

**ON THE UNIQUENESS OF NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS OF
DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES WITH GRADIENT TERMS ON
RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS**

YUHUA SUN[‡]

ABSTRACT. We investigate the uniqueness of nonnegative solutions to the following differential inequality

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) + V(x)u^{\sigma_1}|\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \leq 0, \quad (1)$$

on a noncompact complete Riemannian manifold, where A, V are positive measurable functions, $m > 1$, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \geq 0$ are parameters such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > m - 1$.

Our purpose is to establish the uniqueness of nonnegative solution to (1) via very natural geometric assumption on volume growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

These years the uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of various differential inequalities and systems has attracted much attention [2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17], and these studies arise naturally in geometry and physics.

In this paper, our interest is to investigate the nonexistence of non-negative solution to the following differential inequality

$$\operatorname{div}(A(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) + V(x)u^{\sigma_1}|\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \leq 0, \quad \text{on } M, \quad (1.1)$$

on a geodesically complete noncompact connected Riemannian manifold M . Here div and ∇ are the Riemannian divergence and gradient respectively, A is non-negative measurable function, and V is a positive measurable function. $m > 1$, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \geq 0$ are parameters such that $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > m - 1$. When $A = 1$, $\Delta_m u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u)$ is also well known as m -Laplacian.

The purpose of this paper is to establish the uniqueness of nonnegative solution via very natural geometric assumption volume growth, without any curvature assumptions. The difficulty here is that how to decide the speed of the volume growth to guarantee the uniqueness of nonnegative solution.

Let us first give our setting on Riemannian manifolds: let M be a geodesically complete noncompact connected Riemannian manifold. Denote by μ the Riemannian measure on M and by $d(x, y)$ the geodesic distance between $x, y \in M$. Let $B(x, r)$ be the geodesic ball centered at $x \in M$ of radius r . Let x_0 be some reference point on M .

Let us introduce two parameters

$$p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \quad q = \frac{m - 1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \quad (1.2)$$

and another measure ν defined by

$$d\nu = A^{\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}} V^{-\frac{m-1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}} d\mu. \quad (1.3)$$

[‡]Supported by IGK of University Bielefeld.

Keywords and phrases. Riemannian manifolds, volume growth, differential inequalities.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J70; 58J05.

Throughout the paper, we say that A, V satisfies **(VA)** condition: if there exist a nonnegative pair (δ_1, δ_2) and two positive constants c_0, C_0 such that

$$c_0(1+r)^{-\delta_1} \leq \frac{V}{A} \leq C_0(1+r)^{\delta_2}, \quad \text{for large enough } r. \quad (\text{VA})$$

where $r := d(x, x_0)$.

Here are our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that **(VA)** holds with some $\delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0$. If for some $x_0 \in M$, the following inequality*

$$\nu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^p \ln^q r, \quad (1.4)$$

holds for all large enough r , then the only nonnegative solution of (1.1) is constant.

When $A = V = 1$, we have the following corollary

Corollary 1.2. *If for some $x_0 \in M$, the following inequality*

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^p \ln^q r, \quad (1.5)$$

holds for all large enough r , then the only nonnegative solution of (1.1) is constant.

For the first time the study of uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of semilinear equation began from Gidas and Spruck's work [5]. Later, the inequality

$$\Delta u + u^\sigma \leq 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1.6)$$

was considered by Ni and Serrin [18, 19], which is a particular case of (1.1). They proved that in \mathbb{R}^2 , (1.6) has no positive solution, while in \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 3$ (1.6) has no positive solution if and only if $\sigma \leq \frac{n}{n-2}$.

The first uniqueness result in the Riemannian manifold setting in terms of volume growth is due to Cheng and Yau's pioneering work [1]. They proved that if the volume of geodesic balls of a complete Riemannian manifold grows at most a quadratic polynomial, namely, if

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^2, \quad \text{for large enough } r, \quad (1.7)$$

then any positive superharmonic function on M is constant.

Grigor'yan relaxed the condition (1.7) to the integral form [8]: if

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r}{\mu(B(x_0, r))} dr = \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

then any superharmonic function on M is constant, or equivalently to say, M is parabolic.

