the operators of (left) multiplication by $\langle f, \omega \rangle$ realized in the space \mathbb{F} . These operators have a clear Jacobi-field structure (compare with [12, 13, 18, 25]). To derive our results, we produce an expansion of $L^2(\tau)$ in multiple stochastic integrals, by analogy with the Nualart and Schoutens result [31]

in the classical case. In fact, Anshelevich [4] extended the result of [31] to the case of general q -Lévy processes. Comparing our result in this section with that of [4], we note that, first, we do not assume the process to be stationary, i.e., we allow the Lévy measure to depend on t , and second, what is much more important, our main results in this section—Theorems 3.3 and 3.4—are new even in the stationary case (when $q = 0$).

Finally, in Section 4, we derive the Meixner class of free processes as exactly those non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values for which orthogonal polynomials are continuous in ω , and thus we derive a counterpart of formula (1.4) in the free case.

In the second part of this paper, which is currently in preparation, we will discuss the generating function for the orthogonal polynomials of ω from the Meixner class and other related problems, and we will also mention some open problems.

2 Free Gauss-Poisson process

Let T be a locally compact, second countable Hausdorff topological space. Recall that such a space is known to be Polish. A subset of T is called bounded if it is relatively compact in T . We will additionally assume that T does not possess isolated points, i.e., for every $t \in T$, there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset T$ such that $t_n \neq t$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t_n \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(T)$ the Borel σ -algebra in T , and by $\mathcal{B}_0(T)$ the collection of all relatively compact sets from $\mathcal{B}(T)$. Let $\mathcal{D} := C_0(T)$ denote the set of all real-valued continuous functions on T with compact support. Analogously, we define $\mathcal{D}^{(n)} := C_0(T^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{D}^{(0)} := \mathbb{R}$.

For a real, separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ the full Fock space over \mathcal{H} , i.e., $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{R}$. As usual, we will identify each $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with the corresponding subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of all sequences $f = (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(n)}, 0, 0, \dots)$ such that $f^{(i)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The element $\Omega := (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ is called the vacuum.

Let σ be a Radon, non-atomic measure on $(T, \mathcal{B}(T))$. We will assume that the measure σ satisfies $\sigma(O) > 0$ for each open, non-empty set O in T . Let $\mathcal{H} := L^2(T, \sigma)$ be the real L^2 -space over T with respect to the measure σ , and thus we get the Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{F}(L^2(T, \sigma))$.

For each $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we denote by $a^+(f)$, $a^-(f)$, and $a^0(f)$ the corresponding creation, annihilation, and neutral operators, respectively. These are bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ given through

$$\begin{aligned} a^+(f) &= f \otimes g^{(n)}, \quad g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ a^-(f) g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n &= (f, g_1)_{\mathcal{H}} g_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \\ a^0(f) g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n &= (f g_1) \otimes g_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n, \quad g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{H}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ a^-(f) \Omega &= a^0(f) \Omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The operator $a^+(f)$ is the adjoint of $a^-(f)$, whereas $a^0(f)$ is self-adjoint. Note that $a^+(f)$ and $a^-(g)$, $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$, satisfy the free commutation relation

$$a^-(g)a^+(f) = (g, f)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where, as usual, a constant is understood as the constant times the identity operator $\mathbf{1}$.

Throughout the paper, we will heavily use the following standard notations. For each $t \in T$, we define ∂_t as the annihilation operator at point t . More precisely, we set $\partial_t \Omega := 0$, and for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(\partial_t f^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) := f^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

Clearly, $\partial_t f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n-1)}$. Extending by linearity, we see that ∂_t maps $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ into itself. If we introduce the “delta-function” δ_t : $\langle \delta_t, f \rangle = f(t)$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then the operator ∂_t can be thought of as $a^-(\delta_t)$.

Next, we heuristically define ∂_t^\dagger as the creation operator at point t , i.e., ∂_t^\dagger is the “adjoint” of ∂_t , so that $\partial_t^\dagger = a^+(\delta_t)$. A rigorous meaning to formulas involving ∂_t^\dagger will be given through smearing with test functions. In particular, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$, we get:

$$a^+(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \partial_t^\dagger, \quad a^-(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \partial_t, \quad a^0(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that the relation (2.1) can now be written down in the form

$$\partial_s \partial_t^\dagger = \delta(s, t), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\int_T \sigma(ds) \int_T \sigma(dt) \delta(s, t) f^{(2)}(s, t) := \int_T \sigma(dt) f^{(2)}(t, t), \quad f^{(2)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(2)}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now fix $\lambda \in C(T)$ — the space of all continuous functions on T , and define, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ a self-adjoint operator

$$x(f) := a^+(f) + a^-(f) + a^0(\lambda f),$$

so that

$$x(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) (\partial_t^\dagger + \partial_t + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t). \quad (2.5)$$

As we will see below, if $\lambda \equiv 0$, then $(x(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$ is a free Gaussian process, and if $\lambda \equiv 1$, then $(x(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$ is a free (centered) Poisson process. In view of (2.5), we denote

$$\omega(t) := \partial_t^\dagger + \partial_t + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t,$$

so that (2.5) becomes

$$x(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \omega(t).$$

Thus, $\omega := (\omega(t))_{t \in T}$ can be interpreted as the corresponding free noise.

Lemma 2.1. *The vacuum vector Ω is cyclic for the operator family $(x(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$, i.e.,*

$$\text{c.l.s.}\{\Omega, x(f_1) \cdots x(f_n)\Omega \mid f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Here and below, c.l.s. stands for the closed linear span.

Proof. The statement follows by induction from the fact that we have a Jacobi field, i.e., each operator $a(f)$ has a three-diagonal structure, with $a^+(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}$, being the usual creation operators (compare with e.g. [12, 25]). \square

We can naturally extend the definition of $x(f)$ to the case where $f \in B_0(T)$ — the space of all real-valued bounded measurable functions on T with compact support. Let \mathbf{A} denote the real algebra generated by $(x(f))_{f \in B_0(T)}$. We define a free expectation on \mathbf{A} by

$$\tau(a) := (a\Omega, \Omega)_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}, \quad a \in \mathbf{A}.$$

Recall that a set partition π of a set X is a collection of disjoint subsets of X whose union equals X . Let $NC(n)$ denote the collection of all non-crossing partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e., all set partitions $\pi = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$, $k \geq 1$, of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that there do not exist $A_i, A_j \in \pi$, $A_i \neq A_j$, for which the following inequalities hold: $x_1 < y_1 < x_2 < y_2$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in A_i$ and $y_1, y_2 \in A_j$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a free cumulant $C^{(n)}$ as the n -linear mapping $C^{(n)} : B_0(T)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined recurrently by the following formula, which connects the free cumulants with moments:

$$\tau(x(f_1)x(f_2) \cdots x(f_n)) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \prod_{A \in \pi} C(A, f_1, \dots, f_n), \quad (2.6)$$

where for each $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$,

$$C(A, f_1, \dots, f_n) := C^{(k)}(f_{a_1}, \dots, f_{a_k}).$$

As easily seen, $C^{(1)} \equiv 0$ and

$$C^{(n)}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \int_T f_1(t) \cdots f_n(t) \lambda^{n-2}(t) \sigma(dt), \quad f_1, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), the expectation τ on \mathbf{A} is tracial, i.e., for any $a, b \in \mathbf{A}$, $\tau(ab) = \tau(ba)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T)$ be such that*

$$f_i f_j = 0 \quad \sigma\text{-a.e. for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (2.8)$$

Then $x(f_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are freely independent with respect to τ .

Proof. By (2.7) and (2.8), for each $k \geq 2$ and any indices $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_l \neq i_m$ for some $l, m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $C^{(k)}(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_k}) = 0$. Using e.g. [37], we conclude from here the statement. \square

Let $B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the complexification of $B_0(T)$. We extend $C^{(n)}$ by linearity to the n -linear mapping $C^{(n)} : B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For each $f \in B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote $C^{(n)}(f) := C^{(n)}(f, \dots, f)$, and define the free cumulant transform $C(f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C^{(n)}(f)$, provided that the latter series converges absolutely. By (2.7) and the dominated convergence theorem, we get:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f \in B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be such that there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ for which*

$$|f(t)| < \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\lambda(t)} \quad \text{for all } t \in T \quad (2.9)$$

(where $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{0} := +\infty$). Then

$$C(f) = \int_T \frac{f^2(t)}{1 - \lambda(t)f(t)} \sigma(dt). \quad (2.10)$$

Remark 2.1. Note that, for $f \in \mathcal{D}$, condition (2.9) is equivalent to $|f(t)| < 1/|\lambda(t)|$ for all $t \in T$.

For each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, we define

$$x(\Delta) := x(\chi_{\Delta}) = \int_{\Delta} \sigma(dt) \omega(t),$$

where χ_{Δ} denotes the indicator function of Δ . Then, by Proposition 2.1, for any mutually disjoint sets $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, the operators $x(\Delta_1), \dots, x(\Delta_n)$ are freely independent, and so by analogy with the classical case (see e.g. [21]), we can interpret ω as a non-commutative generalized stochastic process with freely independent values.

