Stochastic Porous Media Equation on General Measure Spaces with Increasing Lipschitz Nonlinearities
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Abstract. We prove the existence and uniqueness of probabilistically strong solutions to stochastic porous media equations driven by time-dependent multiplicative noise on a general measure space \((E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)\), and the Laplacian replaced by a self-adjoint operator \(L\). In the case of Lipschitz nonlinearities \(\Psi\), we in particular generalize previous results for open \(E \subset \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(L=\text{Laplacian}\) to fractional Laplacians. We also generalize known results on general measure spaces, where we succeeded in dropping the transience assumption on \(L\), in extending the set of allowed initial data and in avoiding the restriction to superlinear behavior of \(\Psi\) at infinity for \(L^2(\mu)\)-initial data.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider stochastic porous media equations (SPMEs) of the following type:

\[
\begin{align*}
    dX(t) - L\Psi(X(t))dt &= B(t, X(t))dW(t), \text{ in } [0, T] \times E, \\
    X(0) &= x \text{ on } E \text{ (with } x \in F_{1,2}^* \text{ or } L^2(\mu)),
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

where \(L\) is the self-adjoint generator of a sub-Markovian strongly continuous contraction semigroup \((P_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on \(L^2(\mu) := L^2(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)\), and \((E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)\) is a \(\sigma\)-finite measure space. \(\Psi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is a monotonically nondecreasing Lipschitz continuous function, \(B\) is a progressively measurable process in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operator from \(L^2(\mu)\) to \(F_{1,2}^*\), \(W(t)\) is an \(L^2(\mu)\)-valued cylindrical \(\mathcal{F}_t\)-adapted Wiener process on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) with normal filtration \((\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}\). For the definition of the Hilbert space \(F_{1,2}^*\) and the precise conditions on \(B\) we refer to the next section.

In the special case when \(E = \mathbb{R}^d\), \(L\) is equal to the Laplace operator \(\Delta\) and \(B\) is time-independent linear multiplicative, equation (1.1) was recently analyzed in [3]. The aim of
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this paper is to prove analogous results as in [3] for the general case. The above framework is inspired by the work of Fukushima and Kaneko [5] (see also [7]).

The main motivation for this generality is that we would like to cover fractional powers of the Laplacian, i.e., \( L = -(-\Delta)^\alpha, \alpha \in (0,1) \), generalized Schrödinger operators, i.e., \( L = \Delta + 2\overline{\nabla} \rho \cdot \nabla \), and Laplacians on fractals (see Section 4 below).

Recently, there has been much work on stochastic versions of the porous media equations. Based on the variational approach and monotonicity assumptions on the coefficients, [15] presents a generalization of Krylov-Rozovskii’s result [10] on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to monotone stochastic differential equations, which applies to a large class of stochastic porous media equations. It should be said that in [15] (see also [16]), \( \Psi \) is assumed to be continuous such that \( r\Psi(r) \to \infty \) as \( r \to \infty \). In this paper we show that for Lipschitz continuous \( \Psi \) this condition can be dropped for initial data in \( L^2(\mu) \), extending the corresponding result from [3] to general operators \( L \) as above. We would also like to emphasize that in contrast to [15, 16], in this paper, we do not assume that \( L \) is the generator of a transient Dirichlet form on \( L^2(E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu) \). In our case we can drop the transience assumption. In particular, in contrast to [15] (and [16]), we do not need any restriction on \( d \) when \( E = \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( L = -(-\Delta)^\alpha, \alpha \in (0,1) \). For more references on stochastic porous media equations we refer to [2]. In addition, we work in the state space \( F_{1,2}^* \) which is larger than the state space \( \mathcal{F}_c^* \) considered in [15], hence we can allow more general initial conditions (as done in [16] under assumptions much stronger than transience).

Section 4 of [3] deals with the case where \( \Psi \) is a maximal monotone multivalued function with at most polynomial growth. However, due to the multiplier problem, the existence is obtained for \( d \geq 3 \) only. We plan to extend also this result to our more general equation (1.1). This will be the subject of our future work.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall some notions concerning sub-Markovian semi-groups and introduce a suitable Gelfand triple. Section 3 is devoted to verify the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to (1.1). Note that the Riesz isomorphism \( 1 - L \), through which we identify \( H := F_{1,2}^* \) and \( H^* := F_{1,2} \), plays an essential role in the proof. In Section 4, we will apply our results to a number of examples.

## 2 Preliminaries

First of all, let us recall some basic definitions and spaces which will be used throughout the paper (see [5, 6, 7]).

Let \((E, \mathcal{B}(E), \mu)\) be a \( \sigma \)-finite measure space. Let \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) be a strongly continuous sub-Markovian semigroup on \( L^2(\mu) \) with self-adjoint generator \((L, D(L))\).

The gamma-transform \( V_r(r > 0) \) of \( \{P_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) is defined by

\[
V_r = \Gamma \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{\frac{r}{2} - 1} e^{-s} P_s ds.
\]

In this paper, we consider the Hilbert space \( (F_{1,2}, \| \cdot \|_{F_{1,2}}) \) defined by

\[
F_{1,2} = V_1(L^2(\mu)), \text{ with norm } \|u\|_{F_{1,2}} = |f|_2 \text{ for } u = V_1f, f \in L^2(\mu),
\]

where the norm \( | \cdot |_2 \) is defined as \( |f|_2 = (\int_E |f|^2 d\mu)^{\frac{1}{2}} \). Clearly, \( F_{1,2} \subset L^2(\mu) \) continuously and densely. In particular,

\[
V_1 = (1 - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ so that } \|u\|_{F_{1,2}} = |V_1^{-1}u|_2 = |(1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u|_2.
\]
The dual space of $F_{1,2}$ is denoted by $F_{1,2}^*$.  