Holopainen generalized the notion of parabolicity to m -parabolicity [10, 11], and obtained that if

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{r}{\mu(B(x_0, r))} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} dr = \infty, \quad (1.9)$$

then M is m -parabolic, or equivalently, any positive m -superharmonic function u ($\Delta_m u \leq 0$) is constant.

Very recently, in [9], Grigor'yan and the author reinvestigated (1.6) in the Riemannian manifold setting, they proved that under the following volume growth hypothesis

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq cr^{\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma-1}} \ln^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} r, \quad \text{for all large enough } r.$$

then any nonnegative solution to (1.6) is identical zero. Moreover, the exponents $\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma-1}$ and $\frac{1}{\sigma-1}$ cannot be relaxed. Their methods are based on the carefully chosen families of test functions.

In [20], the author investigated a more general semilinear inequalities, and obtained the uniqueness result in terms of volume growth. It is worth mentioning that two different proofs were given, relying on two different choices of families of test functions.

Let us recall some results of (1.1) in the Euclidean setting. Mitidieri and Pokhozhaev in [14] obtained that: when $A(x) = V(x) = 1$, in \mathbb{R}^n with $n > m > 1$. If

$$\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \frac{n-1}{n-m} \leq \frac{n(m-1)}{n-m}. \quad (1.10)$$

then (1.1) has no positive solutions except constants. By Corollary 1.2, we see that if for large enough r (1.5) holds, then the only nonnegative solution of (1.1) is constant. Note that in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\mu(B(0, r)) = cr^n,$$

so that the condition (1.5) is equivalent to

$$n \leq p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1},$$

which in turn is equivalent to (1.10). Therefore, the result of [14] is covered by Corollary 1.2.

For the case $V(x) = |x|^{-\gamma_2}$, $A(x) = |x|^{\gamma_1}$ for $|x| \geq 1$, the problem (1.1) in \mathbb{R}^n with $n > m > 1$ was studied by Filippucci [4], who proved that if

$$\begin{cases} 0 \leq \sigma_2 < m-1, & m-n < \gamma_1 < m-\gamma_2-\sigma_2, \\ m-1-\sigma_2 < \sigma_1 \leq \frac{(n-\gamma_2)(m-1)}{n-m+\gamma_1} - \sigma_2 \frac{n-1+\gamma_1}{n-m+\gamma_1}. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

then (1.1) has no positive solutions except constants. Let us compare the result of [4] with our Theorem 1.1. Using the measure ν in (1.3), we obtain in \mathbb{R}^n for large enough r

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(B(0, r)) &= \int_{B(0, r)} A^{\frac{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} V^{-\frac{m-1}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} d\mu \\ &= C \int_1^r \frac{\gamma_1(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}{r^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} \frac{(m-1)\gamma_2}{r^{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} r^{n-1} dr + C_1 \\ &\approx r^{\frac{\gamma_1(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+(m-1)\gamma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1} + n}, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the Lebesgue measure. The condition (1.4) is then equivalent to

$$\frac{\gamma_1(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + (m-1)\gamma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1} + n \leq p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \quad (1.12)$$

which in turn is equivalent to (1.11). Under (1.11), we obtain that (1.1) has no positive solutions except constants. Thus, our Theorem 1.1 covers the aforementioned results in \mathbb{R}^n .

Next we will explain in which sense the solutions of (1.1) are defined. Define

$$d\omega = Ad\mu.$$

Set

$$W_{loc}^{1,m}(M, \omega) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \in L_{loc}^m(M, \omega), \nabla f \in L_{loc}^m(M, \omega)\}, \quad (1.13)$$

where ∇f is understood in distributional sense. Denote by $W_c^{1,m}(M, \omega)$ the subspace of $W_{loc}^{1,m}(M, \omega)$ of functions with compact support.

Definition 1.1. A function u on M is called a weak solution of the inequality (1.1), if $u \geq 0$, $u \in W_{loc}^{1,m}(M, \omega)$, $V|\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \in L_{loc}^1(M, \mu)$, and for any nonnegative function $\psi \in W_c^{1,m}(M, \omega)$, the following inequality holds:

$$-\int_M A(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}(\nabla u, \nabla \psi)d\mu + \int_M V(x)u^{\sigma_1}|\nabla u|^{\sigma_2}\psi d\mu \leq 0, \quad (1.14)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product in $T_x M$ given by the Riemannian metric.