For each $f^{(n)} \in B_0(T^n)$, we define a monomial of ω by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle &:= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) \\ &= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) (\partial_{t_1}^{\dagger} + \partial_{t_1} + \lambda(t_1) \partial_{t_1}^{\dagger} \partial_{t_1}) \\ &\quad \times \cdots \times (\partial_{t_n}^{\dagger} + \partial_{t_n} + \lambda(t_n) \partial_{t_n}^{\dagger} \partial_{t_n}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In fact, the presence of $\partial_{t_i}^{\dagger}$ in (2.11) just means the creation of a function in the t_i -variable, the presence of $\lambda(t_i) \partial_{t_i}^{\dagger} \partial_{t_i}$ means the identification of the t_i -variable with the

previous t_{i-1} -variable and additional multiplication by $\lambda(t_i)$, whereas the presence of ∂_{t_i} means integration in the t_i -variable. For example, for $f^{(4)} \in B_0(T^4)$ and $g^{(2)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(2)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{T^4} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_4) f^{(4)}(t_1, \dots, t_4) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_2} \lambda(t_3) \partial_{t_3}^\dagger \partial_{t_3} \partial_{t_4}^\dagger g^{(2)} \right) (s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \int_T \sigma(dt) \lambda(t) f^{(4)}(s_1, t, t, t) g^{(2)}(s_2, s_3). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we easily conclude that (2.11) indeed identifies a bounded linear operator in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, if $f^{(n)} = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ with $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T)$, then

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle = \langle f_1, \omega \rangle \cdots \langle f_n, \omega \rangle = x(f_1) \cdots x(f_n).$$

We will also interpret constants as monomials of order 0.

Let \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{CP} denote the set of all non-commutative polynomials (finite sums of monomials) with kernels $f^{(n)} \in B_0(T^n)$ and $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, respectively. (\mathbf{CP} stands for “continuous polynomials.”) Clearly, $\mathbf{CP} \subset \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{P}$.

Lemma 2.2. *We have $\mathbf{CP}\Omega = \mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbf{CP}\Omega \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$. On the other hand, for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$,

$$f^{(n)} = \langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle \Omega - g^{(n-1)},$$

where $g^{(n-1)} \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$. From here, by induction, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathbf{CP}\Omega$. \square

We now naturally extend the free expectation τ to the set \mathbf{CP} , and define an inner product

$$(P_1, P_2)_{L^2(\tau)} := \tau(P_2 P_1) = (P_1 \Omega, P_2 \Omega)_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}, \quad P_1, P_2 \in \mathbf{CP}.$$

Let $P \in \mathbf{CP}$ and $P \neq 0$. Then $P \neq 0$ as an element of $L^2(\tau)$. Indeed, let $P = \sum_{i=0}^n \langle f^{(i)}, \omega^{\otimes i} \rangle$, where $f^{(n)} \neq 0$ (we then call P a polynomial of order n). Then the $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ -th component of $P\Omega$ is $f^{(n)}$, which implies that $(P, P)_{L^2(\tau)} > 0$. Hence, we can define a real Hilbert space $L^2(\tau)$ as the closure of \mathbf{CP} with respect to the norm generated by the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\tau)}$. As we will see below, we can naturally embed \mathbf{P} (and so also \mathbf{A}) into $L^2(\tau)$. Furthermore, we will also show that every element of $L^2(\tau)$ may be understood as (generally speaking, unbounded) Hermitian operator in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $\mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ denote the subset of \mathbf{CP} consisting of all continuous polynomials of order $\leq n$. Let $\mathbf{MP}^{(n)}$ denote the closure of $\mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ in $L^2(\tau)$. (\mathbf{MP} stands for “measurable polynomials.”) Let $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)} := \mathbf{MP}^{(n)} \ominus \mathbf{MP}^{(n-1)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{OP}^{(0)} := \mathbb{R}$, where the sign \ominus denotes orthogonal difference in $L^2(\tau)$. (\mathbf{OP} stands for “orthogonal polynomials.”) Thus, we get the orthogonal decomposition $L^2(\tau) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$.

Proposition 2.3. Consider a linear operator $I : \mathbf{CP} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ given by $IP = P\Omega$ for $P \in \mathbf{CP}$. Then, I extends to a unitary operator $I : L^2(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, $I\mathbf{OP}^{(n)} = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$.

Proof. The first statement of the proposition directly follows from Lemma 2.2. Next, it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that $I\mathbf{CP}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$, so that $I\mathbf{MP}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}$. From here, the second statement follows. \square

For $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, let $P(f^{(n)})$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle$ onto $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$, i.e., by the results proved above, $P(f^{(n)}) = I^{-1}f^{(n)}$.

Theorem 2.1. For each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, we have $P(f^{(n)}) \in \mathbf{CP}$.

Before proving Theorem 2.1, we have to introduce some notations. Let $NC(n, \pm 1)$ denote the collection of all

$$\varkappa = \{(A_1, m_1), \dots, (A_k, m_k)\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.12)$$

such that $\pi(\varkappa) := \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ is an element of $NC(n)$, $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{-1, +1\}$, and if for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the set A_i has only one element, then $m_i = 1$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we will interpret m_j as the mark of the element A_j of the non-crossing partition $\pi(\varkappa)$.

Finally, we denote by G_n the subset of $NC(n, \pm 1)$ consisting of all \varkappa as in (2.12) such that there do not exist $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $i \neq j$, for which

$$\min A_i < \min A_j \leq \max A_j < \max A_i$$

with $m_j = +1$, i.e., an element of a non-crossing partition with mark $+1$ cannot be “within” any other element of this partition. (Note that, in [3, 4], elements of G_n were called extended partitions, with classes labeled $+1$ called “classes open on the left”.)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us fix an arbitrary $\varkappa \in G_n$ as in (2.12). We then define $W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n)$ as follows. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let $A_i = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l\}$, $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_l$. If $m_i = -1$ (and so $l \geq 2$), then replace the factors $\omega(t_{j_1}), \omega(t_{j_2}), \dots, \omega(t_{j_l})$ in the product $\omega(t_1)\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n)$ by the “function”

$$\lambda^{l-2}(t_{j_1})\delta(t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_l}).$$

If $m_i = +1$, then leave the factor $\omega(t_{j_1})$ without changes, and if $l \geq 2$ then additionally replace the factors $\omega(t_{j_2}), \omega(t_{j_3}), \dots, \omega(t_{j_l})$ in the product $\omega(t_1)\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n)$ by the “function”

$$\lambda^{l-1}(t_{j_1})\delta(t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \dots, t_{j_l}).$$

Here, analogously to (2.4), we have set, for $k \geq 2$,

$$\int_{T^k} \sigma(t_1) \cdots \sigma(t_k) f^{(k)}(t_1, \dots, t_k) \delta(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) := \int_T \sigma(dt) f^{(k)}(t, t, \dots, t).$$

For example, if $n = 8$, and

$$\varkappa = \{(\{1, 2\}, +1), (\{3, 4, 8\}, +1), (\{5, 6, 7\}, -1)\},$$

then

$$W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_8) = \lambda(t_1)\delta(t_1, t_2)\lambda^2(t_3)\delta(t_3, t_4, t_8)\lambda(t_5)\delta(t_5, t_6, t_7)\omega(t_1)\omega(t_3).$$

Next, we denote by $Int(n)$ the collection of all interval partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, all of whose elements are intervals of consecutive integers. Clearly, $Int(n) \subset NC(n)$. We will denote by $Int(n, \pm 1)$ the corresponding subset of $NC(n, \pm 1)$. Note that $Int(n, \pm 1) \subset G_n$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}$, denote by $\langle f, \omega \rangle \cdot$ the operator of left multiplication by $\langle f, \omega \rangle$ acting on \mathbf{CP} . Clearly, under I , $\langle f, \omega \rangle \cdot$ goes over into the operator $\langle f, \omega \rangle$ acting on $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$. Now, for any $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}$, $n \geq 2$,

$$\langle f_1, \omega \rangle f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n + (\lambda f_1 f_2) \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n + (f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n.$$

Therefore, applying I^{-1} to the above equality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) &= \langle f_1, \omega \rangle P(f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) - P((\lambda f_1 f_2) \otimes f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) \\ &\quad - (f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} P(f_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)}$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ consisting of finite sums of functions of the form $f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ with $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, it follows by induction from (2.13) that, for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)}$,

$$P(f^{(n)}) = \sum_{\varkappa \in Int(n, \pm 1)} c(\varkappa) \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n), \quad (2.14)$$

where $c(\varkappa) \in \mathbb{R}$ (compare with [20, Section 4] and [3, Section 3]).