In the following, we concentrate on finding a suitable Gelfand triple $V \subset H \equiv H^* \subset V^*$ with $H := F_{1,2}^*$. Let $F_{1,2} (\cdot, \cdot)_{F_{1,2}}$ denote the duality between $F_{1,2}$ and $F_{1,2}^*$, define $(1 - L) : F_{1,2} \rightarrow F_{1,2}^*$ as follows, given $u \in F_{1,2}$,

$$
F_{1,2} (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} := \int_E (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u \cdot (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}v \, d\mu \quad \text{for all } v \in F_{1,2}. 
$$

(2.1)

To show that $(1 - L) : F_{1,2} \rightarrow F_{1,2}^*$ is well-defined, we have to prove that the right-hand side of (2.1) defines a linear continuous function on $v \in F_{1,2}$ with respect to $\| \cdot \|_{F_{1,2}}$. But for $u \in F_{1,2}$, we have for all $v \in F_{1,2}$,

$$
\left| F_{1,2} (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} \right| = \left| \int_E (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u \cdot (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}v \, d\mu \right|
$$

$$
= \left| (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u, (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}v \right|_2
$$

$$
\leq \| (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u \|_2 \cdot \| (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}v \|_2
$$

$$
= \| u \|_{F_{1,2}} \cdot \| v \|_{F_{1,2}}.
$$

This implies

$$
\| (1 - L)u \|_{F_{1,2}} \leq \| u \|_{F_{1,2}}.
$$

Now we would like to identify $F_{1,2}^*$ with its dual $F_{1,2}$ via the corresponding Riesz isomorphism $R : F_{1,2}^* \rightarrow F_{1,2}$ defined by $R \varepsilon = \langle x, \cdot \rangle_{F_{1,2}}$, $x \in F_{1,2}^*$.

Lemma 2.1 The map $(1 - L) : F_{1,2} \rightarrow F_{1,2}^*$ is an isometric isomorphism. In particular,

$$
\langle (1 - L)u, (1 - L)v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \langle u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} \quad \text{for all } u, v \in F_{1,2}. 
$$

(2.2)

Furthermore, $(1 - L)^{-1} : F_{1,2}^* \rightarrow F_{1,2}$ is the Riesz isomorphism for $F_{1,2}^*$, i.e., for every $u \in F_{1,2}$,

$$
\langle u, \cdot \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = (1 - L)^{-1}u, \cdot \rangle_{F_{1,2}}.
$$

(2.3)

Proof For all $u, v \in F_{1,2}$, by (2.1) we know

$$
F_{1,2} (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \langle (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}u, (1 - L)^{\frac{1}{2}}v \rangle_2 = \langle u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}},
$$

i.e., $(1 - L) : F_{1,2} \rightarrow F_{1,2}^*$ is the Riesz isomorphism for $F_{1,2}$.

In particular, for all $u, v \in F_{1,2}$, since the Riesz isomorphism is isometric,

$$
\langle (1 - L)u, (1 - L)v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \langle u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}}.
$$

(2.4)

Furthermore, for all $u, v \in F_{1,2}^*$,

$$
\langle u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \langle (1 - L)^{-1}u, (1 - L)^{-1}v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \langle (1 - L)^{-1}u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}}.
$$

In this sense, we identify $F_{1,2}^*$ with $F_{1,2}$ via the Riesz map $(1 - L)^{-1} : F_{1,2}^* \rightarrow F_{1,2}$, thus $F_{1,2}^* \equiv F_{1,2}$. Note that $L^2(\mu)$ can be considered as a subset of $F_{1,2}^*$, since for $u \in L^2(\mu)$, the map

$$
v \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_2, \quad v \in F_{1,2};
$$
belongs to $F^*_1$. Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denotes the usual inner product on $L^2(\mu)$. Obviously, in this sense $L^2(\mu) \subset F^*_1$ continuously and densely. Consequently, we get a Gelfand triple with $V := L^2(\mu), H := F^*_1$, 

$$V = L^2(\mu) \subset F^*_1 \subset (L^2(\mu))^*,$$

which satisfies

$$V^* \langle u, v \rangle_V = \langle u, v \rangle_H, \text{ for all } u \in H, v \in V. \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.2** The map 

$$1 - L : F^*_1 \rightarrow F^*_1$$

extends to a linear isometry 

$$1 - L : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow (L^2(\mu))^*,$$

and for all $u, v \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$(L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \int_E u \cdot v \, d\mu. \quad (2.6)$$

**Proof** Let $u \in F^*_1$. Since $(1 - L)u \in F^*_1$, from (2.3) and (2.5) we obtain that for all $v \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$(L^2(\mu))^* \langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{F^*_1} = \langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_{F^*_1} = \langle u, v \rangle_2, \quad (2.7)$$

the last equality holds since $F^*_1 \subset L^2(\mu) \subset F^*_1$ densely and continuously. Therefore,

$$|\langle (1 - L)u \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}| \leq |u|_2.$$ 

In this sense, $1 - L$ extends to a continuous linear map

$$1 - L : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow (L^2(\mu))^*$$

such that (2.7) holds for all $u \in L^2(\mu)$, i.e., (2.6) is proved.

So, applying it to $u \in L^2(\mu)$ and 

$$v := |u|_2^{-1}u \in L^2(\mu),$$

by (2.7) we obtain that

$$V^* \langle (1 - L)u, v \rangle_V = \langle u, v \rangle_2 = \langle u, |u|_2^{-1}u \rangle_2 = |u|_2,$$

and $|v|_2 = 1$, so $|\langle (1 - L)u \rangle_V| = |u|_V$ and the assertion is completely proved. \hfill \Box

Throughout the paper, let $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu))$ denote the space of all $L^2(\mu)$-valued square-integrable functions on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, and $C([0, T]; F^*_1)$ the space of all continuous $F^*_1$-valued functions on $[0, T]$. For two Hilbert spaces $H_1$ and $H_2$, the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $H_1$ to $H_2$ is denoted by $L_2(H_1, H_2)$. For simplicity, the positive constants $c$, $C_1$, $C_2$ and $C_3$ used in this paper may change from line to line. We would like to refer [2] for more background information and results on SPMEs.
3 The Main Result

Consider (1.1) under the following conditions:

(H1) $\Psi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonically nondecreasing Lipschitz function with $\Psi(0) = 0$.