Remark. Using the definition of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_M A(x)|\nabla u|^{m-2}(\nabla u, \nabla \psi)d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \int_{supp(\psi)} A|\nabla u|^{m-1}|\nabla \psi|d\mu \\ & \leq \left(\int_{supp(\psi)} A|\nabla u|^m d\mu \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left(\int_{supp(\psi)} A|\nabla \psi|^m d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in W_{loc}^{1,m}(M, \omega)$ and $\psi \in W_c^{1,m}(M, \omega)$. Therefore, the first term in (1.14) is finite, which implies the finiteness of the second term, that is

$$\int_M V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \psi d\mu < \infty.$$

The structure of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, we show the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we show some interesting applications of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, the sharpness of p, q in Theorem 1.1 in some cases are showed.

NOTATION. The letters C, C_0, C_1, \dots denote positive constants whose values are unimportant and may vary at different occurrences.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1

Let u be a nonnegative weak solution to (1.1). x_0 is the reference point as before in Theorem 1.1. Denote $B_R := B(x_0, R)$, and fix a Lipschitz function φ on M with compact support, such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ and $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of B_R . In particular, we have $\varphi \in W_c^{1,m}(M, \omega)$. Take the following test function for (1.14):

$$\psi_\rho(x) = \varphi(x)^s (u(x) + \rho)^{-t}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the value of $\rho > 0$ is a parameter near zero, s is some fixed bigger enough constant, and t is variable and can be chosen arbitrarily close to 0. By Definition 1.1, we know that ψ_ρ has compact support and is locally bounded. Since

$$\nabla \psi_\rho = -t(u + \rho)^{-t-1} \varphi^s \nabla u + s(u + \rho)^{-t} \varphi^{s-1} \nabla \varphi,$$

we see that, $\nabla \psi_\rho \in L^m(M, \omega)$. It follows that, $\psi_\rho \in W_c^{1,m}(M, \omega)$. We obtain from (1.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & t \int_M \varphi^s A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t-1} |u|^m d\mu + \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq s \int_M \varphi^{s-1} A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{m-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & t \int_M \varphi^s A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu + \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq s \int_M \varphi^{s-1} A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{m-1} |\nabla \varphi| d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In what follows, we use the following Young's inequality

$$\int_M fg d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int_M |f|^{p_0} d\mu + C_\varepsilon \int_M |g|^{p'_0} d\mu, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. When t is small enough, (p_0, p'_0) is a Hölder conjugate couple such that

$$p_0 = \frac{m\sigma_1 - t(m - \sigma_2) + \sigma_2}{m\sigma_1 - \sigma_1 + t - t(m - \sigma_2)} > 1, \quad p'_0 = \frac{m\sigma_1 - t(m - \sigma_2) + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - t} > 1.$$

Applying (2.4) to the right-hand side integral of (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & s \int_M \varphi^{s-1} A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{m-1} |\nabla \varphi| d\mu \\ & = \int_M \left(t^{\frac{1}{p_0}} \varphi^{\frac{s}{p_0}} A(x)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} (u + \rho)^{-\frac{t+1}{p_0}} |\nabla u|^{\frac{m}{p_0}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\frac{s}{t^{\frac{1}{p_0}}} \varphi^{s-1-\frac{s}{p_0}} A(x)^{\frac{1}{p_0}} (u + \rho)^{-t+\frac{t+1}{p_0}} |\nabla u|^{m-1-\frac{m}{p_0}} |\nabla \varphi| \right) d\mu \\ & \leq \varepsilon t \int_M \varphi^s A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon \frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{\frac{p'_0}{p_0}}} \int_M \varphi^{p'_0(s-1)-\frac{sp'_0}{p_0}} A(x)(u + \rho)^{-p'_0 t + \frac{p'_0(t+1)}{p_0}} |\nabla u|^{(m-1)p'_0 - \frac{mp'_0}{p_0}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0} d\mu \\ & \leq \varepsilon t \int_M \varphi^s A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu \\ & \quad + C_\varepsilon \frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{\frac{p'_0}{p_0}-1}} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0} A(x)(u + \rho)^{p'_0-t-1} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_0} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, substituting the above into (2.3), and cancelling out the half of the first term in (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{2} \int_M \varphi^s A(x)(u + \rho)^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu + \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u + \rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq C \frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{\frac{p'_0}{p_0}-1}} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0} A(x)(u + \rho)^{p'_0-t-1} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_0} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0} d\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using (2.4) once more to the right-hand side of (2.5) with another Hölder conjugate couple (p_1, p'_1) satisfying