Now, let us fix an arbitrary $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$. Choose a sequence $\{f_k^{(n)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\text{alg}}^{(n)}$ such that the set $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } f_k^{(n)}$ is in $\mathcal{B}_0(T)$, $f_k^{(n)}$ are uniformly bounded and $f_k^{(n)} \rightarrow f^{(n)}$ point-wise as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\langle f_k^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle$ in $L^2(\tau)$, which implies that $P(f_k^{(n)}) \rightarrow P(f^{(n)})$ in $L^2(\tau)$. On the other hand, for each $\varkappa \in G_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f_k^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) \\ \rightarrow \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) \end{aligned}$$

in $L^2(\tau)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that (2.14) holds for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, and therefore $P(f^{(n)}) \in \mathbf{CP}$. \square

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define (free) Wick product of $\omega(t_1), \dots, \omega(t_n)$, denoted by $:\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n):$ as follows: first we formally evaluate the product

$$\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) = (\partial_{t_1}^\dagger + \partial_{t_1} + \lambda(t_1) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_1}) \cdots (\partial_{t_n}^\dagger + \partial_{t_n} + \lambda(t_n) \partial_{t_n}^\dagger \partial_{t_n}),$$

and then remove all the terms containing $\partial_{t_i}^\dagger \partial_{t_{i+1}}$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We clearly have the following recursive formula

$$\begin{aligned} :\omega(t_1): &= \omega(t_1), \\ :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n): &= \partial_{t_1}^\dagger :\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): \\ &\quad + \lambda(t_1) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} \cdots \partial_{t_n} + \partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} \cdots \partial_{t_n}, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore, as easily seen,

$$\begin{aligned} :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n): &= \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_2}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_n}^\dagger \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{t_1}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_{i-1}}^\dagger \partial_{t_i} \cdots \partial_{t_n} + \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_{i-1}}^\dagger \lambda(t_i) \partial_{t_i}^\dagger \partial_{t_i} \partial_{t_{i+1}} \cdots \partial_{t_n}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Theorem 2.2. For each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P(f^{(n)}) = \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n):. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove formula (2.17) in the case $f^{(n)} = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ with $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}$. Using (2.3) and (2.15), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t_1) :\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): &= \partial_{t_1}^\dagger :\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): + (\lambda(t_1) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_1} + \partial_{t_1}) (\partial_{t_2}^\dagger :\omega(t_3) \cdots \omega(t_n): \\ &\quad + \lambda(t_2) \partial_{t_2}^\dagger \partial_{t_2} \partial_{t_3} \cdots \partial_{t_n} + \partial_{t_2} \partial_{t_3} \cdots \partial_{t_n}) \\ &= \partial_{t_1}^\dagger :\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): + \lambda(t_1) \delta(t_1, t_2) \partial_{t_2}^\dagger :\omega(t_3) \cdots \omega(t_n): \\ &\quad + \lambda(t_1) \delta(t_1, t_2) \lambda(t_2) \partial_{t_2}^\dagger \partial_{t_2} \partial_{t_3} \cdots \partial_{t_n} + \lambda(t_1) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} \cdots \partial_{t_n} \\ &\quad + \delta(t_1, t_2) :\omega(t_3) \cdots \omega(t_n): + \lambda(t_1) \delta(t_1, t_2) \partial_{t_2} \partial_{t_3} \cdots \partial_{t_n} + \partial_{t_1} \partial_{t_2} \cdots \partial_{t_n} \\ &= :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n): + \lambda(t_1) \delta(t_1, t_2) :\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): + \delta(t_1, t_2) :\omega(t_3) \cdots \omega(t_n):, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

the calculations taking rigorous meaning after smearing out with the $f^{(n)}$ as above. By virtue of (2.13), we see that (2.18) implies the statement of the theorem. \square

Taking Theorem 2.2 into account, for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ we will write $\langle f^{(n)}, :\omega^{\otimes n}: \rangle$ for $P(f^{(n)})$. More generally, for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, we will denote by $\langle f^{(n)}, :\omega^{\otimes n}: \rangle$ the element of $L^2(\tau)$ defined as $I^{-1} f^{(n)}$. Thus, each element $F \in L^2(\tau)$ admits a unique representation

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f^{(n)}, :\omega^{\otimes n}: \rangle,$$

where $f = (f^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark 2.2. With each $F \in L^2(\tau)$ one can associate a Hermitian (i.e., densely defined and symmetric, possibly unbounded) operator in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ with domain $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$. Indeed, let us fix arbitrary $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ and $g^{(m)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(m)}$. By virtue of (2.16),

$$\langle f^{(n)}, : \omega^{\otimes n} : \rangle g^{(m)} = \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n \wedge m} (\mathcal{L}_k^{(2)}(f^{(n)}) + \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}(f^{(n)}))g^{(m)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)}) &= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_n}^\dagger, \\ \mathcal{L}_k^{(2)}(f^{(n)}) &= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_{n-k}}^\dagger \partial_{t_{n-k+1}} \cdots \partial_{t_n}, \\ \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}(f^{(n)}) &= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \\ &\quad \times \partial_{t_1}^\dagger \cdots \partial_{t_{n-k}}^\dagger \lambda(t_{n-k+1}) \partial_{t_{n-k+1}}^\dagger \partial_{t_{n-k+1}} \partial_{t_{n-k+2}} \cdots \partial_{t_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} &\in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+m)}, \\ \mathcal{L}_k^{(2)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} &\in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+m-2k)}, \quad \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+m-2k+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Using (2.19) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we conclude that the vectors in (2.20) are well-defined for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ (independently of the choice of a version of $f^{(n)}$), and the $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ -norm of each such vector is bounded by $C \|f^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}$, where the constant $C > 0$ only depends on $g^{(m)}$ and is independent of n . Therefore, for each $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle f^{(n)}, : \omega^{\otimes n} : \rangle \in L^2(\tau)$,

$$Fg^{(m)} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (\mathcal{L}_k^{(2)}(f^{(n)}) + \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}(f^{(n)}))g^{(m)} \right),$$

which is a vector in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed, by (2.20),

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)} \right\|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(f^{(n)})g^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}^2 \leq C^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})}^2 < \infty,$$

and analogously we deal with the other sums. Extending F by linearity to the whole $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$, we thus get a Hermitian operator in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ with domain $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$.

The following theorem gives a rule of representation of a monomial through a sum of orthogonal polynomials.

Theorem 2.3 (Wick rule for a product of free noises). *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:*

$$\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) = \sum_{\varkappa \in G_n} :W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n):, \quad (2.21)$$

the formula making sense after smearing out with a function $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$.

Proof. We prove (2.21) by induction. Formula (2.21) trivially holds for $n = 1$. Assume that it also holds for $n - 1$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n) &= \sum_{\varkappa \in G_{n-1}} \omega(t_1) :W(\varkappa)\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n): \\ &= \sum_{\varkappa \in G_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^3 :W(\varkappa^{(i)})\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n):. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\varkappa^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are the elements of G_n that are obtained by first taking the marked partition \varkappa of $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, and then for $i = 1$, by adding $\{1\}$ as a singleton element with mark $+1$, for $i = 2$, by adding 1 to the first (from the left hand side) element of \varkappa which has mark $+1$ (if there is no such an element, then this term is zero), and for $i = 3$, by adding 1 to the first element of \varkappa that has mark $+1$ and changing the mark to -1 (again this term becomes zero if no element of \varkappa has mark $+1$). From here the statement of the theorem follows. \square

Remark 2.3. For each $f^{(n)} \in B_0(T^n)$,

$$\sum_{\varkappa \in G_n} \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) :W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_n): \quad (2.22)$$

is clearly an element of $L^2(\tau)$, and it follows from Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.2 that (2.22) is associated with the operator $\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle$ (first on $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$, and then it is extended by continuity to the whole $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$). Thus, we get the inclusion of \mathbf{P} into $L^2(\tau)$.

The following theorem generalizes Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4 (Wick rule for a product of normal products of free noises). *For any $k_1, \dots, k_l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &:\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1}): : \omega(t_{k_1+1}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}): \cdots : \omega(t_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{l-1}+1}) \cdots \omega(t_n): \\ &= \sum :W(\varkappa)\omega(t_1)\omega(t_2) \cdots \omega(t_n):, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where $n := k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_l$ and the summation in (2.23) is over all $\varkappa \in G_n$ such that each element of the induced partition $\pi(\varkappa)$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ contains maximum one element of each of the sets $\{1, \dots, k_1\}$, $\{k_1+1, \dots, k_1+k_2\}$, \dots , $\{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{l-1}+1, \dots, n\}$. Formula (2.23) makes sense after smearing out with a function $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$.

Proof. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.3, it suffices to show that, for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1}) : :\omega(t_{k_1+1}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}) : \\
& = :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1}) \omega(t_{k_1+1}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}) : \\
& \quad + :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1-1}) \delta(t_{k_1}, t_{k_1+1}) \omega(t_{k_1+2}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}) : \\
& \quad + :\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1-1}) \lambda(t_{k_1}) \delta(t_{k_1}, t_{k_1+1}) \omega(t_{k_1+1}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}) : . \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

To show (2.24), represent $:\omega(t_1) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1}) :$ in the form (2.16) and represent $:\omega(t_{k_1+1}) \cdots \omega(t_{k_1+k_2}) :$ in the form (2.15), then use the free commutation relation (2.3) whenever $\partial_{t_{k_1}} \partial_{t_{k_1+1}}^\dagger$ enters, and finally collect the terms in order to get the right hand side of (2.24). We leave these long, but quite simple calculations to the interested reader. \square

3 Non-commutative generalized stochastic processes with freely independent values

Let the space T and the measure σ be as in Section 2. For each $t \in T$, let $\mu(t, \cdot)$ be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ with compact support. We will assume that, for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the mapping $T \ni t \mapsto \mu(t, A)$ is measurable, and for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ there exists $R = R(\Delta) > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \Delta$, the measure $\mu(t, \cdot)$ has support in $[-R, R]$. We denote $\tilde{T} := T \times \mathbb{R}$, and define a measure $\tilde{\sigma}(dt, ds) := \sigma(dt) \mu(t, ds)$ on $(\tilde{T}, \mathcal{B}(\tilde{T}))$. Clearly,

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T). \tag{3.1}$$

We denote $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Let $\lambda \in C(\tilde{T})$ be chosen as $\lambda(t, s) := s$. Let $L^2(\tau)$ be the Hilbert space as in Section 2 which corresponds to \tilde{T} , $\tilde{\sigma}$, and λ . By Proposition 2.3, we have a unitary operator $I : L^2(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark 3.1. In view of (3.1), we will call a subset of \tilde{T} bounded if it is a subset of a set $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$, where $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$. We then define $B_0(\tilde{T})$ and $C_0(\tilde{T})$ as the set of all bounded measurable functions on \tilde{T} with bounded support, and the set of all bounded continuous functions on \tilde{T} with bounded support, respectively. All the respective definitions and results of Section 2 evidently remain true for these spaces.