(H2) For every $t > 0$, $B : [0, T] \times L^2(\mu) \times \Omega \rightarrow L_2(L^2(\mu), F_{t, 2}^*)$ is progressively measurable such that

(i) there exists $C_1 \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying

\[ \|B(\cdot, u) - B(\cdot, v)\|_{L_2(L^2(\mu), F_{t, 2}^*)}^2 \leq C_1 \|u - v\|_{F_{t, 2}^*}^2 \quad \text{for all } u, v \in L^2(\mu) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \Omega; \]

(ii) there exists $C_2 \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

\[ \|B(\cdot, u)\|_{L_2(L^2(\mu), F_{t, 2}^*)}^2 \leq C_2 \|u\|_{F_{t, 2}^*}^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in L^2(\mu) \text{ on } [0, T] \times \Omega. \]

Definition 3.1 Let $x \in F_{1, 2}^*$. A continuous $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-adapted process $X : [0, T] \rightarrow F_{1, 2}^*$ is called strong solution to (1.1) if the following conditions are satisfied:

\[ X \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; F_{1, 2}^*)), \]

\[ \int_0^t \Psi(X(s))ds \in C([0, T]; F_{1, 2}^*), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \]

\[ X(t) - L \int_0^t \Psi(X(s))ds = x + \int_0^t B(s, X(s))dW(s), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}. \]

Theorem 3.1 Suppose (H1) and (H2) are satisfied. Then, for each $x \in L^2(\mu)$, there is a unique strong solution $X$ to (1.1) and exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying

\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X(t)|_2^2 \right] \leq 2|x|_2^2 e^{CT}. \]

Assume further that

\[ \Psi(r)r \geq cr^2, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \]

where $c \in (0, \infty)$. Then, there is a unique strong solution $X$ to (1.1) for all $x \in F_{1, 2}^*$.

For the proof of the above theorem, we firstly consider the approximating equations for (1.1):

\[ \begin{cases}
 dX^\nu(t) + (\nu - L)\Psi(X^\nu(t))dt = B(t, X^\nu(t))dW(t), \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times E, \\
 X^\nu(0) = x \quad \text{on } E,
\end{cases} \]

where $\nu \in (0, 1)$. And we have the following results for (3.5).

Lemma 3.1 Suppose (H1) and (H2) are satisfied. Then, for each $x \in L^2(\mu)$, there is a unique $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$-adapted solution to (3.5), denoted by $X^\nu$, i.e., in particular it has the following properties,

\[ X^\nu \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; F_{1, 2}^*)), \]

\[ X^\nu(t) + (\nu - L) \int_0^t \Psi(X^\nu(s))ds = x + \int_0^t B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \mathbb{P}-a.s.. \]

Furthermore, there exists $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\nu \in (0, 1)$,

\[ \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X^\nu(t)|_2^2 \right] \leq 2|x|_2^2 e^{CT}. \]

In addition, if (3.4) is satisfied, there is a unique solution $X^\nu$ to (3.5) satisfying (3.6) and (3.7) for all $x \in F_{1, 2}^*$. 

Proof. We proceed it in two steps.

Step 1: Assume $x \in F^*_{1,2}$ and that (3.4) is satisfied. Set $V := L^2(\mu)$, $H := F^*_{1,2}$, $Au := (L - \nu)\Psi(u)$ for $u \in V$. The space $F^*_{1,2}$ is equipped with the equivalent norm

$$\|\eta\|_{F^*_{1,2}} := \langle \eta, (\nu - L)^{-1}\eta \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \eta \in F^*_{1,2}.$$

Under the Gelfand triple $V \subset H \subset V^*$, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (3.5) by using [12, Theorem 4.2.4] (or [14, Section 4.2]).

In the following, we shall verify the four conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem in [12, 14].

(i) (Hemicontinuity) Let $u, v, w \in V = L^2(\mu)$. We have to show for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\lambda| \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} v^*\langle A(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_V - v^*\langle Au, w \rangle_V = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2

$$v^*\langle A(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_V = v^*\langle (L - \nu)\Psi(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_V$$

$$= -v^*\langle (1 - L)\Psi(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_V + (1 - \nu)v^*\langle (1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_V$$

$$= -\langle \Psi(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_2 + (1 - \nu)(1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u + \lambda v), w \rangle_2$$

$$= -\int_E \Psi(u + \lambda v) \cdot wd\mu + (1 - \nu)\int_E (1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u + \lambda v) \cdot wd\mu.$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of $\Psi$ and denoting $k := Lip\Psi$, the first integrand in the right-hand side of the above equality is bounded by

$$|\Psi(u + \lambda v)| \cdot |w| \leq k(|u| + |v|) \cdot |w|,$$

which by Hölder’s inequality is in $L^1(\mu)$. Since $(1 - L)^{-1}$ is a contraction, in order to prove the convergence of $(1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u + \lambda v) \cdot w$ in $L^1(\mu)$, it is sufficient to show the convergence of $\Psi(u + \lambda v)$ in $L^2(\mu)$, which is obvious because $\Psi$ is Lipschitz and

$$|\Psi(u + \lambda v)| \leq k(|u| + |v|).$$

(ii) (Weak Monotonicity) Let $u, v \in V = L^2(\mu)$, then by Lemma 2.2 and (2.5)

$$2v^*\langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle_V + \|B(\cdot, u) - B(\cdot, v)\|_{L^2(\mu,F^*_{1,2})}^2$$

$$= 2v^*\langle (L - \nu)(\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)), u - v \rangle_V + \|B(\cdot, u) - B(\cdot, v)\|_{L^2(\mu,F^*_{1,2})}^2$$

$$= -2v^*\langle (1 - L)(\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)), u - v \rangle_V$$

$$+ 2(1 - \nu)v^*\langle \Psi(u) - \Psi(v), u - v \rangle_V + \|B(\cdot, u) - B(\cdot, v)\|_{L^2(\mu,F^*_{1,2})}^2$$

$$= -2\langle (\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)), u - v \rangle_2$$

$$+ 2(1 - \nu)\langle \Psi(u) - \Psi(v), u - v \rangle_{F^*_{1,2}} + \|B(\cdot, u) - B(\cdot, v)\|_{L^2(\mu,F^*_{1,2})}^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