$$p_1 = \frac{\sigma_2}{m - p'_0} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - t}{m - t - 1}, \quad p'_1 = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_2 - m + p'_0} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - t}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1},$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{Cs^{p'_0}}{t^{p'_0-1}} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0} A(x) (u+\rho)^{p'_0-t-1} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_0} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0} d\mu \\
&= \int_M [\varphi^{\frac{s}{p'_1}} V(x)^{\frac{1}{p'_1}} (u+\rho)^{p'_0-t-1} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_0}] \cdot [\frac{Cs^{p'_0}}{t^{p'_0-1}} \varphi^{\frac{s}{p'_1}-p'_0} V(x)^{-\frac{1}{p'_1}} A(x) |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0}] d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) (u+\rho)^{(p'_0-t-1)p'_1} |\nabla u|^{(m-p'_0)p'_1} d\mu \\
&\quad + C_1 \left(\frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{p'_0-1}} \right)^{p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{-\frac{p'_1}{p'_1}} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) (u+\rho)^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\quad + C_1 \left(\frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{p'_0-1}} \right)^{p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu. \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.6) with (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{t}{2} \int_M \varphi^s A(x) (u+\rho)^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu + \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u+\rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) (u+\rho)^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\quad + C_1 t^{-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu, \tag{2.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where the term contains s is absorbed into constant C_1 .

We know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \varphi^s V(x) (u+\rho)^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu &\leq C \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u+\rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\quad + C \rho^{\sigma_1-t} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.3), and by definition of the solution, we know

$$\int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} (u+\rho)^{-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu,$$

is bounded, and noting that by definition of the solution $V|\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \in L^1_{loc}(M, \mu)$, we obtain

$$\int_M \varphi^s V(x) (u+\rho)^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu$$

is bounded.

Taking $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7), applying Monotone Convergence theorem to the left-hand side integrals, and Dominated Convergence theorem to the right-hand side integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{t}{2} \int_M \varphi^s A(x) u^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu + \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
&\quad + C_1 \left(\frac{s^{p'_0}}{t^{p'_0-1}} \right)^{p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{t}{2} \int_M \varphi^s A(x) u^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1-t} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq C_1 t^{-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Applying (1.14) once more with another test function $\psi = \varphi^s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq s \int_M \varphi^{s-1} A(x) |\nabla u|^{m-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) d\mu \\ & \leq s \int_M \varphi^{s-1} A(x) |\nabla u|^{m-1} |\nabla \varphi| d\mu \\ & = s \int_M [\varphi^{\frac{s}{p'_2}} A(x)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} u^{-\frac{t+1}{p'_2}} |\nabla u|^{\frac{m}{p'_2}}] \cdot [\varphi^{(s-1)-\frac{s}{p'_2}} A(x)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} u^{\frac{t+1}{p'_2}} |\nabla u|^{m-1-\frac{m}{p'_2}} |\nabla \varphi|] d\mu \\ & \leq s \left(\int_M \varphi^s A(x) u^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_M \varphi^{(s-1)p'_2-\frac{sp'_2}{p'_2}} A(x)^{\frac{(t+1)p'_2}{p'_2}} |\nabla u|^{(m-1)p'_2-\frac{mp'_2}{p'_2}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\ & = s \left(\int_M \varphi^s A(x) u^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_M \varphi^{s-p'_2} A(x) u^{(t+1)(p'_2-1)} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_2} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where we have used the following conjugate pair

$$p_2 = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}{m\sigma_1 - \sigma_1}, \quad p'_2 = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.8), we have

$$\int_M \varphi^s A(x) u^{-t-1} |\nabla u|^m d\mu \leq C t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu.$$