For each $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $f \otimes g$ the function on \tilde{T} given by $(f \otimes g)(t, s) := f(t)g(s)$. If $f \in \mathcal{D} = C_0(T)$ and g is continuous, then $f \otimes g$ is continuous, has bounded support, but is not necessarily bounded. Still we will identify this function with any $f \otimes \bar{g} \in C_0(\tilde{T})$, where $\bar{g} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, bounded, and coincides with g on $[-R, R]$. Here $R = R(\text{supp } f) > 0$, i.e., R is chosen so that, for each t from the support of f , $\mu(t, \cdot)$ has support in $[-R, R]$. We will analogously proceed in the case where $f \in B_0(T)$.

Now, for each $f \in B_0(T)$ we define $X(f)$ as the element of $L^2(\tau)$ given by

$$X(f) := x(f \otimes 1) = a^+(f \otimes 1) + a^-(f \otimes 1) + a^0(f \otimes s). \quad (3.2)$$

(Here and below, if $g(s) = s^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we write the function $f \otimes g$ as $f \otimes s^l$.) Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X(f) &= \int_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{\sigma}(dt, ds) f(t) (\partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger + \partial_{(t,s)} + s \partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger \partial_{(t,s)}) \\ &= \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) (\partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger + \partial_{(t,s)} + s \partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger \partial_{(t,s)}) \\ &= \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \omega(t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\omega(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) (\partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger + \partial_{(t,s)} + s \partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger \partial_{(t,s)}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) \varpi(t, s) \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$\varpi(t, s) = \partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger + \partial_{(t,s)} + s \partial_{(t,s)}^\dagger \partial_{(t,s)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Also for $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, we set $X(\Delta) := X(\chi_\Delta)$.

By Proposition 2.1, for any $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T)$ such that $f_i f_j = 0$ σ -a.e. for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $X(f_1), \dots, X(f_n)$ are freely independent with respect to the state τ . In particular, for any mutually disjoint sets $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, the operators $X(\Delta_1), \dots, X(\Delta_n)$ are freely independent. Hence, we may interpret ω as a non-commutative generalized stochastic process with freely independent values (compare with [15]).

Remark 3.2. Let us derive an equivalent representation of the free random field $(X(f))_{f \in B_0(T)}$. For each $t \in T$, denote $c(t) := \mu(t, \{0\})$, and let $\nu(t, \cdot)$ denote the measure on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ given by $\nu(t, ds) := \frac{1}{s^2} \mu(t, ds)$. Then, we define a unitary operator

$$U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(T, c(t)\sigma(dt)) \oplus L^2(T \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}), \sigma(dt)\nu(t, ds)) := \mathcal{G}$$

by

$$\mathcal{H} \ni f \mapsto Uf := (f(t, 0), f(t, s)s) \in \mathcal{G}.$$

We naturally extend U to a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G})$. As easily seen, for each $f \in B_0(T)$,

$$UX(f)U^{-1} = a^+(f, 0) + a^-(f, 0) + a^+(0, f \otimes s) + a^0(0, f \otimes s) + a^-(0, f \otimes s). \quad (3.6)$$

In (3.6), the operator

$$B(f) := a^+(f, 0) + a^-(f, 0)$$

describes the Brownian part of the process, while the operator

$$J(f) := a^+(0, f \otimes s) + a^0(0, f \otimes s) + a^-(0, f \otimes s) \quad (3.7)$$

describes the “jump” part of the process. Thus, $\nu(t, \cdot)$ is the Lévy measure of the process at point t , and it describes the value and intensity of “jumps” (compare with e.g. [32] in the bosonic (classical) case, and with [8, 9, 10] in the free case).

Analogously to Section 2, we define the free cumulants $C^{(n)} : B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ through

$$\tau(X(f_1)X(f_2)\cdots X(f_n)) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \prod_{A \in \pi} C(A, f_1, \dots, f_n), \quad f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T),$$

and then we define the free cumulant transform

$$C(f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C^{(n)}(f), \quad f \in B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

(we have used obvious notations). By (the proof of) Proposition 2.2 and using the notations introduced in Remark 3.2, we get:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f \in B_0(T)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be such that there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ for which*

$$|f(t)| < \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{R} \quad \text{for all } t \in T,$$

where $R = R(\text{supp } f) > 0$, i.e., R is such that, for each $t \in \text{supp } f$, the measure $\mu(t, \cdot)$ has support in $[-R, R]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C(f) &= \int_T \sigma(dt) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) \frac{f^2(t)}{1 - sf(t)} \\ &= \int_T \sigma(dt) c(t) f^2(t) + \int_T \sigma(dt) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \nu(t, ds) \frac{f^2(t) s^2}{1 - sf(t)} \\ &= \int_T \sigma(dt) c(t) f^2(t) + \int_T \sigma(dt) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \nu(t, ds) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s^n f^n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have:

Proposition 3.2. *The vacuum vector Ω in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is cyclic for the operator family $(X(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. It can be easily shown by approximation that it suffices to prove that Ω is cyclic for the operator family $(X(f))_{f \in B_0(T)}$.

We first state that the linear span of the set

$$\{\chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^n \mid \Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T), n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is dense in $L^2(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\sigma})$. Indeed, let $g \in L^2(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\sigma})$ be orthogonal to all elements of this set, i.e.,

$$\int_{\Delta} \sigma(dt) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) s^n g(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(\cdot, ds) s^n g(\cdot, s) \in L^1(\Delta, \sigma)$ for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, we conclude from (3.8) that, for σ -a.e. $t \in T$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) s^n g(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

But, for each $t \in T$, $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} with compact support, and hence the set of all polynomials on \mathbb{R} is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(t, \cdot))$. Therefore, for σ -a.e. $t \in T$ and for $\mu(t, \cdot)$ -a.e. $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(t, s) = 0$. Hence $g(t, s) = 0$ for $\tilde{\sigma}$ -a.e. $(t, s) \in \tilde{T}$.

Since the measure σ is non-atomic, we can analogously prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \text{c.l.s.}(\{ & \chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1} \} \otimes \{ \chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2} \} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{ \chi_{\Delta_n} \otimes s^{l_n} \} \mid l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ & \text{for each } j = 1, \dots, n-1: \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

Below, we denote by M the set of all multi-indices of the form $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in \mathbb{N}_0^i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following subsets of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{(n)} &:= \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Omega, X(f_1) \cdots X(f_i) \Omega \mid f_1, \dots, f_i \in B_0(T), i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \}, \quad (3.9) \\ \mathcal{S}^{(n)} &:= \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Omega, (\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}) \mid (l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, \\ & \quad l_1 + \cdots + l_i + i \leq n, \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, i-1: \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{R}^{(n)} = \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$.

Proof. First, we note by approximation that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{(n)} = \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Omega, f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) s_1^{l_1} \cdots s_i^{l_i} \mid f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i), \\ (l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \cdots + l_i + i \leq n \} \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

(we are using obvious notations for elements of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$). From (3.2) and (3.10), the inclusion $\mathcal{R}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ follows by induction.

Next, let us prove that $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$. For $n = 1$, this is trivially true. Assume now that this is true for $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and let us show it for $n = N + 1$. Thus, we have to show that, for any $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ such that $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset$ for all $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, and any $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$ such that $l_1 + \cdots + l_i + i = N + 1$,

$$(\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}) \in \mathcal{R}^{(N+1)}. \quad (3.11)$$

If $l_1 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes 1) \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}) \\ &= a^+(\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes 1) ((\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i})) \\ &= X(\Delta_1) ((\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the case $l_1 = 0$, (3.11) holds.

Now, for $l_1 \geq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}) \\
&= a^0(\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s)((\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1-1}) \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i})) \\
&= X(\Delta_1)((\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1-1}) \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i})) \\
&\quad - (\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes 1) \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_1} \otimes s^{l_1-1}) \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}) \\
&\quad - \left(\int_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{\sigma}(dt, ds) \chi_{\Delta_1}(t) s^{l_1-1} \right) (\chi_{\Delta_2} \otimes s^{l_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \otimes s^{l_i}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the results proved above and the induction's assumption, we therefore conclude that (3.11) holds for $l_1 \geq 1$. \square

From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 the proposition follows. \square

For each $f^{(n)} \in B_0(T^n)$, we define a monomial of ω by

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle &:= \int_{T^n} \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \omega_1(t_1) \cdots \omega_n(t_n) \\
&= \int_{\tilde{T}^n} \tilde{\sigma}(dt_1, ds_1) \cdots \tilde{\sigma}(dt_n, ds_n) f^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \varpi(t_1, s_1) \cdots \varpi(t_n, s_n)
\end{aligned}$$

(recall (3.3)–(3.5)). We clearly have, for $f^{(n)} = f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n$ with $f_1, \dots, f_n \in B_0(T)$:

$$\langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle = \langle f_1, \omega \rangle \cdots \langle f_n, \omega \rangle = X(f_1) \cdots X(f_n). \quad (3.12)$$

With some abuse of notations, we will denote by \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{CP} the set of all polynomials in ω with kernels $f^{(n)} \in B_0(T^n)$ and $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, respectively. (Note that below we will not use polynomials in the ϖ variable, so keeping the same notations as in Section 2 for rather different objects should not lead to a contradiction, and will be justified below.) From Proposition 3.2, we now conclude:

Proposition 3.3. *The set \mathbf{CP} is dense in $L^2(\tau)$.*

Let $\mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ denote the subset of \mathbf{CP} consisting of all continuous polynomials in ω of order $\leq n$. Let $\mathbf{MP}^{(n)}$ denote the closure of $\mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ in $L^2(\tau)$. Let $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)} := \mathbf{MP}^{(n)} \ominus \mathbf{MP}^{(n-1)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{OP}^{(0)} := \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we get:

Theorem 3.1. *We have the following orthogonal decomposition of $L^2(\tau)$:*

$$L^2(\tau) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{OP}^{(n)}.$$

Let us recall that, in the case of a classical Lévy process, Nualart and Schoutens [31] derived an orthogonal decomposition of any square-integrable functional of the process in multiple stochastic integrals with respect to orthogonalized power jump processes (see also [27] and [4] for extensions of this result). Our next aim is to derive a free counterpart of [31, 27].