Set $\hat{\alpha} := (Lip\Psi + 1)^{-1}$. By assumption (H1) on $\Psi$, we know that

$$\langle \Psi(r) - \Psi(r'), (r - r') \rangle \geq \hat{\alpha}|\Psi(r) - \Psi(r')|^2, \quad \forall r, r' \in \mathbb{R}. \hspace{1cm} (3.10)$$
Since \( L^2(\mu) \subset F^*_1 \) continuously, by Young’s inequality

\[
\langle \Psi(u) - \Psi(v), u - v \rangle_{F^*_1} \\
\leq \|\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)\|_{F^*_1} \cdot \|u - v\|_{F^*_1} \\
\leq \|\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)\|_2 \cdot \|u - v\|_{F^*_1} \\
\leq \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{1 - \nu} \|\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)\|_2^2 + \frac{1 - \nu}{\hat{\alpha}} \|u - v\|_{F^*_1}^2.
\]

(3.11)

By (H2) (ii), and taking (3.10), (3.11) into account, (3.9) is dominated by

\[
-2\hat{\alpha} |\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)|_2^2 + 2\hat{\alpha} |\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)|_2^2 + \frac{2(1 - \nu)^2}{\hat{\alpha}} \|u - v\|_{F^*_1}^2 + C_1 \|u - v\|_{F^*_1}^2
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{2(1 - \nu)^2}{\hat{\alpha}} + C_1 \right] \|u - v\|_{F^*_1}^2.
\]

Hence weak monotonicity holds.

(iii) (Coercivity)

Let \( u \in L^2(\mu) \). By Lemma 2.2 and (2.5)

\[
2_{\nu^*} \langle A\nu, u \rangle_\nu + \|B(\nu, u)\|_{L^2(L^2(\mu), F^*_1)}^2
= -2_{\nu^*} \langle (1 - L)\Psi(u), u \rangle_\nu + 2(1 - \nu)_{\nu^*} \langle \Psi(u), u \rangle_\nu + \|B(\nu, u)\|_{L^2(L^2(\mu), F^*_1)}^2
= -2\langle \Psi(u), u \rangle_2 + 2(1 - \nu)\langle \Psi(u), u \rangle_{F^*_1} + \|B(\nu, u)\|_{L^2(L^2(\mu), F^*_1)}^2.
\]

(3.12)

By (3.4)

\[
-2\langle \Psi(u), u \rangle_2 = -2\int_E \Psi(u) \cdot u d\mu \leq -2c|u|^2_2.
\]

(3.13)

Since \( L^2(\mu) \subset F^*_1 \) continuously, by Young’s inequality for \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \)

\[
\langle \Psi(u), u \rangle_{F^*_1} \leq \|\Psi(u)\|_{F^*_1} \cdot \|u\|_{F^*_1} \\
\leq \|\Psi(u)\|_2 \cdot \|u\|_{F^*_1} \\
\leq \varepsilon^2 k^2 |u|^2_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|u\|_{F^*_1}^2.
\]

(3.14)

By (H2) (ii), and taking (3.13) and (3.14) into account, (3.12) is dominated by

\[
\left[ -2c + 2\varepsilon^2 k^2 (1 - \nu) \right] \cdot |u|^2_2 + \left[ \frac{2(1 - \nu)}{\varepsilon^2} + C_2 \right] \cdot \|u\|_{F^*_1}^2.
\]

Choosing \( \varepsilon \) small enough, \( -2c + 2\varepsilon^2 k^2 (1 - \nu) \) becomes negative, which implies the coercivity.

(iv) (Boundedness)

Let \( u \in L^2(\mu) \). Since

\[
|Au|_{\nu^*} = |(L - \nu)\Psi(u)|_{\nu^*} = \sup_{|v|^2_2 = 1} \nu^* \langle (L - \nu)\Psi(u), v \rangle_\nu,
\]

by Lemma 2.2 and since \( (1 - L)^{-1} \) is a contraction, we deduce

\[
\nu^* \langle (L - \nu)\Psi(u), v \rangle_\nu
= -\nu^* \langle (1 - L)\Psi(u), v \rangle_\nu + (1 - \nu)\nu^* \langle (1 - L)(1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u), v \rangle_\nu
= -\langle \Psi(u), v \rangle_2 + (1 - \nu)\langle (1 - L)(1 - L)^{-1}\Psi(u), v \rangle_2
\]

\[
\leq |\Psi(u)|_2 \cdot |v|^2_2 + (1 - \nu)|\Psi(u)|_2 \cdot |v|^2_2.
\]
Claim 3.1

From now on, we assume the initial value $X$ satisfies (iii) not in general. In this case, we will approximate $\Psi$ by $\Psi + \alpha > \nu$. For equation, we get

$$|Au|_{\nu} \leq 2|\Psi(u)|_2 \leq 2k|u|_2.$$ 

Hence the boundedness holds.

By [12, Theorem 4.2.4], there exists a unique solution to (3.5), denoted by $X^\nu$, which takes values in $F^*_{1,2}$ and satisfies (3.6) and (3.7).