Substituting this into (2.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ & \leq C \left[t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p'_2}} \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_M \varphi^{s-p'_2} A(x) u^{(t+1)(p'_2-1)} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_2} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p'_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Noting that $\nabla \varphi = 0$ on B_R , and applying Hölder inequality to the last term of (2.11) with the following couple (p_3, p'_3)

$$p_3 = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}{(t+1)(m-1)}, \quad p'_3 = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1) - (t+1)(m-1)},$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}(t+1)(p'_2-1) &= \frac{(t+1)(m-1)}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2(t+1)}\sigma_1 = \frac{\sigma_1}{p_3}, \\ m-p'_2 &= \frac{(t+1)(m-1)}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2(t+1)}\sigma_2 = \frac{\sigma_2}{p_3}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_M \varphi^{s-p'_2} A(x) u^{(t+1)(p'_2-1)} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_2} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} d\mu \\ &= \int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\varphi^{\frac{s}{p_3}} V(x)^{\frac{1}{p_3}} u^{(t+1)(p'_2-1)} |\nabla u|^{m-p'_2} \right) \left(\varphi^{\frac{s}{p_3}-p'_2} V(x)^{-\frac{1}{p_3}} A(x) |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} \right) d\mu \\ &= \int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\varphi^{\frac{s}{p_3}} V(x)^{\frac{1}{p_3}} u^{\frac{\sigma_1}{p_3}} |\nabla u|^{\frac{\sigma_2}{p_3}} \right) \left(\varphi^{\frac{s}{p_3}-p'_2} V(x)^{-\frac{1}{p_3}} A(x) |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2} \right) d\mu \\ &\leq \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_3}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^{\frac{sp'_3}{p_3}-p'_2 p'_3} V(x)^{1-p'_3} A(x)^{p'_3} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2 p'_3} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_3}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Substituting (2.12) into (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ &\leq C \left[t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^{s-p'_0 p'_1} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^{\frac{sp'_3}{p_3}-p'_2 p'_3} V(x)^{1-p'_3} A(x)^{p'_3} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2 p'_3} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Choosing s large enough, and recalling that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, from (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\ &\leq C \left[t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_{M \setminus B_R} V(x)^{1-p'_1} A(x)^{p'_1} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\mu \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} V(x)^{1-p'_3} A(x)^{p'_3} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2 p'_3} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the new measure $d\nu = A^{\frac{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} V^{-\frac{m-1}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} d\mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_M V(x) \varphi^s u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \\
 \leq & C \left[t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^{\frac{t}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
 & \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^{-\frac{t\sigma_1(m-1)}{(\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1)[\sigma_1+\sigma_2(t+1)-(t+1)(m-1)]}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2 p'_3} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}} \\
 & \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} V(x) \varphi^s u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}}. \tag{2.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

We know $\int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu$ is finite in Introduction, it follows from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_M \varphi^s V(x) u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}} \\
 \leq & C \left[t^{-1-(p'_0-1)p'_1} \int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^{\frac{t}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_0 p'_1} d\nu \right]^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
 & \times \left(\int_{M \setminus B_R} \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^{-\frac{t\sigma_1(m-1)}{(\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1)[\sigma_1+\sigma_2(t+1)-(t+1)(m-1)]}} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'_2 p'_3} d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'_2 p'_3}}. \tag{2.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

We notice, that all integral terms in the right hand side of (2.15) have the form

$$\int_M |\nabla \varphi|^a \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^b d\nu,$$

with the following two pairs of (a, b) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= p'_0 p'_1 = \frac{m\sigma_1 - t(m - \sigma_2) + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \\
 b_1 &= \frac{t}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2 &= p'_2 p'_3 = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1)}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1) - (t+1)(m-1)}, \\
 b_2 &= -\frac{t\sigma_1(m-1)}{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1)[\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1) - (t+1)(m-1)]}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Besides, a could be written in the form

$$a = p + lt, \tag{2.18}$$

with the following two respective values of l

$$l_1 = \frac{\sigma_2 - m}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \quad l_2 = \frac{\sigma_1(m - \sigma_2)(m - 1)}{[\sigma_1 + \sigma_2(t+1) - (t+1)(m-1)](\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1)}. \tag{2.19}$$

where $p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}$ is defined as before in (1.2). Clearly, it is obvious that all the values of a and l are uniformly bounded, when t is small enough near zero.

Let $\{\tilde{\varphi}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying the following conditions: each $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ is a Lipschitz function such that $\text{supp}(\tilde{\varphi}_k) \subset B_{2^k}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_k = 1$ in a neighborhood of $B_{2^{k-1}}$, and

$$|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_k| \begin{cases} \leq \frac{C}{2^{k-1}}, & \text{for } x \in B_{2^k} \setminus B_{2^{k-1}}, \\ = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where C does not depend on k .

Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and set

$$t = \frac{1}{n}, \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\varphi_n = \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \tilde{\varphi}_k}{n}. \quad (2.22)$$

Note that $\varphi_n = 1$ on B_{2^n} , $\varphi_{2^{2n}} = 0$ outside $B_{2^{2n}}$, $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ on M . Note also that for any $a \geq 1$, using that $\text{supp}(\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_k)$ is disjoint with each other, we have

$$|\nabla \varphi_n|^a = \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_k|^a}{n^a}, \quad (2.23)$$

Besides, $\varphi_n \in W_{loc}^{1,m}(M, \omega)$.

Consider the integral

$$J_n(a, b) = \int_M |\nabla \varphi_n|^a \left(\frac{V}{A}\right)^b d\nu, \quad (2.24)$$

where a, b take values from (2.16) and (2.17).

Substituting (2.22) into (2.24), applying (2.23) and (2.20), when $b > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(a, b) &= \int_M |\nabla \varphi_n|^a \left(\frac{V}{A}\right)^b d\nu \\ &= C \int_M \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} |\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_k|^a}{n^a} \left(\frac{V}{A}\right)^b d\nu \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \int_{B_{2^k} \setminus B_{2^{k-1}}} \frac{|\nabla \tilde{\varphi}_k|^a}{n^a} (1+r)^{b\delta_2} d\nu \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \left(\frac{2^{1-k}}{n}\right)^a (2^k)^{b\delta_2} \nu(B_{2^k}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^a (2^k)^{b\delta_2} \nu(B_{2^k}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where we have used that a is uniformly bounded. Noting that $a = p + bt$, $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$, and substituting $t = \frac{1}{n}$, if $b > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^a (2^k)^{b\delta_2} &= \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^p \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^{lt} (2^k)^{b\delta_2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^p \sup_{n \leq k \leq 2n, t = \frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} (2^k)^{b\delta_2} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (1.4), recalling that by (1.2) $p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}$, $q = \frac{m-1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_n(a, b) &\leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^p \nu(B_{2^k}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \left(\frac{2^{-k}}{n}\right)^p (2^k)^p \ln^q(2^k) \\
&\leq C \frac{1}{n^p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} k^q \\
&\leq C n^{q+1-p} \\
&\leq C n^{-\frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Similarly, if $b < 0$, we also have

$$J_n(a, b) \leq C n^{-\frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}}. \tag{2.27}$$

Setting $\varphi = \varphi_n$ in (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_M \varphi_n^s V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_2 p_3}} \\
&\leq c t^{-\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{(p'_0 - 1)p'_1}{p_2}} (J_n(a_1, b_1))^{\frac{1}{p_2}} (J_n(a_2, b_2))^{\frac{1}{p_2 p_3}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where $(a_i, b_i)_{i=1,2}$ are defined in (2.16) and (2.17).

Substituting (2.26), (2.27) into (2.28), using $t = \frac{1}{n}$ as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_M \varphi_n^s V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_2 p_3}} \\
&\leq C n^{\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{(p'_0 - 1)p'_1}{p_2}} \left(n^{-\frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(n^{-\frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2 p_3}},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The exponents in the power of n in the right hand side of (2.29) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{(p'_0 - 1)p'_1}{p_2} - \frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{p_2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1)} - \frac{(m-1)\sigma_1}{p_2 p'_3 (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1)} = 0$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left(\int_M \varphi_n V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p_2 p_3}} \leq C < \infty. \tag{2.30}$$

Recalling that $\varphi_n = 1$ on B_{2^n} , and taking the limsup of both sides in (2.30) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_M V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \leq C < \infty. \tag{2.31}$$

The same argument can be used in (2.14), which implies

$$\int_M \varphi_n^s V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \leq C \left(\int_{M \setminus B_{2^n}} \varphi_n^s V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2 p_3}}, \tag{2.32}$$

Using that $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ and $\varphi_n|_{B_{2^n}} = 1$ once more, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{2^n}} V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \leq C \left(\int_{M \setminus B_{2^n}} V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2 p_3}}, \quad (2.33)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and using (2.31), we obtain

$$\int_M V u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} d\mu = 0,$$

which implies that $u \equiv \text{const}$.