Fix any $t \in T$. Denote by $(p^{(n)}(t, \cdot))_{n \geq 0}$ the system of monic polynomials on \mathbb{R} which are orthogonal with respect to $\mu(t, \cdot)$. If the support of $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is an infinite set, then by Favard's theorem, the following recursive formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} sp^{(0)}(t, s) &= p^{(1)}(t, s) + b^{(0)}(t), \\ sp^{(n)}(t, s) &= p^{(n+1)}(t, s) + b^{(n)}(t)p^{(n)}(t, s) + a^{(n)}(t)p^{(n-1)}(t, s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $p^{(0)}(t, s) = 1$, $a^{(n)}(t) > 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $b^{(n)}(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If, however, the support of $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is a finite set consisting of N points ($N \in \mathbb{N}$), then we have a finite system of monic orthogonal polynomials $(p^{(n)}(t, \cdot))_{n=0}^{N-1}$ satisfying (3.13) for $n \leq N-2$, and, for $n = N-1$, we have:

$$sp^{(N-1)}(t, s) = b^{(N-1)}(t, s)p^{(N-1)}(t, s) + a^{(N-1)}(t, s)p^{(N-2)}(t, s).$$

For technical reasons, we set, in this case,

$$p^{(n)}(t, s) := 0, \quad a^{(n)}(t) := 0, \quad n \geq N,$$

($b^{(n)}(t)$, $n \geq N$ being arbitrary), so that recursive relation (3.13) now always holds.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote

$$g^{(l)}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) |p^{(l)}(t, s)|^2, \quad t \in T, \quad (3.14)$$

and then we define a measure on $(T, \mathcal{B}(T))$ by

$$\sigma^{(l)}(dt) := g^{(l)}(t)\sigma(dt). \quad (3.15)$$

Note that $\sigma^{(0)} = \sigma$. For each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, we define

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} := L^2(T^i, \sigma^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{(l_i)}). \quad (3.16)$$

Then, clearly, the following mapping is an isometry

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni f^{(i)} &\mapsto K_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} f^{(i)} = (K_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} f^{(i)})(t_1, s_1, \dots, t_i, s_i) \\ &:= f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i) \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ the range of the isometry $K_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\mathcal{F}(H) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M} \mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Furthermore, for each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, we have:

$$\mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} = \text{c.l.s.} \left\{ (\chi_{\Delta_1} \times p^{(l_1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \times p^{(l_i)}) \mid \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(T), \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, i-1: \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset \right\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Here, $(\chi_{\Delta} \times p^{(l)})(t, s) := \chi_{\Delta}(t)p^{(l)}(t, s)$.

Proof. Fix any $f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i)$. Let $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ be such that the support of $f^{(i)}$ is a subset of Δ^i . Choose $R = R(\Delta) > 0$ such that, for each $t \in \Delta$, $\mu(t, \cdot)$ has support in $[-R, R]$. Recall the recursive formula (3.13). We have, for each $t \in \Delta$, $|b^{(n)}(t)| \leq R$ and $a^{(n)}(t) \leq R^2$, which easily follows from the theory of Jacobi matrices (see e.g. [11]). Therefore, by (3.13), each $p^{(n)}(t, s)$ is bounded as a function of $(t, s) \in \Delta \times [-R, R]$. Therefore, for each $f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i)$,

$$f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i)p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i) \in \mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}.$$

From here equality (3.19) easily follows (recall that the measure σ is non-atomic, which allows us to choose only those sets $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i$ in (3.19) for which $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-1$). Formula (3.18) can now be proven analogously to the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Recall that by Proposition 2.3, we have a unitary operator $I : L^2(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$. For each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, denote $\mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} := I^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$. For any $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote

$$X^{(l)}(\Delta) := \int_{\bar{T}} \tilde{\sigma}(dt, ds) \chi_{\Delta}(t) p^{(l)}(t, s) \varpi(t, s).$$

For arbitrary $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$ and $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ such that $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, we clearly have:

$$X^{(l_1)}(\Delta_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(\Delta_i) \Omega = (\chi_{\Delta_1} \times p^{(l_1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\chi_{\Delta_i} \times p^{(l_i)}).$$

Therefore, by (3.19),

$$\mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} = \text{c.l.s.} \left\{ X^{(l_1)}(\Delta_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(\Delta_i) \mid \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(T), \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, i-1: \Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset \right\}.$$

For each $f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ (recall (3.16)), we can easily define a non-commutative multiple stochastic integral

$$\int_{T^i} f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) X^{(l_1)}(dt_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(dt_i) \quad (3.20)$$

as an element of $\mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$. Indeed, for each $f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ of the form

$$f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) = \chi_{\Delta_1}(t_1) \cdots \chi_{\Delta_i}(t_i)$$

with $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ such that $\Delta_j \cap \Delta_{j+1} = \emptyset$, $j = 1, \dots, i-1$, we define (3.20) as $X^{(l_1)}(\Delta_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(\Delta_i)$. We then extend this definition by linearity to the linear span of such functions, and finally we extend it by continuity to obtain a unitary operator

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \mapsto \int_{T^i} f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) X^{(l_1)}(dt_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(dt_i) \in \mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}.$$

Taking (3.18) into account, we thus derive

Theorem 3.2. *Denote*

$$\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M} \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}.$$

Then, the following unitary operator gives an orthogonal expansion of $L^2(\tau)$ in non-commutative multiple stochastic integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F} \ni F &= (c, (f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)})_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M}) \\ \mapsto JF &:= c\mathbf{1} + \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M} \int_{T^i} f^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) X^{(l_1)}(dt_1) \cdots X^{(l_i)}(dt_i) \in L^2(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

In terms of this orthogonal expansion, we have:

$$L^2(\tau) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M} \mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}. \quad (3.22)$$

(Note that, in (3.22), \mathbb{R} denotes the space of all operators $c\mathbf{1}$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.)

Remark 3.3. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, define $Y^{(l)}(\Delta) \in L^2(\tau)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(l)}(\Delta) &:= \int_{\tilde{T}} \tilde{\sigma}(dt, ds) \chi_{\Delta}(t) s^l \varpi(t, s) \\ &= a^+(\chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^l) + a^0(\chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^{l+1}) + a^-(\chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^l) \end{aligned}$$

(recall (3.5)). Clearly, $Y^{(0)}(\Delta) = X(\Delta)$. Recall now the unitary operator $U : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G})$ from Remark 3.2. Then, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$UY^{(l)}(\Delta)U^{-1} = a^+(0, \chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^{l+1}) + a^0(0, \chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^{l+1}) + a^-(0, \chi_{\Delta} \otimes s^{l+1})$$

(compare with (3.6) and (3.7)). Hence, by analogy with the classical case (see [31]), $Y^{(l)}(\cdot)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, may be treated as “power jump processes” (recall that s describes the value of “jumps”). For any $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $l_1 < l_2$, and any $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(Y^{(l_1)}(\Delta_1)X^{(l_2)}(\Delta_2)) &= (X^{(l_2)}(\Delta_2)\Omega, Y^{(l_1)}(\Delta_1)\Omega)_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})} \\ &= \int_{\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2} \sigma(dt) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) p^{(l_2)}(t, s) s^{l_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X^{(l)}(\cdot)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, may be thought of as the orthogonalized power jump processes $Y^{(l)}(\cdot)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

The following theorem describes a connection between Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbf{OP}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}.$$

Proof. We have to show that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbf{MP}^{(n)} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i \leq n} \mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)},$$

or, equivalently, $\mathbf{IMP}^{(n)} = \mathcal{Z}^{(n)}$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(n)} := \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i \leq n} \mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}. \quad (3.23)$$

As easily seen, $\mathbf{IMP}^{(n)} = \mathcal{R}^{(n)}$ (see (3.9)). Hence, by Lemma 3.2 and (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{IMP}^{(n)} &= \text{c. l. s. } \{ \Omega, f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) s_1^{l_1} \cdots s_i^{l_i} \mid f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i), \\ &\quad (l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i \leq n \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Furthermore, (3.19) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{(n)} &= \text{c. l. s. } \{ \Omega, f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i) \mid f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i), \\ &\quad (l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i \leq n \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.3 that each $p^{(l)}$ has a representation

$$p^{(l)}(t, s) = \sum_{j=0}^l \alpha^{(l, j)}(t) s^j,$$

where $\alpha^{(l,j)}$'s are measurable functions on T which are bounded on each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$. By (3.24) and (3.25), we therefore get the inclusion $\mathcal{Z}^{(n)} \subset \mathbf{IMP}^{(n)}$.