Step 2: If $\Psi$ does not satisfy (3.4) and $x \in L^2(\mu)$, the above (i), (ii) and (iv) still hold, but (iii) not in general. In this case, we will approximate $\Psi$ by $\Psi + \lambda I$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Consider the approximating equation:

$$\begin{cases}
X^\nu(t) + (\nu - L)(\Psi(X^\nu(t)) + \lambda X^\nu(t))dt = B(t, X^\nu(t))dW(t), & \text{in } [0, T] \times E, \\
X^\nu(0) = x \in F^*_{1,2} & \text{on } E.
\end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

By [12, Theorem 4.2.4], it is easy to prove that there exists a solution $X^\nu$ to (3.15) which satisfies $X^\nu \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)) \cap L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; F^*_{1,2}))$,

$$X^\nu(t) + (\nu - L)\int_0^t \Psi(X^\nu(s)) + \lambda X^\nu(s)ds = x + \int_0^t B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s), \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X^\nu(t)\|^2_{F^*_{1,2}}\right] < \infty. \quad (3.16)$$

In the following, we want to prove that $X^\nu$ converges to the solutions of (3.5) as $\lambda \to 0$. From now on, we assume the initial value $x \in L^2(\mu)$.

Claim 3.1

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X^\nu(t)|^2_{L^2}\right] + 4\nu\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X^\nu(s)\|^2_{F^*_{1,2}} ds \leq 2|x|^2_{L^2} e^{CT}, \quad \text{for all } \nu, \lambda \in (0, 1),$$

and $X^\nu$ has continuous sample path in $L^2(\mu)$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s..

Proof

Rewrite (3.15), for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$X^\nu(t) = x + \int_0^t (L - \nu)(\Psi(X^\nu(s)) + \lambda X^\nu(s))ds + \int_0^t B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s). \quad (3.17)$$

For $\alpha > \nu$, applying the operator $(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} : F^*_{1,2} \to L^2(\mu)$ to both sides of the above equation, we get

$$(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}X^\nu(t)$$

$$= (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \int_0^t (L - \nu)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi(X^\nu(s)) + \lambda X^\nu(s))ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s).$$

\[\Box\]
Applying Itô’s formula ([12, Theorem 4.2.5]) with $H = L^2(\mu)$, we obtain, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$
| (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu_x(t) |^2
\]

$$
= | (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x |^2 + 2 \int_0^t (L - \nu) (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} \Psi(X^\nu_x(s)), (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} X^\nu_x(s) \rangle_{F_1, 2} ds
+ 2 \lambda \int_0^t (L - \nu) (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} X^\nu_x(s), (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} X^\nu_x(s) \rangle_{F_1, 2} ds
+ \int_0^t \| (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} B(s, X^\nu_x(s)) \|_{L^2(F_1, 2; L^2(\mu))}^2 ds
+ 2 \int_0^t \langle (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} X^\nu_x(s), (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} B(s, X^\nu_x(s)) dW(s) \rangle_{2}.
\tag{3.18}
$$

Set $P := (\alpha - \nu)(\alpha - L)^{-1}$. For $f \in L^2(\mu)$, we have

$$(P - I)f = [(\alpha - L)^{-1/2}(\alpha - \nu)(\alpha - L)^{-1/2} - (\alpha - L)^{-1/2}(\alpha - L)(\alpha - L)^{-1/2}]f
= [(\alpha - L)^{-1/2}(L - \nu)(\alpha - L)^{-1/2}]f.$$

Let $g_\alpha$ denote the Green function of $\alpha - L$. For $f \in L^2(\mu)$, we have

$$Pf = (\alpha - \nu) \int_E f(x) g_\alpha(\cdot, x) d\mu.$$

Applying [16, Lemma 5.1] with $f := X^\nu_x(s)$ and $g := \Psi(X^\nu_x(s))$, one obtains

$$2 \int_0^t (L - \nu) (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} \Psi(X^\nu_x(s)), (\alpha - L)^{-1/2} X^\nu_x(s) \rangle_{F_1, 2} ds
= 2 \int_0^t \langle X^\nu_x(s), (P - I) X^\nu_x(s) \rangle_{2} ds
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_E \int_E \left[ \Psi(f(\xi)) - \Psi(f(\xi)) \right] [f(\xi) - f(\xi)] g_\alpha(\xi, \tilde{\xi}) d\tilde{\xi} d\xi
- \int_E (1 - P1(\xi)) f(\xi) \cdot \Psi(f(\xi)) d\xi.$$

Since $\Psi$ is monotone, $\Psi(0) = 0$ and $P1 \leq 1$, we have

$$2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^\nu_x(s)), (P - I) X^\nu_x(s) \rangle_{2} ds \leq 0. \tag{3.19}$$

For the second integral on the right hand side of (3.18), since $(1 - L)^{-1}$ is a contraction, one
Using the BDG inequality, we obtain

\[
2\lambda \int_0^t \langle (L - \nu)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
= -2\lambda \int_0^t \langle (1 - L)(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
+ (1 - \nu)2\lambda \int_0^t \langle (1 - L)(1 - L)^{-1}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
= -2\lambda \int_0^t \| (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
+ (1 - \nu)2\lambda \int_0^t \langle (1 - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s), (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
\leq -2\lambda \int_0^t \| (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds + (1 - \nu)2\lambda \int_0^t \| (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
= -2\lambda \nu \int_0^t \| (\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds.
\]

Multiplying both sides of (3.18) by \( \alpha \), (3.19) and (3.20) yield that, for all \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 + 2\lambda \nu \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 + \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B(s, X^\nu(s)) \right|^2_{L^2(F_{1,2}, L^2(\mu))} ds
\]

\[
+ 2\int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B(s, X^\nu(s)) \right|^2_{L^2(F_{1,2}, L^2(\mu))} ds
\]

\[
\leq C_2 \int_0^t \| X(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds.
\]