Remark. One also could use the method developed in [20, 9].

3. APPLICATIONS

Theorem 1.1 could be applied to get the uniqueness of bounded nonnegative m -superharmonic function, namely, the uniqueness of the following problem

$$\Delta_m v \leq 0, \quad \text{on } M, \quad (3.1)$$

where M is the same as before, i.e. a geodesically complete noncompact connected Riemannian manifold.

Let $u = \ln(v + 1)$. Since v is nonnegative, hence, u is also nonnegative. Moreover, an easy calculation shows that u satisfies the following inequality

$$e^{(m-1)u} (\Delta_m u + (m-1)|\nabla u|^m) \leq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

which simplifies to

$$\Delta_m u + (m-1)|\nabla u|^m \leq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

By changing $u \rightarrow cu$, we can get rid of the factor $m-1$ in (3.3). By Theorem 1.1, we obtain that if

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^m \ln^{m-1} r, \quad (3.4)$$

then the only bounded nonnegative solution of (3.3) is constant, and hence the only bounded nonnegative solution of (3.1) is constant.

Compared to the result obtained by Holopainen in [10, 11]. Obviously, (3.4) implies (1.9). However, the function $r \mapsto r^m \ln^{m-1} r$ is right on the borderline of divergence of the integral in (1.9), so that the condition cannot be significantly improved.

Another application of Theorem 1.1 is to investigate the following inequality

$$\Delta_m u + |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla B \cdot \nabla u + u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \leq 0, \quad \text{on } M, \quad (3.5)$$

where B is a given measurable function on M , and ∇B does not have any singular point, σ_1, σ_2 are defined as in 1. One can rewrite (3.5) as the following

$$e^{-B} \operatorname{div}(e^B |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u) + u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \leq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{div}(e^B |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u) + e^B u^{\sigma_1} |\nabla u|^{\sigma_2} \leq 0.$$

Thus, applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1. If for some $x_0 \in M$, the following inequality

$$\nu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^p \ln^q r, \quad (3.6)$$

holds for all large enough r , where ν is defined by $d\nu = e^B d\mu$, p and q are defined by (1.2), then the only nonnegative solution of (3.5) is constant.

4. SHARPNESS OF p, q

In this section, we show the sharpness of parameters p and q in Theorem 1.1.

The sharpness of p is already known in \mathbb{R}^n with $n > m > 1$. The following example was given by Mitidieri and Pokhozhaev in [17]: If

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1 > \frac{(n-\gamma_2)(m-1)}{n-m+\gamma_1} - \sigma_2 \frac{n-1+\gamma_1}{n-m+\gamma_1}, \\ m-n < \gamma_1 < m-\gamma_2-\sigma_2, \\ \gamma_1(\sigma_1+\sigma_2) + \gamma_2(m-1) + n(\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1) > 0, \\ 0 \leq \sigma_2 < m-1. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

then the function

$$u(x) := \epsilon \left[1 + |x|^{\frac{m-\sigma_2-\gamma_1-\gamma_2}{m-1-\sigma_2}} \right]^{-\frac{m-1-\sigma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}}$$

is a solution to (1.1) with $A(x) = |x|^{\gamma_1}$, $V(x) = |x|^{-\gamma_2}$, where ϵ is a suitable small positive constant. Actually, using the measure ν of (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(B(o, r)) &= \int_{B(o, r)} A^{\frac{\sigma_1+\sigma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} V^{-\frac{m-1}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} d\mu \\ &= \int_{B(o, r)} |x|^{\frac{\gamma_1(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} |x|^{\frac{\gamma_2(m-1)}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}} d\mu \\ &\approx r^p, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $p = \frac{\gamma_1(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)+\gamma_2(m-1)}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1} + n$. From the assumption (4.1), we know it is equivalent to

$$p > \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1}, \quad (4.3)$$

One could let p be close to $\frac{m\sigma_1+\sigma_2}{\sigma_1+\sigma_2-m+1}$ from above, by carefully choosing γ_1, γ_2 .