Next, for each $t \in T$, denote by $P^{(i)}(t, \cdot)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the system of *normalized* orthogonal polynomials in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(t, \cdot))$. We then have an expansion

$$s^l = \sum_{j=0}^l \beta^{(l,j)}(t) P^{(j)}(t, s), \quad (3.26)$$

where the functions $\beta^{(l,j)}$ are measurable. For each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$ and each $t \in \Delta$, we have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^l (\beta^{(l,j)}(t))^2 = \|s^l\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(t, ds))}^2 = \|s^l\|_{L^2([-R, R], \mu(t, ds))}^2 \leq R^{2l},$$

where $R = R(\Delta)$. Thus, the functions $\beta^{(l,i)}(\cdot)$ are locally bounded on T . Define

$$\mathcal{Y}^{(n)} := \text{c.l.s.} \{ \Omega, f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) P^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots P^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i) \mid f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i), \\ (l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \cdots + l_i + i \leq n \}.$$

Then, by (3.24) and (3.26), $\mathbf{IMP}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}$. Set $u^{(l)}(t) := \|p^{(l)}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu(t, \cdot))}^{-1}$. (In the case where $p^{(l)}(t, \cdot) = 0$, set $u^{(l)}(t) := 0$.) To show that $\mathbf{IMP}^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{Z}^{(n)}$, it only remains to show that, for each $f^{(i)} \in B_0(T^i)$ and each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \cdots + l_i + i \leq n$, the function

$$f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) u^{(l_1)}(t_1) \cdots u^{(l_i)}(t_i) p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{Z}^{(n)}$. But this easily follows through approximation of

$$f^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i) u^{(l_1)}(t_1) \cdots u^{(l_i)}(t_i)$$

by functions from $B_0(T^i)$. □

Recall that we have constructed the following chain of unitary isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{J} L^2(\tau) \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$$

(see, in particular, Theorem 3.2). Thus,

$$K := IJ : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a unitary operator. Note that the restriction of K to each space $\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ is $K_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$, see (3.17). We will preserve the notation Ω for the vector in \mathbb{F} defined as $K^{-1}\Omega$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote

$$\mathbb{F}^{(n)} := J^{-1} \mathbf{OP}^{(n)},$$

so that $\mathbb{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}^{(n)}$, and by Theorem 3.3, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{F}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}.$$

For each $f \in B_0(T)$, we will preserve the notation $X(f)$ for the image of this operator under K^{-1} , i.e., for the equivalent realization of $X(f)$ in \mathbb{F} .

Corollary 3.1. *For each $f \in B_0(T)$, we have $X(f) = X^+(f) + X^0(f) + X^-(f)$, where $X^+(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n+1)}$, $X^0(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n)}$, and $X^-(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n-1)}$. Furthermore, $X^\pm(f) = X_1^\pm(f) + X_2^\pm(f)$, and for each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$*

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni g \mapsto (X_1^+(f)g)(t_1, \dots, t_{i+1}) := f(t_1)g(t_2, \dots, t_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{H}_{(0, l_1, \dots, l_i)}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni g \mapsto (X_2^+(f)g)(t_1, \dots, t_i) := f(t_1)g(t_1, \dots, t_i) \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_1+1, l_2, \dots, l_i)}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni g \mapsto (X_1^-(f)g)(t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}) := \delta_{l_1, 0} \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t)g(t, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}) \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_2, \dots, l_i)},$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni g \mapsto (X_2^-(f)g)(t_1, \dots, t_i) := (1 - \delta_{l_1, 0})a^{(l_1)}(t_1)f(t_1)g(t_1, \dots, t_i) \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_1-1, \dots, l_i)},$$

$$\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni g \mapsto (X^0(f)g)(t_1, \dots, t_i) := b^{(l_1)}(t_1)f(t_1)g(t_1, \dots, t_i) \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)},$$

and $X^0(f)\Omega = X^-(f)\Omega = 0$, $X^+(f)\Omega = f \in \mathbb{H}_{(0)}$. Here, $\delta_{l_1, 0}$ is equal to 1 if $l_1 = 0$, and equal to 0, otherwise.

Proof. We fix any $f \in B_0(T)$ and $g^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$. Then, by (3.13) and (3.17), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (a^+(f \otimes 1) + a^-(f \otimes 1) + a^0(f \otimes s))Kg^{(i)} \\ &= (a^+(f \otimes 1) + a^-(f \otimes 1) + a^0(f \otimes s))g^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i)p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i) \\ &= f(t_1)g^{(i)}(t_2, \dots, t_{i+1})p^{(0)}(t_1, s_1)p^{(l_1)}(t_2, s_2) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_{i+1}, s_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{l_1, 0} \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t)g^{(i)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1})p^{(l_2)}(t_1, s_1) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_{i-1}, s_{i-1}) \\ &\quad + f(t_1)g^{(i)}(t_1, \dots, t_i)(p^{(l_1+1)}(t_1, s_1) + b^{(l_1)}(t_1)p^{(l_1)}(t_1, s_1) + a^{(l_1)}(t_1)p^{(l_1-1)}(t_1, s_1)) \\ &\quad \times p^{(l_2)}(t_2, s_2) \cdots p^{(l_i)}(t_i, s_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator K^{-1} to the above element of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$, we easily conclude the statement. \square

For $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, let $P(f^{(n)})$ denote the orthogonal projection of $\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle$ onto $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$.

Remark 3.4. By Proposition 3.3, the set \mathbf{CP} is dense in $L^2(\tau)$. From here it follows that the linear span of the set $\{P(f^{(n)}) \mid f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is also dense in $L^2(\tau)$. In fact, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{P(f^{(n)}) \mid f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}\}$ is dense in $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$. Indeed, by definition, the set $\mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ is dense in $\mathbf{MP}^{(n)}$. Therefore, the set of all projections of $P \in \mathbf{CP}^{(n)}$ onto $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$ is dense in $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$. But the projection of each $P \in \mathbf{CP}^{(n-1)}$ onto $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$ equals zero, from where the statement follows.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n$. For each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, the $\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ -coordinate of the vector $J^{-1}P(f^{(n)})$ in $\mathbb{F}^{(n)}$ is given by*

$$f\left(\underbrace{t_1, \dots, t_1}_{(l_1+1) \text{ times}}, \underbrace{t_2, \dots, t_2}_{(l_2+1) \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{t_i, \dots, t_i}_{(l_i+1) \text{ times}}\right).$$

Proof. By approximation, it suffices to check the statement in the case where $f^{(n)} = f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n$, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, by (3.12),

$$J^{-1}\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle = X(f_1) \dots X(f_n)\Omega.$$

Hence, $J^{-1}P(f^{(n)})$ is equal to the projection of $X(f_1) \dots X(f_n)\Omega$ onto $\mathbb{F}^{(n)}$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.1,

$$J^{-1}P(f^{(n)}) = X^+(f_1) \dots X^+(f_n)\Omega.$$

The statement now follows from (3.27) and (3.28). \square

In view of Remark 3.4 and Corollary 3.2, we will now give an equivalent interpretation of the $\mathbb{F}^{(n)}$ spaces. So, we fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} := \{ & (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T^n \mid t_1 = t_2 = \dots = t_{l_1+1}, \\ & t_{l_1+2} = t_{l_1+3} = \dots = t_{l_1+l_2+2}, \dots, t_{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_{i-1}+i} = t_{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_{i-1}+i+1} = \dots = t_n, \\ & t_{l_1+1} \neq t_{l_1+l_2+2}, t_{l_1+l_2+2} \neq t_{l_1+l_2+l_3+3} \dots, t_{l_1+\dots+l_{i-1}+i-1} \neq t_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

The $T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ sets with $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n$, form a set partition of T^n .

We define $\mathcal{B}(T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)})$ as the trace σ -algebra of $\mathcal{B}(T^n)$ on $T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$. Now, consider the measurable mapping

$$T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)} \ni (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_{l_1+1}, t_{l_1+l_2+2}, t_{l_1+l_2+l_3+3}, \dots, t_n) \in T^i. \quad (3.29)$$

Since σ is a non-atomic measure, the image of $T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ under the mapping (3.29) is of full $\sigma^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{(l_i)}$ measure. We denote by $\gamma^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ the pre-image of the measure $\sigma^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma^{(l_i)}$ under the mapping (3.29). We then extend $\gamma^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ by zero to the whole space T^n . Note that, for different (l_1, \dots, l_i) and (l'_1, \dots, l'_j) from M for which $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = l'_1 + \dots + l'_j + j = n$, the measures $\gamma^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ and $\gamma^{(l'_1, \dots, l'_j)}$ are concentrated on disjoint sets in T^n . We then define a measure on $(T^n, \mathcal{B}(T^n))$ as follows:

$$\gamma_n := \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \gamma^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}. \quad (3.30)$$

Recall that, by Remark 3.4, the set $\{J^{-1}P(f^n) \mid f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{F}^{(n)}$, while the set $\mathcal{D}^{(n)}$ is clearly dense in $L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.2 the mapping

$$L^2(T^n, \gamma_n) \supset \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \ni f^{(n)} \mapsto J^{-1}P(f^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{F}^{(n)}$$

extends to a unitary operator. In terms of this unitary isomorphism, we will, in what follows, identify $\mathbb{F}^{(n)}$ with $L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$, so that the space \mathbb{F} becomes

$$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(T^n, \gamma_n).$$

By analogy with [26, 27], we call \mathbb{F} a free extended Fock space. Since, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}^{(n)} \subset L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$, we have an evident inclusion of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ into \mathbb{F} . Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 can now be reformulated as the following theorem, which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *The following mapping*

$$\mathbb{F} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}) \ni (f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots) \xrightarrow{J} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(f^{(n)}) \in L^2(\tau) \quad (3.31)$$

(the sum being, in fact, finite) extends to the unitary operator $J : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow L^2(\tau)$. In particular, for any $f^{(n)}, g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (P(f^{(n)}), P(g^{(n)}))_{L^2(\tau)} &= (f^{(n)}, g^{(n)})_{L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)} \\ &= \sum_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \int_{T^i} (f^{(n)} g^{(n)})(\underbrace{t_1, \dots, t_1}_{l_1 + 1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{t_i, \dots, t_i}_{l_i + 1 \text{ times}}) \\ &\quad \times g^{(l_1)}(t_1) \cdots g^{(l_i)}(t_i) \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where the functions $g^{(l)}$ are given by (3.14).