Using the BDG inequality, we obtain

\[
E \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 \right] + 2\lambda \nu E \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
\leq \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 + C_2 E \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\]

\[
+ 6E \left[ \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 \cdot \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B(s, X^\nu(s)) \right|^2_{L^2(F_{1,2}, L^2(\mu))} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

The last term of the right hand side of the above inequality can be estimated by

\[
6E \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 \cdot \int_0^t \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B(s, X^\nu(s)) \right|^2_{L^2(F_{1,2}, L^2(\mu))} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2} E \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \left| \sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu(s) \right|^2_2 \right] + CE \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) \|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds.
\]
Since $L^2(\mu)$ is continuously embedded into $F_{1,2}^t$, by (3.21)-(3.23), we obtain that, for $t \in [0, T]$,
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 \right] + 2\lambda \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds
\leq |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x|^2 + C_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 ds
\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} X^\nu_\lambda(t)|^2 \right] + C_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 ds. \tag{3.24}
\]
Note that the first summand of the left hand side of the above inequality is finite by (3.16), since $|\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |_2$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{F^t_{1,2}}$. (3.24) shows that
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 \right] + 4\lambda \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds \leq 2|x|^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 ds. \tag{3.25}
\]
Note that the left hand side of (3.25) is an increasing function with respect to $\alpha$ and $\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha - L)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a contraction operator on $L^2(\mu)$. Letting $\alpha \to \infty$, the monotone convergence theorem implies
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X^\nu_\lambda(s)|^2 \right] + 4\lambda \nu \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2_{F_{1,2}} ds \leq 2 |x|^2 e^{CT}.
\]
Furthermore, the continuity of $X^\nu_\lambda$ on $L^2(\mu)$ follows from [9, Theorem 2.1]. \qed

**Claim 3.2** \{X^\nu_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in (0,1)} converges to an element $X^\nu \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu))$ as $\lambda \to 0$.

**Proof** By Itô’s formula we get that, for $\lambda, \lambda' \in (0,1)$ and $t \in [0, T]$,
\[
\|X^\nu_\lambda(t) - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(t)\|_{F^t_{1,\nu}}^2
\leq 2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^\nu_\lambda(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s)) + \lambda X^\nu_\lambda(s) - \lambda' X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s), X^\nu_\lambda(s) - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s) \rangle ds
= \int_0^t \|B(s, X^\nu_\lambda(s)) - B(s, X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s))\|_{L^2(L^2(\mu),F^t_{1,\nu})}^2 ds
\geq 2\lambda \int_0^t \|X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2 - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s))\|_{F^t_{1,\nu}}^2 ds
\geq 2\lambda \int_0^t \|X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2 - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s))\|_{F^t_{1,\nu}}^2 ds
\]
(3.10) implies that for the second term on the left hand side in (3.26) we have
\[
2 \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^\nu_\lambda(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s)) + \lambda X^\nu_\lambda(s) - \lambda' X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s), X^\nu_\lambda(s) - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s) \rangle ds
\geq 2\lambda \int_0^t \|X^\nu_\lambda(s)\|^2 - X^\nu_{\lambda'}(s))\|_{F^t_{1,\nu}}^2 ds
\]
(3.27)
The assumption (H2)(i) yields
\[ \int_0^t \| B(s, X^\nu(s)) - B(s, X^\nu(s)) \|_{L^2(\mu, F_{t,2,\nu})}^2 ds \leq C_1 \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2. \tag{3.28} \]

Using the BDG inequality and Young’s inequality, for \( t \in [0, T] \), (3.26)-(3.28) imply
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 \right] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| \Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu(s)) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 ds \\
\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 ds \\
- 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle \lambda X^\nu(s) - \lambda^\prime X^\nu(s), X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \rangle_2 ds \\
+ 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 \cdot \| B(s, X^\nu(s)) - B(s, X^\nu(s)) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 \right]^\frac{1}{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 \right] + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 ds \\
+ 4(\lambda + \lambda^\prime) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left( \| X^\nu(s) \|_2^2 + \| X^\nu(s) \|_2^2 \right) ds. \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( x \in L^2(\mu) \), Grouwall’s lemma and Claim 3.1 imply for some constant \( C \in (0, \infty) \) independent of \( \lambda, \lambda^\prime \) (and \( \nu \)),
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| X^\nu(s) - X^\nu(s) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \| \Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu(s)) \|_{F_{t,2,\nu}}^2 ds \leq C(\lambda + \lambda^\prime). \tag{3.30}
\]

(3.30) implies that there exists an \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-adapted continuous \( F_{1,2} \)-valued process \( \{ X^\nu(t) \}_{t \in [0,T]} \) such that \( X^\nu \in L^2(\Omega; C([0,T], F_{1,2})) \). This together with Claim 3.1 implies that \( X^\nu \in L^2([0,T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)). \)

\[ \square \]

Claim 3.3 \( X^\nu \) satisfies (3.7).