In what follows we show the sharpness of q in case of $A = 1$, $V = m - 1$, $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = m$. Fix here

$$p = \frac{m\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1} = m,$$

and for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, choose

$$q = \frac{m-1}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m + 1} + \epsilon = m - 1 + \epsilon.$$

Recall that M is called m -parabolic, if any positive m -superharmonic function v on M is constant, namely $\Delta_m v \leq 0$. Holopainen proved in [10] that M is m -parabolic if and only if (1.9) holds, provided that the volume doubling condition and the Poincaré inequality hold. Thus, if

$$\mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq Cr^m \ln^{m-1+\epsilon} r, \quad \text{for large enough } r, \quad (4.4)$$

we know (1.9) does not hold any more. Thus, there exists a positive function v such that $\Delta_m v \leq 0$. Letting $u = \ln(v + 1)$, we know u is a positive solution of (3.3). Hence, the exponent $m - 1$ is sharp here.

Acknowledgements The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. Grigor'yan from Bielefeld University for many beneficial discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Y. Cheng, S.-T Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **28** (1975), 333-354.
- [2] G. Caristi, L. D'Ambrosio, and E. Mitidieri, Liouville Theorems for some nonlinear inequalities, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **260**, 90-111 (2008).

- [3] G. Caristi, E. Mitidieri, Some Liouville theorems for quasilinear elliptic inequalities, *Doklady Math.*, **79** (2009), 118-124.
- [4] R. Filippucci, Nonexistence of positive weak solutions of elliptic inequalities, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(2009), 2903-2916.
- [5] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34** (1981), 525-598.
- [6] A. Grigor'yan, Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1999), 135-249.
- [7] A. Grigor'yan, V. A. Kondratiev, On the existence of positive solutions of semi-linear elliptic inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *International Mathematical Series* **12**, (2010), 203-218.
- [8] A. Grigor'yan, On the existence of positive fundamental solution of the Laplace equation on Riemannian manifolds, *Math. USSR Sb.* **56** no.2 (1987)349-358, (Translated from Russian *Matem. Sbornik* **128**(1985), no.3, 354-363.
- [9] A. Grigor'yan, Y. Sun, On nonnegative of the inequality $\Delta u + u^\sigma \leq 0$ on Riemannian manifolds, to appear in *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* DOI: 10.1002/cpa.21493.
- [10] I. Holopainen, Volume growth, Green's functions, and parabolicity of ends, *Duke Math. J.* **97** (1999), no. 2, 319C346.
- [11] I. Holopainen, A sharp L^q -Liouville theorem for p -harmonic functions, *Israel J. Math.*, **115** (2000), 363-379.
- [12] A. A. Kon'kov, Comparison theorems for second-order elliptic inequalities, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **59**(2004), no. 4, 583-608.
- [13] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev, Absence of global positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic inequalities. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.*, **359** (1998), no. 4, 456-460.
- [14] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev, Nonexistence of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, Vol.**227**(1999), 186-216.
- [15] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev, Absence of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems in \mathbb{R}^N . (Russian) *Tran. Math. Inst. Steklova.*, **1999**, 192-222; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **227**, no. 4 (1999), 186-216.
- [16] E. Mitidieri, S. I. Pokhozhaev, A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, *Tr. Math. Inst. Steklova*, (in Russian) **234** (2001), 1-384. Engl. transl.: *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, **234** (2001) no.3, 1-362.
- [17] E. Mitidieri and S. I. Pokhozhaev, A priori estimates and blow-up of solutions to nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities (Nauka, Moscow, 2001), *Tr. Math. Inst. im., V. A. Steklova, Ross. Akad. Nauk* **234** [*Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **234** (2001)].
- [18] W. M. Ni, J. Serrin, Nonexistence theorems for quasilinear partial differential equations. Proceedings of the conference commemorating the 1st centennial of the Circolo Matematico di Palermo (Italian) (Palermo, 1984). *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) Suppl. No. **8** (1985), 171-185.
- [19] W. M. Ni, J. Serrin, Existence and nonexistence theorems for ground states of quasilinear partial differential equations: the anomalous case, *Accad. Naz. Lincei*, **77**(1986), 231-257.
- [20] Y. Sun, Uniqueness result for non-negative solutions of semi-linear inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **419**(2014), no. 1, 643-661.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY BIELEFELD, 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
E-mail address: ysun@math.uni-bielefeld.de