For each $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $X(f) = X^+(f) + X^0(f) + X^-(f)$, where $X^+(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n+1)}$, $X^0(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n)}$, and $X^-(f) : \mathbb{F}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{(n-1)}$. Furthermore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$,

$$(X^+(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = f(t_1)g(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in T^{n+1}; \quad (3.33)$$

for each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n$, and each $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$,

$$(X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_n) = b^{(l_1)}(t_1)f(t_1)g^{(n)}(t_1, \dots, t_n); \quad (3.34)$$

and for each $(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M$, $l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n - 1$, and each $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in T^{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (X^-(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) &= \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t)g^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + a^{(l_1+1)}(t_1)f(t_1)g^{(n)}(t_1, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

(the second addend on the right hand side of (3.35) being equal to zero for $n = 1$). Additionally, $X^+(f)\Omega = f$, $X^0(f)\Omega = 0$, $X^-(f)\Omega = 0$.

Remark 3.5. For the reader's convenience, let us quickly summarize the constructed spaces and the established unitary isomorphisms. We first have the following commutative diagram:

Here, the spaces $\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ are defined by (3.16), the isomorphism I is established in Proposition 2.3, K is given through (3.17), J is given by (3.21), the spaces $\mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ are the images of $\mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}$ under K and J , respectively. Furthermore, we have realized each space

$$\mathbb{F}^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \mathbb{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

as $L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$, and derived the following commutative diagram: where

$$\mathcal{H}^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in M, l_1 + \dots + l_i + i = n} \mathcal{H}_{(l_1, \dots, l_i)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Formula (3.31) gives the action of J in terms of the latter diagram, while formulas (3.33)–(3.35) give the action of $X(f)$ in \mathbb{F} .

4 The free Meixner class

As we saw in Theorem 2.1, the free Gauss–Poisson processes have the property that, for each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, the orthogonal polynomial $P(f^{(n)})$ is a continuous polynomial. We will now search for all the free processes as in Section 3 for which this property remains true. So, as in Section 3, we fix a free process $(X(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$ — a family of bounded linear operators in the free extended Fock space \mathbb{F} .

Theorem 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- i) For each $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $P(f^{(n)}) \in \mathbf{CP}$.
- ii) For each $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $X(f)$ maps $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ into itself.
- iii) There exist λ and η from $C(T)$, $\eta(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in T$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} b^{(l)}(t) &= \lambda(t), \quad t \in T, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ a^{(l)}(t) &= \eta(t), \quad t \in T, l \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(X^+(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = f(t_1)g(t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in T^{n+1}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \lambda(t_1)f(t_1)g^{(n)}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T^n, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (X^-(f)g^{(n)})(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) &= \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t)g^{(n)}(t, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \\ &+ \eta(t_1)f(t_1)g^{(n)}(t_1, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in T^{(n-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

(the second addend on the right hand side of (4.3) being equal to zero for $n = 1$).

Proof. Assume that i) holds. Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist linear operators $U_{i,n} : \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$P(f^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \langle U_{i,n} f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes i} \rangle, \quad f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Applying the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\tau)$ onto $\mathbf{OP}^{(n)}$ to both right and left hand sides of (4.4), we get $P(f^{(n)}) = P(U_{n,n} f^{(n)})$. Hence, $U_{n,n}$ is the identity operator, so that (4.4) becomes

$$P(f^{(n)}) = \langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle U_{i,n} f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes i} \rangle, \quad f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}. \quad (4.5)$$

From here it follows that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist linear operators $V_{i,n} : \mathcal{D}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, such that

$$\langle f^{(n)}, \omega^{\otimes n} \rangle = P(f^{(n)}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P(V_{i,n} f^{(n)}), \quad f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Indeed, for $n = 1$, (4.6) clearly holds. Assume that (4.6) holds for all $n = 1, \dots, N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by (4.5) and by (4.6) for $n \leq N$, we have, for each $f^{(N+1)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(N+1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{(N+1)}, \omega^{\otimes(N+1)} \rangle &= P(f^{(N+1)}) - \sum_{i=0}^N \langle U_{i,N+1} f^{(N+1)}, \omega^{\otimes i} \rangle \\ &= P(f^{(N+1)}) - \sum_{i=0}^N \left(P(U_{i,N+1} f^{(N+1)}) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} P(V_{j,i} U_{i,N+1} f^{(N+1)}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

from where (4.6) holds for $n = N + 1$.

Now, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, by (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \omega \rangle P(g^{(n)}) &= \langle f \otimes g^{(n)}, \omega^{(n+1)} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f \otimes (U_{i-1,n} g^{(n)}), \omega^{\otimes i} \rangle \\ &= P(f \otimes g^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=0}^n P(V_{j,n+1}(f \otimes g^{(n)})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(P(f \otimes (U_{i-1,n} g^{(n)})) + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} P(V_{k,i}(f \otimes (U_{i-1,n} g^{(n)}))) \right) \\ &= P(f \otimes g^{(n)}) + \sum_{j=0}^n P(Z_{j,n+1}(f, g^{(n)})), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $Z_{j,n+1}(f, g^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{D}^{(j)}$. Thus, by (4.7), $\langle f, \omega \rangle P(g^{(n)}) \in \mathbf{CP}^{(n+1)}$, and so ii) holds. (Note that, in view of symmetricity, $Z_{j,n+1}(f, g^{(n)}) = 0$ for $j \leq n - 2$.)

Let us now prove that ii) implies iii). For each $t \in T$, denote $\lambda(t) := b^{(0)}(t)$ and $\eta(t) := a^{(1)}(t)$.

Fix any open set $O \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $f(t) = g(t) = 1$ for all $t \in O$. Then, by (3.34), for each $t \in O$,

$$(X^0(f)g)(t) = \lambda(t). \quad (4.8)$$

By ii), (4.8) implies that $\lambda(t)$ continuously depends on $t \in O$. Hence, $\lambda \in C(T)$.

Next, let a set O and functions f, g be as above, and assume additionally that $f \geq 0$ and $g \geq 0$ on T . Further, choose any $h \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $h \geq 0$ on T , with $h(t) = 0$ for all $t \in O$, and $fh \not\equiv 0$. Choose $\varepsilon < 0$ such that

$$\int_T \sigma(dt) f(t)(g(t) + \varepsilon h(t)) = 0.$$

Set $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) := (g(t_1) + \varepsilon h(t_1))g(t_2)$, $(t_1, t_2) \in T^2$. Then, by (3.35), for each $t \in O$,

$$(X^-(f)g^{(2)})(t) = \eta(t),$$

which implies that η is continuous on O . Hence, $\eta \in C(T)$.

Next, fix any $t \in T$, and let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$, $n \geq 2$, be such that $f(t) = 1$ and $g^{(n)}(t, t, \dots, t) = 1$. By (3.34), for any $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in T^{n-1}$ such that $t \neq t_1, t_1 \neq t_2, \dots, t_{n-2} \neq t_{n-1}$, we have

$$(X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) = \lambda(t)g^{(n)}(t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}), \quad (4.9)$$

whereas

$$(X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t, t, \dots, t) = b^{(n-1)}(t). \quad (4.10)$$

By ii),

$$\lim_{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) \rightarrow (t, t, \dots, t)} (X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}) = (X^0(f)g^{(n)})(t, t, \dots, t).$$

Hence, by (4.9) and (4.10), $b^{(n-1)}(t) = \lambda(t)$. Thus, for all $t \in T$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $b^{(n)}(t) = \lambda(t)$.

Completely analogously, we then also deduce from (3.35) that, for all $t \in T$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a^{(n)}(t) = \eta(t)$. Formulas (4.2) and (4.3) now follow from (3.34) and (3.35), respectively. Thus, iii) holds.

Finally, we prove that iii) implies i). Analogously to (2.13), we now have, for any $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{D}$, $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) &= \langle f_1, \omega \rangle P(f_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) - P((\lambda f_1 f_2) \otimes f_3 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) \\ &\quad - \int_T \sigma(dt) f_1(t) f_2(t) P(f_3 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) - P((\eta f_1 f_2 f_3) \otimes f_4 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) \end{aligned}$$

(compare with (2.13)). From here we conclude statement i) by an easy generalization of the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 4.1. As easily seen by approximation, formulas (4.1)–(4.3) remain true for any $f \in B_0(T)$ and $g^{(n)} \in \mathbb{F}^{(n)} = L^2(T^n, \gamma_n)$.

The set of all free processes as in Theorem 4.1, iii) will be called the Meixner class of free processes. We note that, if, for $t \in T$, $\eta(t) = 0$, then the measure $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is concentrated at one point, namely $\lambda(t)$. Hence, $g^{(0)}(t) = 1$ and $g^{(l)}(t) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (see (3.14) and (3.15)). In particular, if $\eta(t) = 0$ for all $t \in T$, the measure γ_n becomes $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ (see (3.30)). Thus, $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ and $X(f) = x(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}$, where $(x(f))_{f \in \mathcal{D}}$ is the free process as in Section 2, which corresponds to the function $\lambda \in C(T)$.