Proof From Claim 3.2, we know that
\[
X^\nu \to X^\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\bullet B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s) \to \int_0^\bullet B(s, X^\nu(s))dW(s), \quad \lambda \to 0 \tag{3.31}
\]
in \( L^2(\Omega; C([0,T], F_{1,2})). \) (3.17), (3.31) yield that
\[
\int_0^\bullet (\Psi(X^\nu(s) + \lambda X^\nu(t)))ds, \quad \lambda > 0,
\]
converge to some element in \( L^2(\Omega; C([0,T], F_{1,2})). \) as \( \lambda \to 0. \) In addition, by Claim 3.1, we have that, as \( \lambda \to 0, \)
\[
\int_0^\bullet (\Psi(X^\nu(s) + \lambda X^\nu(s))ds \to \int_0^\bullet \Psi(X^\nu(s))ds
\]
in \( L^2(\Omega; L^2([0,T]; L^2(\mu))). \) This and (3.31) imply the claim. \[ \square \]

By lower semi-continuity, (3.8) follows immediately from Claim 3.1. Hence the proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. \[ \square \]
Based on Lemma 3.1, we shall now give the proof of our main result Theorem 3.1. The idea is to prove that \( \{X^\nu\}_{\nu \in (0,1)} \) converges to the solution of (1.1) as \( \nu \to 0 \). The method that we use here is similar to that in Lemma 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1**

First, we rewrite (3.5) as

\[
dX^\nu(t) + (1 - L)\Psi(X^\nu(t))dt = (1 - \nu)\Psi(X^\nu(t))dt + B(t, X^\nu(t))dW(t).
\]

For the function \( \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_F^2 \) with \( x \in F_{1,2}^* \), Itô’s formula yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}E\|X^\nu(t)\|_F^2 + \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^\nu(s)), X^\nu(s) \rangle ds & = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_F^2 + (1 - \nu)E \int_0^t \langle \Psi(X^\nu(s)), X^\nu(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2}E \int_0^t \|B(s, X^\nu(s))\|_F^2_{2(L^2(\mu), F_{1,2}^*)} ds.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.32)

The condition (H1) implies

\[
\Psi(r)r \geq \tilde{\alpha} \cdot |\Psi(r)|^2, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

(3.33)

By (3.32) and (3.33), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}E\|X^\nu(t)\|_F^2 + \tilde{\alpha} \cdot E \int_0^t |\Psi(X^\nu(s))|^2 ds & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_F^2 + E \int_0^t \|\Psi(X^\nu(s))\|_{F_{1,2}} \cdot \|X^\nu(s)\|_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2}C_2E \int_0^t \|X^\nu(s)\|_F^2_{2} ds.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( L^2(\mu) \) is continuously embedded into \( F_{1,2}^* \), Young’s inequality and the Gronwall’s inequality yield that there exists a constant \( C \in (0, \infty) \) such that, for \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( \nu \in (0, 1) \),

\[
E\|X^\nu(t)\|_F^2 \leq C \|x\|_F^2_{1,2}.
\]

(3.34)

In the following, we will prove the convergence of \( \{X^\nu\}_{\nu \in (0,1)} \). Applying Itô’s formula to \( \|X^\nu(t) - X^\nu'(t)\|_F^2_{1,2} \), we get that, for all \( t \in [0, T] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\|X^\nu(t) - X^\nu'(t)\|_F^2_{1,2} & + 2 \int_0^t \langle (\Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu'(s)), X^\nu(s) - X^\nu'(s) \rangle dW(s) \\
& = 2 \int_0^t \langle (\Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \Psi(X^\nu'(s)), X^\nu(s) - X^\nu'(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
& - 2 \int_0^t \langle \nu \Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \nu' \Psi(X^\nu'(s)), X^\nu(s) - X^\nu'(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \|B(s, X^\nu(s)) - B(s, X^\nu'(s))\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 dW(s) \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \langle X^\nu(s) - X^\nu'(s), (B(s, X^\nu(s)) - B(s, X^\nu'(s)))dW(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.35)
The second term on the right hand side of (3.35) can be dominated by

\[-2 \int_0^t \left\langle \nu \Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \nu' \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s)), X^{\nu'} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s) \right\rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds \]

\[\leq 2C \int_0^t (\nu |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s))|_2 + \nu' |\Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|_2) \cdot \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}} ds. \tag{3.36} \]

By assumption \((H1)\) on \(\Psi\) and (3.33), we obtain

\[2 \int_0^t \langle (\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s)), X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s) \rangle_2 ds \]

\[= 2 \int_0^t \int_E (\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))) \cdot (X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)) d\mu ds \]

\[\geq 2 \int_0^t \int_E \alpha |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 d\mu ds \]

\[= 2\alpha \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 ds. \tag{3.37} \]

(3.35)-(3.37) imply

\[\|X^{\nu} (t) - X^{\nu'} (t)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 + 2\alpha \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 ds \]

\[\leq C_1 \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|_2 \cdot \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}} ds \]

\[+ C_2 \int_0^t (\nu |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s))|_2 + \nu' |\Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|_2) \cdot \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}} ds \]

\[+ C_3 \int_0^t \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \]

\[+ 2 \int_0^t \langle X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s), (B(s, X^{\nu} (s)) - B(s, X^{\nu'} (s))) dW(s) \rangle_{F_{1,2}} ds. \]

Taking expectation of both sides of the above inequality and using Young’s and the BDG inequalities, we obtain, for all \(t \in [0, T]\),

\[\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 \right] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 ds \]

\[\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 \right] + \alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 ds \]

\[+ C_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds + C_2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (\nu |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s))|_2 + \nu' |\Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|_2^2) ds. \]

This yields

\[\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 \right] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E} \int_0^t |\Psi(X^{\nu} (s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|^2 ds \]

\[\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|X^{\nu} (s) - X^{\nu'} (s)\|_{F_{1,2}}^2 ds \]

\[+ C_2 (\nu + \nu') \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (|\Psi(X^{\nu} (s))|_2^2 + |\Psi(X^{\nu'} (s))|_2^2) ds. \tag{3.38} \]
Note that if the initial value \( x \in F_{1,2}^* \) and (3.4) is satisfied, we have (3.34). If \( x \in L^2(\mu) \), we have (3.8). Hence, Gronwall’s inequality and Young’s inequality yield that there exists a positive constant \( C \in (0, \infty) \) which is independent of \( \nu, \nu' \) such that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| X^\nu(s) - X^{\nu'}(s) \|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \| \Psi(X^\nu(s)) - \Psi(X^{\nu'}(s)) \|_{F_{1,2}^*}^2 ds \\
\leq C(\nu + \nu').
\]

Hence, there exists an \( \mathcal{F}_t \)-adapted continuous \( F_{1,2}^* \)-valued process \( X = (X_t)_{t \in [0,T]} \) such that

\[
X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0,T], F_{1,2}^*)) \cap L^2([0,T] \times \Omega; L^2(\mu)).
\]

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that in Claim 3.3. Consequently, Theorem 3.1 is completely proved. \( \square \)

4 Some Examples

4.1 Classical Dirichlet forms with densities

We apply Theorem 3.1 to the Friedrichs extension of the operator

\[
Lu = \Delta u + 2 \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla u, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

on \( L^2(\rho^2 dx) \), where \( dx \) denotes Lebesgue measure and \( \rho \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Here \( H^1 \) is the usual Sobolev space and \( H^{-1} \) denotes its dual space.