If, however, $\eta(t) > 0$, then $\mu(t, \cdot)$ has an infinite support. Recall that $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is the measure of orthogonality of monic polynomials $(p^{(n)}(t, \cdot))_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying

$$sp^{(n)}(t, s) = p^{(n+1)}(t, s) + \lambda(t)p^{(n)}(t, s) + \eta(t)p^{(n-1)}(t, s), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (4.11)$$

where $p^{(-1)}(t, s) := 0$. Hence, $\mu(t, \cdot)$ is Wigner's semicircle law with mean $\lambda(t)$ and variance $\eta(t)$:

$$\mu(t, ds) = \chi_{[-2\sqrt{\eta(t)+\lambda(t)}, 2\sqrt{\eta(t)+\lambda(t)}]}(s) (4\pi\eta(t))^{-1} \sqrt{4\eta(t) - (s - \lambda(t))^2} ds$$

(compare with [34] and [17]). By (3.14) and (4.11), we have:

$$g^{(l)}(t) = \eta^l(t), \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (4.12)$$

Substituting (4.12) into (3.32), we get the explicit form of the inner product in the free extended Fock space \mathbb{F} .

Assume that, for some $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, the functions $\lambda(\cdot)$ and $\eta(\cdot)$ are constant on Δ , i.e., $\lambda(t) = \lambda$, $\eta(t) = \eta$ for all $t \in \Delta$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \geq 0$. Then, by (4.1)–(4.3) (see Remark 4.1), we have:

$$X(\Delta)\chi_\Delta^{\otimes n} = \chi_\Delta^{\otimes(n+1)} + [n]_0\lambda\chi_\Delta^{\otimes n} + ([n]_0\sigma(\Delta) + [n]_0[n-1]_0\eta)\chi_\Delta^{\otimes(n-1)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\chi_\Delta^{\otimes 0} := \Omega$. Denote $P(\chi_\Delta^{\otimes n}) := J\chi_\Delta^{\otimes n}$. Then, by (4.13), $P(\chi_\Delta^{\otimes n}) = q^{(n)}(X(\Delta))$, where $(q^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is the system of monic polynomials on \mathbb{R} satisfying the recursive relation (1.6). By Favard's theorem, $(q^{(n)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is a system of polynomials which are orthogonal with respect to some probability measure $\rho_{\lambda, \eta, \sigma(\Delta)}$. For an explicit form of this measure, we refer to e.g. [34].

Corollary 4.1. *Let $(X(f))_{f \in B_0(T)}$ be as in Theorem 4.1 iii). Then, for each $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}_0(T)$, there exists $r = r(\Delta) > 0$ such that, for each $f \in B_0(T)_\mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

$$|f(t)| < r\chi_\Delta(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in T,$$

we have

$$C(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) 2f^2(t) \left(1 - \lambda(t)f(t) + \sqrt{(1 - \lambda(t)f(t))^2 - 4f^2(t)\eta(t)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. The result directly follows from Proposition 3.1 and the following formula which holds for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ from a neighborhood of zero:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(t, ds) \frac{1}{1 - sz} = 2 \left(1 - \lambda(t)z + \sqrt{(1 - \lambda(t)z)^2 - 4z^2\eta(t)} \right)^{-1},$$

see [2, 34]. □

Recall that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D})$ is a dense subset of \mathbb{F} . Analogously to Section 2, we can therefore interpret smeared, Wick ordered products of operators ∂_t^\dagger and ∂_t as operators in \mathbb{F} .

Corollary 4.2. *Let $(X(f))_{f \in B_0(T)}$ be as in Theorem 4.1 iii). Then, using the same notations as in Section 2, we may represent the action of each $X(f)$ in \mathbb{F} as follows:*

$$X(f) = \int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \omega(t),$$

where

$$\omega(t) = \partial_t^\dagger + \lambda(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t + \partial_t + \eta(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t \partial_t.$$

Proof. The statement directly follows from (4.1)–(4.3) if we note that, for each $g^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^{(n)}$,

$$\left(\int_T \sigma(dt) f(t) \eta(t) \partial_t^\dagger \partial_t \partial_t g^{(n)} \right) (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) = \eta(t_1) f(t_1) g^{(n)}(t_1, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

□

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and making very useful comments and suggestions. The authors acknowledge the financial support of the SFB 701 “Spectral structures and topological methods in mathematics”, Bielefeld University. MB was partially supported by the KBN grant no. 1P03A 01330. EL was partially supported by the PTDC/MAT/67965/2006 grant, University of Madeira.

References

- [1] Accardi, L., Franz, U., Skeide, M.: Renormalized squares of white noise and other non-Gaussian noises as Lévy processes on real Lie algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 228, 123–150 (2002)

- [2] Anshelevich, M.: Free martingale polynomials. *J. Funct. Anal.* 201, 228–261 (2003)
- [3] Anshelevich, M.: Appell polynomials and their relatives. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, no. 65, 3469–3531
- [4] Anshelevich, M.: q -Lévy processes. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 576, 181–207 (2004)
- [5] Anshelevich, M.: Free Meixner states. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 276, 863–899 (2007)
- [6] Anshelevich, M.: Orthogonal polynomials with a resolvent-type generating function. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 360, 4125–4143 (2008)
- [7] Anshelevich, M.: Monic non-commutative orthogonal polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136, 2395–2405 (2008)
- [8] Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E.; Thorbjørnsen, S.: Lévy laws in free probability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 16568–16575 (2002) (electronic)
- [9] Barndorff-Nielsen, Ole E.; Thorbjørnsen, Steen. Lévy processes in free probability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99 (2002), 16576–16580 (electronic)
- [10] Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E.; Thorbjørnsen, S. The Lévy-Itô decomposition in free probability. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 131 (2005), no. 2, 197–228.
- [11] Berezansky, Ju.M.: Expansions in eigenfunctions of selfadjoint operators. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1968
- [12] Berezansky, Yu.M.: Commutative Jacobi fields in Fock space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 30, 163–190 (1998)
- [13] Berezansky, Yu.M., Lytvynov, E., Mierzejewski, D.A.: The Jacobi field of a Lévy process. *Ukrainian Math. J.* 55, 853–858 (2003)
- [14] Berezansky, Yu.M., Mierzejewski, D.A. The structure of the extended symmetric Fock space. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 6, no. 4, 1–13 (2000)
- [15] Biane, P.: Processes with free increments. *Math. Z.* 227 143–174 (1998)
- [16] Bożejko, M., Kümmerer, B., Speicher, R.: q -Gaussian processes: non-commutative and classical aspects. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 185, 129–154 (1997)
- [17] Bożejko, M., Bryc, W. On a class of free Lévy laws related to a regression problem. *J. Funct. Anal.* 236, 59–77 (2006)
- [18] Brüning, E.: When is a field a Jacobi field? A characterization of states on tensor algebras. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 22, 209–246 (1986)

- [19] Donati-Martin, C.: Stochastic integration with respect to q Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 125, 77–95 (2003)
- [20] Effros, E.G., Popa, M.: Feynman diagrams and Wick products associated with q -Fock space. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 8629–8633 (2003) (electronic)
- [21] Gel'fand, I.M., Vilenkin, N.Ya.: *Generalized functions, Vol. IV.*, New York, London: Academic Press, 1964.
- [22] Hida, T., Kuo, H.-H., Potthoff, J., Streit, L.: *White noise: An infinite dimensional calculus.* Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1993
- [23] Kondratiev, Yu.G., Lytvynov, E.W.: Operators of gamma white noise calculus. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 3, 303–335 (2000)
- [24] Kondratiev, Yu.G., da Silva, J.L., Streit, L, Us, G.F.: Analysis on Poisson and gamma spaces. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 1, 91–117 (1998)
- [25] Lytvynov, E.W.: Multiple Wiener integrals and non-Gaussian white noises: a Jacobi field approach. *Meth. Func. Anal. and Topol* 1, 61–85 (1995)
- [26] Lytvynov, E.: Polynomials of Meixner's type in infinite dimensions—Jacobi fields and orthogonality measures. *J. Funct. Anal.* 200, 118–149 (2003)
- [27] Lytvynov, E.: Orthogonal decompositions for Lévy processes with an application to the gamma, Pascal, and Meixner processes. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 6, 73–102 (2003)
- [28] Lytvynov, E.: The square of white noise as a Jacobi field. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 7, 619–629 (2004),
- [29] Lytvynov, E.W., Rebenko, A.L., Shchepan'uk, G. V.: Wick theorems in non-Gaussian white noise calculus. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 37, 217–232 (1996)
- [30] Meixner, J.: Orthogonale Polynomsysteme mit einem besonderen Gestalt der erzeugenden Funktion. *J. London Math. Soc.* 9, 6–13 (1934)
- [31] Nualart D., Schoutens, W.: Chaotic and predictable representations for Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 90, 109–122 (2000)
- [32] Parthasarathy, K.R.: *An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus.* Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992
- [33] Rodionova, I.: Analysis connected with generating functions of exponential type in one and infinite dimensions. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* 11, 275–297 (2005)

- [34] Saitoh, N., Yoshida, H.: The infinite divisibility and orthogonal polynomials with a constant recursion formula in free probability theory. *Probab. Math. Statist.* 21, 159–170 (2001)
- [35] Schoutens, W.: *Stochastic Processes and Orthogonal Polynomials*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2000
- [36] Śniady, P.: Quadratic bosonic and free white noises. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 211, 615–628 (2000)
- [37] Speicher, R.: Free probability theory and non-crossing partitions. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* 39, Art. B39c, 38 pp. (1997) (electronic)