In this case, equation (1.1) can be written as:

\[
\begin{cases}
  dX(t) - (\Delta + 2 \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla) \Psi(X(t)) dt = B(t, X(t)) dW(t), & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\
  X(0) = x & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d,
\end{cases}
\]

i.e., here we choose \( E \) to be \( \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \mathcal{B}(E) \) to be \( \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \mu := \rho^2 dx \). Now let us determine \( F_{1,2} \) and hence \( F_{1,2}^* \). Clearly, \( \Delta u \in L^2(\rho^2 dx) \), since \( u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \). In addition, since \( \rho \in H^1 \),

\[
2 \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla u \in L^2(\rho^2 dx).
\]

Hence \( L \) is a well-defined linear operator from \( C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) to \( L^2(\rho^2 dx) \). To apply Theorem 3.1, we need to find a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on \( L^2(\rho^2 dx) \). The tool we use here is based on Dirichlet space theory, we refer to [13].

Since

\[
\int Lu \cdot \nu \rho^2 dx = \int (\Delta u + 2 \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \nu \rho^2 dx \\
= \int \Delta u \cdot \nu \rho^2 dx + 2 \int \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \cdot \nabla u \cdot \nu \rho^2 dx \\
= \int \text{div} \nabla u \cdot \nu \rho^2 dx = - \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla (\nu \rho^2) dx \\
= - \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla \nu \rho^2 dx - \int \nabla u \cdot \nu \cdot 2 \rho \cdot \nabla \rho dx \\
= - \int \nabla u \cdot \nabla \nu \rho^2 dx - \int u \cdot L \nu \rho^2 dx,
\]
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which implies both that $L$ is a symmetric operator and

$$\langle Lu, u \rangle \leq 0.$$  

According to [13, Proposition 3.3], we hence know that there exists a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(\rho^2 dx)$, which is in fact the closure of

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \int \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 dx, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

on $L^2(\rho^2 dx)$ such that its generator $(L, D(L))$ is an extension of the operator defined in (4.1). $(L, D(L))$ is thus the Friedrichs extension of $(L, C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho^2 dx)$.

As a result, we know $T_t = e^{tL}$ is the desired strongly continuous contraction sub-Markovian semigroup on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho^2 dx)$ and $F_{1,2} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})$ with inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{F_{1,2}} = \int \left((\langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + u \cdot v) \rho^2 dx, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Now, we can use Theorem 3.1 to get the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to equation (4.2) for any $B, \Psi$ satisfying (H1), (H2) with $L^2(\rho^2 dx)$ and $F_{1,2}$ as above.

### 4.2 General regular symmetric case

The example in Section 4.1 is a special case of the example in [13, Chapter 2]: Let $E := U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $U$ open, and $m$ a positive Radon measure on $U$ such that supp$[m] = U$. For $u, v \in C^\infty_0(U)$, define

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} d\nu_{ij} + \int_{U \times U \setminus \Delta} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))J(dx, dy) + \int uv \, dk. \quad (4.3)$$

Here $k$ is a positive Radon measure on $U$ and $J$ is a symmetric positive Radon measure on $U \times U \setminus \Delta$, where $\Delta := \{(x, x) | x \in U\}$, such that for all $u \in C^\infty_0(U)$

$$\int |u(x) - u(y)|^2 J(dx, dy) < \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, $\nu_{ij}$ is a Radon measure on $U$ such that for every $K \subset U$, $K$ compact, $\nu_{ij}(K) = \nu_{ji}(K)$ and $\sum_{i,j=1}^d \xi_i \xi_j \nu_{ij}(K) \geq 0$ for all $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Then $(\mathcal{E}, C^\infty_0(U))$ is a densely defined symmetric positive definite bilinear form on $L^2(U; m)$.

Suppose that $(\mathcal{E}, C^\infty_0(U))$ is closable on $L^2(U; m)$ and let $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ be its closure, then $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is a symmetric Dirichlet form. Hence by [13] we know there exists a self-adjoint negative definite linear operator $(L, D(L))$ on $L^2(U; m)$ defined by

$$D(L) := \{u \in D(\mathcal{E}) | \exists Lu \in L^2(m), \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{E}(u, v) = (-Lu, v), \forall v \in D(\mathcal{E})\}.$$

Hence $(L, D(L))$ is the generator of a sub-Markovian strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(T_t)_{t > 0}$ on $L^2(U; m)$ given by

$$T_t := e^{tL}, \quad t > 0.$$
Hence we can apply our Theorem 3.1 with the above generator \((L, D(L))\) to obtain a solution to SDE (1.1) for this \(L\), and \(F_{1,2} := D(E)\).

**Remark:**

(i) Our result thus in particular applies to the case where \(L\) is the fractional Laplace operator

\[
L := -(-\Delta)^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1],
\]

since it is just a special case of the above (see [13, Chapter 2]).

(ii) Similarly, using Dirichlet form theory on fractals, Theorem 3.1 applies when \(L\) is the Laplace operator on a fractal to solve (1.1) where the state space \(E\) is this fractal, (see, e.g., in [8, 11] for details).
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